

1

# MEETING OF WALSALL HEALTHCARE NHS TRUST BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO BE HELD IN PUBLIC ON THURSDAY 5 JULY 2018 AT 10.00 A.M. IN THE LECTURE SUITE, MLCC, MANOR HOSPITAL, WALSALL

For access to Board Reports in alternative accessible formats, please contact the Interim Trust Secretary via 01922 721172 Ext. 6838 or jackie.white@walsallhealthcare.nhs.uk

# AGENDA

The Board of Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust has committed to undertake its Board Meetings in accordance with an etiquette that all Members have confirmed their agreement to. The purpose of the Etiquette is to enable the Board to make well-informed and high quality decisions based on a clear line of sight into the organisation.

ITEM		PURPOSE	BOARD LEAD	FORMAT	TIMING
1.	Patient Story	Learning		Verbal	10.00
СНА	IR'S BUSINESS				
2.	Apologies for Absence	Information	Chair	Verbal	10.30
3.	Declarations of Interest	Information	Chair	ENC 1	10.35
4.	Minutes of the Board Meeting Held on 7 June 2018	Approval	Chair	ENC 2	10.40
5.	Matters Arising and Action Sheet	Review	Chair	ENC 3	10.45
6.	Chair's Report	Information	Chair	ENC 4	10.50
7.	Chief Executive's Report	Information	Chief Executive	ENC 5	10.55
QUA	LITY IMPROVEMENT				
8.	Monthly Nursing and Midwifery Safer Staffing Report	Discussion	Acting Director of Nursing	ENC 6	11.00
9.	CQC Preparedness Update	Information	Chief Executive	ENC 7	11.10
10.	Annual Complaints Report	Approval	Acting Director of Nursing	ENC 8	11.20
11.	Quality and Safety Committee Highlight Report and Minutes	Information	Committee Chair	ENC 9	11.30
STA	FF ENGAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF A	CLINICALLY I		ATION	
12.	Update report on the assessment of the Clinical Leaders Development Programme	Discussion	Interim Director of OD & HR	ENC 10	11.40
13.	People & OD Committee Highlight Report and Minutes	Information	Committee Chair	ENC 11	11.50

ITEM		PURPOSE	BOARD LEAD	FORMAT	TIMING		
BRE	AK – TEA/COFFEE PROVIDED				12.00		
FINA	NCIAL IMPROVEMENT						
14.	Financial Performance Month 2	Discussion	Director of Finance & Performance	ENC 12	12.10		
15.	Performance and Quality Report Month 2	Discussion	Director of Finance & Performance	ENC 13	12.20		
16.	Ultrasound business case	Approval	Chief Operating Officer	ENC 14	12.30		
17.	Performance, Finance & Investment Committee Highlight Report & Minutes	Information	Committee Chair	ENC 15	12.40		
DEVI	ELOPING OUR CLINICAL SERVICES STRATE	GY					
18.	Partnership Update	Information	Director of Strategy & Improvement	ENC 16	12.50		
19.	Refresh of Trust Vision	Approval	Director of Strategy & Improvement	ENC 17	13.00		
GOV	ERNANCE AND COMPLIANCE		•				
20.	Audit Committee Highlight Report and Minutes	Information	Committee Chair	ENC 18	13.10		
21.	Charitable Funds Committee Highlight Report	Information	Committee Chair	ENC 19	13.20		
	Reflections from Meeting - Chair						
22.	QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC						
23.	DATE OF NEXT MEETING Public meeting on Thursday 2 August 2018 at 10.00 a.m. at the Manor Learning and Conference Centre, Manor Hospital						
23.	<b>Exclusion to the Public</b> – To invite the Press and Public to leave the meeting because of the confidential nature of the business about to be transacted (pursuant to Section 1(2) of the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960).						



MEETING OF THE PUBLIC	TRUST BOA	RD – 5 <sup>th</sup> July	<b>y 20</b> 1	18			
Declarations of Interest						NDA ITEM: 3	
Report Author and Job	Jackie White	Jackie White		sponsible	Danielle Oum		
Title:	Interim Trus	Interim Trust Secretary		ector:			
Action Required	Approval	Decision	Assurance and Inform			formation X	
				To receive and discuss		To receive	
Recommendation	Members of	the Trust Boa	ard a	re asked to:			
	Note the rep	ort					
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF of Trust Risk Registers? please outline		There are no risk implications associated with this report.					
Resource implications	There are no	o resource im	plica	tions associate	ed w	ith this report.	
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	There are no with this pap	• ·	ality	& diversity imp	licat	ions associated	
Operational Objectives 2018/19	Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programme						
	anisation to ng and clinical	X					
	leadership Improve our financial health through our						
	robust improvement programme Develop the clinical service strategy focused on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other Trusts						

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Safe, high quality care

Care at home

6

Partners



Resource



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report presents a Register of Directors' interests to reflect the interests of the Trust Board members.

The register is available to the public and to the Trust's internal and external auditors, and is published on the Trust's website to ensure both transparency and also compliance with the Information Commissioner's Office Publication Scheme.



**NHS Trust** 

# Register of Directors Interests at May 2018

Name	Position/Role at Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust	Interest Declared
Ms	Chair	Board Member: West Midlands Housing Group
Danielle		Board Member: Wrekin Housing
Oum		Chair Healthwatch Birmingham
		Committee Member: Healthwatch England
Professor Russell	Non-executive Director	Director, shareholder: CloudTomo- security company – pre commercial.
Beale	Director	Founder & minority shareholder: BeCrypt – computer security company.
		Director, owner: Azureindigo – health & behaviour change company, working in the health (physical & mental) domains; producer of educational courses for various organisations including in the health domain Academic, University of Birmingham: research into health & technology – non-commercial.
		Spouse: Dr Tina Newton, is a consultant in Paediatric A&E at Birmingham Children's Hospital & co-director of Azureindigo.
		Journal Editor, Interacting with Computers.
		Governor, Hodnet Primary School.
		Honorary Race Coach, Worcester Schools Sailing Association.
		Non-executive Director for Birmingham and Solihull Mental Health Trust with effect from January 2017.
Mr John Dunn	Non-executive Director	No Interests to declare.
Ms Paula Furnival	Associate Non- executive	Executive Director of Adult Social Care, Walsall Council.
	Director	Governing Body Member Walsall Clinical Commissioning Group – in role as Director of Adult Social Care. Director of North Staffs Rentals Ltd
		Member of West Midlands Clinical Senate (NHS)
Mrs Victoria Harris	Non-executive Director	Manager at Dudley & Walsall Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust
		Governor, All Saints CE Primary School Trysull Husband, (Dean Harris) Deputy Director of IT at Sandwell & West Birmingham Hospital from March 2017



Walsall Healthcare MHS

**NHS Trust** 

Nama	Desition/Dela	Interest Declared
Name	Position/Role at Walsall	Interest Declared
	Healthcare NHS	
	Trust	
Mr	Non-executive	Non-executive Director of Hadley Industries PLC
Sukhbinder	Director	(Manufacturing)
Heer		Partner of Qualitas LLP (Property Consultancy).
		Non-executive Director Birmingham Community NHS
		Foundation Trust (NHS Entity).
		Chair of Mayfair Capital (Financial Advisory).
Mr Philip	Non-executive	Chief Executive Newservol (charitable organisation –
Gayle	Director	services to mental health provision).
Mr Richard	Chief Executive	Spouse, Fiona Beeken is a Midwifery Lecturer at
Beeken		Wolverhampton University.
Mr Russell	Director of	Chair and Executive Member of the Branch of the
Caldicott	Finance and	West Midlands Healthcare Financial Management
	Performance	Association
Mr Daren	Director of	Director of Oaklands Management Company
Fradgley	Strategy and	Clinical Adviser NHS 111/Out of Hours
ridagioy	Transformation	
Mr Amir	Medical Director	Trustee of UK Rehabilitation Trust International
Khan		Trustee of Dow Graduates Association of Northern
		Europe
		Director of Khan's Surgical
		Director and Trustee of the Association of Physicians
		of Pakistani Origin of Northern Europe
Mrs Louise	Interim Director	Director of Ludgrove Consultancy Services Ltd.
Ludgrove	of Organisational	
	Development & Human	
	Resources	
Mr Philip	Chief Operating	Non-executive Director, Aspire Housing Association,
Thomas-	Officer	Stoke-on-Trent.
Hands		Spouse, Nicola Woodward is a senior manager in
		Specialised Surgery at University Hospital North
		Midlands.
Ms Kara	Acting Director	N/A
Blackwell	of Nursing	
Ms Jenna	Director of	N/A
Davies	Governance	

**Report Author:** Jackie White, Interim Trust Secretary **Date of report:** 5<sup>th</sup> July 2018

# RECOMMENDATIONS

The Board are asked to note the report



# MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS WALSALL HEALTHCARE NHS TRUST HELD ON THURSDAY 7<sup>th</sup> JUNE 2018 AT 10:00 a.m. IN THE LECTURE SUITE, MANOR LEARNING & CONFERENCE CENTRE, MANOR HOSPITAL, WALSALL

#### Present:

Ms D Oum Mr J Dunn Mr S Heer Mrs V Harris Professor R Beale Mr P Gayle Mr R Beeken Mr A Khan Mr P Thomas-Hands Ms K Blackwell

# In Attendance:

Mrs P Furnival Mrs L Ludgrove

Mr D Fradgley Ms J Davies Mrs J White Mr T Kettle Miss J Wells

Members of the Public 0 Members of Staff 2 Members of the Press / Media Observers 2 Chair of the Board of Directors Non-Executive Director Non-Executive Director Non-Executive Director Non-Executive Director Non-Executive Director Chief Executive Medical Director Chief Operating Officer Acting Director of Nursing

Associate Non-Executive Director Interim Director of Organisational Development and Human Resources

Director of Strategy & Improvement Director of Governance Interim Trust Secretary Deputy Director of Finance Senior Executive PA (Minutes)

### 044/18 Staff Story

Dr Waterhouse Director of Medical Education, attended the meeting with Dr Hirushi Jayasekera and Dr Olivia Cooper, Foundation Year 1 Doctors to share with the board members, their experience of their placements at Walsall Healthcare.

Dr Waterhouse introduced the Junior Doctors and explained that there were currently 100 trainees within the Trust. The Trust strived to provide the best possible training and experience and making it an attractive place to work for trainees selecting their placements, encouraging working more imaginatively to recruit and retain.

Dr Hirushi Jayasekera and Dr Olivia Cooper gave a presentation providing positive feedback and identified areas for improvement from their experience which were;

• Training and teaching

- Palliative Care
- Multi-Disciplinary Team Approach
- Enthusiasm and Teamwork

Opportunities for improvement were reported;

- Incident Reporting
- BLEEP Project
- Electronic System
- Rota Gaps
- Elements of teaching such as SIM real life.

The Junior Doctors also shared a video of other FY1's feedback and why they chose to work at Walsall.

Ms Oum thanked the team for attending and sharing their work with Ms Oum added that the presentation was board members. fascinating and was pleased to hear their experiences.

#### Questions and Comments

Mr Khan thanked the Doctors for their presentation and the fantastic work they were doing. Mr Khan expressed appreciation that the team not only highlighted problems, but provided solutions, adding that the electronic system was under review for consideration prior to the next intake of trainees.

Mrs Ludgrove was delighted to hear the positive feedback, particularly in relation to the hospital reputation and friendly atmosphere. Mrs Ludgrove queried if the FY1s had been involved in the Human Factors work? In response Dr Waterhouse explained that simulation teaching with all doctors needed to improve and would be included in the development of the curriculum with human factors. Quality improvement was the focus.

Mr Fradgley was encouraged by seeing how the FY1s worked through problems, detailing how to respond and resolve. Mr Fradgley offered support in developing electronic bleeps and would review the practicalities with his teams.

DF

Mr Fradgley asked what opportunities of continued pathways into place based care were available. Dr Cooper replied that the training pathway and community placements formed part of the FY2's training.

Professor Beale referenced a recurring theme from the Friends and Family Test in relation to communication with patients and asked what training they had received. Dr Cooper replied that there was a heavy communication skills focus at medical school which had put the trainees in good stead, though further development of those skills within the Trust training sessions would be welcomed. Dr Waterhouse agreed that training at medical school had been developed however more could be done within the Trust.

Mr Thomas-Hands gave credit to the teams asked how the

management team linked with junior doctors, in order to make changes and obtain new ideas and experiences in working and planning. Dr Waterhouse advised that the link to management was already being discussed with Mr Beeken.

Dr Waterhouse gave thanks to the team for sharing their story with the board members and requested a Non-Executive Director be aligned with the medical education base in order to embed at board level.

Ms Oum thanked Dr Waterhouse for her input and fresh approach towards education and training. Ms Oum encouraged closer working between the board and the junior doctors, advising that there was a Non-Executive Director vacancy currently under recruitment.

# 045/18 Apologies for Absence

Apologies were noted from Mr Russell Caldicott, Director of Finance & Performance.

Ms Oum formally introduced Ms Jenna Davies, Director of Governance who joined the Trust at the beginning of the week.

# 046/18 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations made.

# **047/18** Minutes of the Board Meeting Held in Public 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2018 The minutes of the meeting held on 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2018 were approved as a correct record.

#### Resolution

The Board approved the minutes of the meeting held on the 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2018 as an accurate record.

#### 048/18 Matters Arising and Action Sheet

The Board received the action sheet and the following updates were provided;

195/17 Performance & Quality Report – A report was completed and reviewed at the last Trust Board meeting. Mr Beeken advised that each Executive Director had been asked to consider changes they would make to reports and to condense the number of indicators reported upon and the new format aligned to a new framework. Though the timeframe may shift, there was a commitment that the revised format and condensed indicators would be in place by mid-July 2018. Mr Dunn requested an early involvement prior to mid-July.

225/17 Patient Care Improvement Plan – Mr Fradgley updated that two meetings had now taken place with a further meeting planned in 2 weeks. Discussion would take place during the Private Trust Board meeting.

035/18 Performance & Quality Report – Ms Blackwell was arranging a

meeting with Mrs Furnival and would be conducting a review of the whole structure.

# **Resolution**

The Board received and noted the progress on the action sheet.

# 049/18 Chair's Report

Ms Oum presented the report which was taken as read.

#### <u>Resolution</u> The Board received and noted the Chair's report and update.

### 050/18 Chief Executive's Report

Mr Beeken presented the report, advising that there was an attachment which would form a regular feature, setting out the national guidance instruction requests which were received by the Chief Executive on a monthly basis. Mr Beeken highlighted the following key points;

Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programme –

- Yesterday marked the end of an unannounced two day CQC inspection of maternity.
- Reaffirmed discussions were taking place in regards to the Patient Care Improvement Pan that appeared to have slipped somewhat in prominence.

Develop the culture of the organisation to ensure mature decision making and clinical leadership –

- The Pulsecheck Survey was launched on 4<sup>th</sup> June and closes on 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2018. Over 400 responses had been received to date which equated to around 10% of the workforce. The target was set at 50%.
- The Leadership Conference would take place on 6<sup>th</sup> July 2018 with an expected 250 Trust leaders attending the event. The new values framework would be launched at the event and workshops would be held dedicated to quality improvement leadership.

Ms Oum recommended board members attendance the Leadership Conference, utilising the opportunity to engage with a large number of colleagues and input into the workshops.

### **Questions and Comments**

Professor Beale commented that it may not be conducive to receive whole sets of guidelines contained within the report each month and suggested that they were uploaded to the Reading Room.

Mr Heer queried how the Trust could make CQC visits business as usual and sustainable rather than seeking outside help in order to prepare.

Mr Beeken replied that the Trust could only be removed from special measures by ensuring that the fundamentals of care were right.

Compliance and mandatory training was being taken seriously.

# **Resolution**

The Board received and noted the content of the report.

# 051/18 Serious Incident Report

Ms Blackwell presented the Serious Incident report and highlighted the following key points;

- A new structured report would be introduced at the next Trust Board meeting.
- There was an increased trend in the number of serious incidents reported, the majority related to pressure ulcers.
- There were two patient falls that involved fractures and two incidents in relation to infection control.

#### Questions and Comments

Mr Gayle queried the number of tissue viability issues, in particular the increased number of heel ulcers.

Ms Blackwell replied that unstageable ulcers were not previously reported upon. There was a robust Root Cause Analysis process in place which included the CCG, though Ms Blackwell agreed that there needed to be increased pace in identifying incidents that were avoidable. Air mattresses were useful for pressure ulcers but were not effective for heels. Preventative work was underway to encourage keeping heels elevated. An improvement trajectory and on-going training in a number of languages were being introduced.

Ms Oum reiterated that a number of work streams were ongoing to ensure accurate reporting and reassurance. The Quality and Safety Committee were to monitor progress and provide feedback to the board through the highlight report.

Q&S

Mr Dunn observed that only 14 near misses were reported, highlighting the need to be more proactive. Ms Blackwell replied that near misses within Pharmacy were recorded in-house and would liaise with them in terms of learning. Staff were being encouraged to report near misses.

Mr Heer raised concern in the increase in trends of serious incidents. Mr Beeken responded that moderate harm incidents had seen a small increase but stated that a large proportion related to pressure ulcers. The number of severe harm incidents remained low; overall the Trust remained within reasonable comparable parameters to other Trusts.

Mr Heer suggested reviewing benchmarking against other NHS organisations. Ms Blackwell replied that a review had taken place and the difference lay within how incidents were reported. The Trust documented two avoidable incidents during April 2018, which was comparable to others. Though it was not easy to obtain a benchmark figure, other peers in the area had been contacted to ascertain their reporting practice.

Ms Oum referenced an incident relating to anticoagulants and asked Ms Blackwell to ensure that learning from a previous similar incident had taken place. Ms Blackwell confirmed that there were two different issues and there had not been a repeat of a previous incident. Mr Khan advised that actions taken had been included in the audit programme of care groups and had been addressed by them. Detailed actions with completion dates would be presented to the Quality and Safety Committee. Mr Khan also confirmed that the incident differed to a previous incident, following which the policy was changed and was not a factor. Ms Oum asked for assurance to be **Q&S** provided to the Quality and Safety Committee.

# **Resolution**

#### The Board:

- Received and noted the content of the report.
- The Quality and Safety Committee would review actions and assurance.

#### 052/18 Monthly Nursing and Midwifery Safer Staffing Report

Ms Blackwell presented the report and highlighted the following key points;

- The Trust was compliant with an overall fill rate achieving 90% during April 2018.
- Patient stay figures had improved but remained below in comparison to peers and national reporting.
- Work on e-rostering and e-rostering compliance was ongoing.
- An NHSI report was anticipated to be received during June and would be presented to board members at the July Trust Board meeting.

### **Questions and Comments**

Mr Dunn noted the report contained many green areas but spend was still out of control. Mr Dunn stated that he expected a full report and action plan to address the current spend level.

Mr Beeken advised that there had been difficulties in extracting the report from the NHSI team and had therefore escalated to the national team. Without the report, work on expenditure could not progress. Upon receipt, the paper and recommendation would be reviewed by the Quality and Safety Committee and the Performance, Finance and Investment Committee in June the Trust Board in July.

Mr Dunn expressed concern that actions would not be implemented until Quarter 2 and would have an impact upon the overall sustainability position. Mr Beeken replied that some work streams were continuing following a number of detailed emails.

Mr Heer queried the date of the acuity tool rollout and how effectiveness would be managed. Ms Blackwell replied that the roll out would take place during mid-June and would be used daily with an appropriate accountability structure.

Professor Beale expressed concern in relation to low average care hours per day though staffing levels had risen and what actions were being taken to understand and make improvements.

Ms Blackwell advised that it would form part of the feedback from NHSI.

Ms Oum asked for consideration to making the best use of skills, ability and a realistic pace. Implementation would be during Quarter 2 and would need to be driven forward quickly.

# **Resolution**

### The Board received and noted the content of the report.

#### 053/18 Patient Experience Report

Ms Blackwell presented the report that had been reviewed by the Quality and Safety Committee and highlighted the following points;

- The Friends and Family Test response rates for Outpatients and the Emergency Department had shown signs of improvement. Response rates remained low across maternity services, though there were also slight improvements.
- There was an increase in complaints in Quarter 4 with themes relating to communication, attitude and discharge. Refocus of communications would follow the launch of the new Trust values.

#### Questions and Comments

Professor Beale referenced that the Friends and Family Test response rates had improved though the feedback in general, though the Emergency Department remained poor.

Ms Oum suggested that a set of actions were reviewed at the Quality **Q&S** and Safety Committee.

Ms Blackwell advised that a communications and attitude pilot had been completed with admin staff and would be rolled out to areas that received a high number of complaints.

Mr Beeken asked whether learning from complaints had been highlighted with the areas concerned. Ms Blackwell replied that discussions had taken place with the complaints team and feedback would be given at divisional reviews.

Ms Oum observed that there was lots of information provided about positive work done but the report did not show a sense of targeted anticipated achievement.

#### **Resolution**

#### The Board:

• Received and noted the content of the report.

#### 054/18 Quality Account 2017/18

Ms Blackwell presented the Quality Account 2017/18 and sought to delegate authority to the Q&S Committee to approve the completed account at the June meeting prior to the submission deadline date of 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018.

### Questions and Comments

Mr Heer asked for a highlight of work being to be produced in a format that could be used for the population and wider stakeholders.Mr Beeken stated that information from the account would be built into communications.

Mr Fradgley advised that learning had been taken forward from the previous year, informing that communications would be built upon following approval and cascaded with staff and publically.

The board members approved delegation to the Quality and Safety Committee.

# **Resolution**

The Board:

- Received and noted the content of the report.
- Approved delegation of approval to the Quality and Safety Committee.

# 055/18 Quality and Safety Committee Highlight Report and Minutes

Professor Beale presented the highlight report from the most recent meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018, together with the approved minutes of the meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> April 2018.

Professor Beal advised that steps had been taken to reduce the agenda, adding that presentations from divisions were not routinely necessary.

Questions and Comments

Ms Oum queried the assurance received in light of the rise of c. dificile cases. Mr Khan advised that the cases were unavoidable and there was no pattern. Mr Khan added that there had been a reported case of MRSA. A review was undertaken and established that the case was unavoidable and that the patient was already harbouring the virus. Until this reported case, the Trust had been MRSA free for over 1000 days.

# **Resolution**

The Board received and noted the content of the report.

### 056/18 CCG Preparation

Mr Beeken presented the report which was taken as read.

### **Resolution**

### The Board received and noted the content of the report.

057/18 CNST Incentive Scheme Response Ms Blackwell presented the report, advising that the Trust were compliant of 6 out of 10 of the initiatives currently. By the end of June, the Trust would be compliant with 8 out of 10. The board members were asked to approve the report prior to submission to NHSI.

# Questions and Comments

Mr Heer queried which initiatives were not compliant. Ms Blackwell replied that actions 1, 6, 8 and 10 were not yet compliant. Work was underway for completion by the end of Quarter 2 with a view to training compliance by the end of Quarter 3, due to the scale of the task.

# **Resolution**

The Board received and noted the content of the report and approved submission.

### **058/18** Workforce Update Mrs Ludgrove advised that a Kings Fund update would be provided at the next Trust Board meeting.

# **059/18** Financial Performance Month 1 The Financial Performance for month 1 was reviewed and the following key points were highlighted;

- Deficit of £2.4m in month 1, in line with the plan.
- CIP was behind plan in month 1, delivering £0.16m.
- Temporary workforce had reduced in month but remained high against the same period last year.

# Questions and Comments

Mr Dunn expressed disappointment to the start of the year. It didn't appear as though lessons had been learnt on profiling the CIP. Mr Dunn stated that trajectory and spend on temporary staffing full year effect was worrying and asked to ensure that the financial recovery plan was reviewed at the Performance, Finance and Investment Committee in June. A plan was required in order to ensure delivery.

PFIC

Mr Beeken agreed with Mr Dunn's comments and shared concern. Work on temporary workforce was underway and hoped to be alleviated with rota discipline and annual leave reviews being undertaken by Ms Blackwell.

Mr Gayle observed that the Trust appeared to be in the same position as the previous year with temporary staffing. Medical and nursing staffing spikes during March. Mr Gayle queried what plans and assurance could the board receive.

Ms Blackwell replied that the previous report did show a reduction in temporary staffing during April, indicating a downwards trend, though there was further work to do with managing sickness and roster management. Mr Thomas-Hands stated that the Trust were in a better place in comparison to the previous year and were performing better. Theatres had improved but agreed that they were still not at the point anticipated but gave assurance that issues were being addressed. RTT performance was the highest it had been in two years with more patients being treated quickly. Fewer beds were also utilised in comparison to the same time the previous year. 4 hour performance currently stood at 89%.

Ms Oum observed that clearly a lot of work was underway but acknowledged that the Trust was not in a comfortable position. Ms Oum stated that discipline in preparation for divisional quarterly reviews assisted to pull process back and should be considered again.

Ms Oum recognised the work in progress but expressed concern about progress. Ms Oum asked for Mr Dunn, Mr Heer and Mr Caldicott to discuss and give consideration to the quarterly review and process used previously in terms of financial improvement.

### **Resolution**

The Board:

- Received and noted the content of the report.
- The Performance, Finance and Investment Committee would review the financial recovery plan.

JD/SH/RC

• Mr Dunn, Mr Heer and Mr Caldicott to discuss progress and divisional quarterly reviews.

# 060/18 Performance and Quality Report Month 1

Mr Kettle presented the Performance and Quality Report for month 1 and highlighted the following key points:

- A&E Target 4 hour: April had a trajectory of 83% which was exceeded and delivered 86%.
- Cancer: All 8 metrics were achieved during March. Unvalidated performance for April showed non-achievement against 62 day referral to treatment.
- 18 week Referral to Treatment: Performance had improved to 85.89%.
- 3 cases of C. Difficile had been reported and 1 case of MRSA.

Mr Thomas-Hands advised that referral to treatment performance for May was on track to deliver 88%. A&E 4 hour performance had recently stood at 84/85% but had improved recently to 89%. ECIP were undertaking meetings with operational leads. All medical wards will be working to new ward principles from 15<sup>th</sup>June.

### **Questions and Comments**

Mr Beeken referenced the new breach allocation rules and cut off point of tertiary centre for cancer standards, querying how it was working. Mr Thomas-Hands advised that it was too early to say. A review would take place. A review with the cancer team would be arranged to mitigate risks as the new rules were open to interpretation. Feedback would be provided to the Performance, Finance and Investment Committee.

Mr Fradgley referred to the ECIP work and frailty pathway, stating that challenges had been experienced that would have an impact upon length of stay in the Emergency Department due to the pathway becoming fragmented. National advice had been sought and renewed links with community teams. The Trust had joined the acute frailty network and were working together with challenging front door figures.

Professor Beale advised that safeguarding training had been discussed at the Quality and Safety Committee in relation to a capacity issue within the Childs Safeguarding Team and was waiting funding from the CCG. Professor Beale queried whether the funding had been approved.

Ms Blackwell advised that there was an issue in terms of the capacity to deliver the multi-agency safeguarding training which was awaiting a response from the CCG.

Ms Oum advised that she had visited the team earlier in the week, who had advised her that there was difficulty experienced with releasing staff to attend training.

Ms Oum observed that the midwife to birth ratio was still reported as red and asked what issues were hindering moving to green. Ms Blackwell replied that a discussion had taken place at the Maternity Oversight meeting. The national target was 100% and the Trust was performing at 99%.

Mr Heer advised that there were still some blanks contained within the balance scorecard. Mr Beeken agreed and advised that he had met with members of the Information Team the previous day and had discussed the need to align the measurable indicators to the organisation priorities and risks.

### Resolution

The Board received and noted the content of the report.

### 061/18 Performance, Finance & Investment Committee Highlight Report and Minutes

Mr Dunn presented the highlight report of the meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2018, together with the approved minutes of the meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> February 2018 and the extra-ordinary meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> March 2018.

Mr Dunn advised that most of the highlighted points had been discussed during the agenda items covered so far. Mr Dunn reiterated his disappointment in regard to financial performance. The surgery team presented at the committee, which was well structured. Though there was lots of work to be done, there was a marked change.

# <u>Resolution</u> The Board received and noted the content of the report.

# 062/18 Partnership Update

Mr Fradgley presented the update and highlighted the following key points;

- Significant progress had been made with MDTs within Walsall. A number of practices were online with the right people. The number represented a 1/5<sup>th</sup> of practices.
- The project had a full time MDT coordinator and early results suggested that it was having a positive affect and increased pace of delivery.
- There were delays in the progress of the case for change for the Walsall Together business case for delivery in October 2018. There was a shared belief that the issued had been resolved which related to issues outside of the organisation which were complex and revolved around cultural issues and the ability to work together.
- Recognition of the benefits of the integration journey should not be delayed. Executives were looking to create a community division with adult services within the Trust and introducing an Integration Director.

# **Questions and Comments**

Mrs Furnival supported Mr Fradgley's report and update. Item 5 of the paper outlined the structure and framework and assured that all were engaged. Development time with staff was proving successful, with 2 of the 4 localities working as integrated teams and health colleague's involvement. An advert had been released to appoint an Operations Director to work with intermediate care services.

Ms Oum noted the progress made and advised further discussion would take place within the private section of the Trust Board.

# **Resolution**

# The Board received and noted the content of the report.

# 063/18 Audit Committee Highlight Report

Mr Heer provided a verbal update of the meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> April 2018. The following key points were highlighted;

- An extraordinary board meeting was held and reviewed the audit accounts following the last Audit Committee meeting.
- Audit received the year end final accounts and the opinion from auditors and the head of internal audit.
- The Extraordinary board committee approved the accounts and submitted prior to 29<sup>th</sup> May 2018 deadline.

### **Resolution**

### The Board received and noted the content of the report.

# 064/18 Annual Self certification provider licence

Ms Oum thanked Mrs White for her assistance ensuring that the Trust was compliant. The report was taken as read and approved by the board members.

# **Resolution**

# The Board:

- Received and noted the content of the report.
- Approved and declared compliance with condition G6 and FT4.

# 065/18 Annual Report 2017/18

Mr Fradgley presented the report, advising that the Audit Committee recommended approval from the Trust Board. The audited report had been approved by internal audit, subject to some minor amendments. The narrative and compliance received approval by auditors.

The board approved the Annual Report 2017/18.

# **Resolution**

The Board:

- Received and noted the content of the report.
- Approved the Annual Report 2017/18.

### 066/18 Questions from the Public

Mr Lemord, Staff Representative referenced the financial plans and cautioned board members not make any knee jerk reactions in an effort to get back on track.

Mr Beeken replied that the Trust was in quality special measures which ultimately meant that the Trust could not impose financial constraint that impacted upon safety or experience.

The good use of resources, discipline and better productivity were key focus, though it was a slow burn process. Mr Beeken reiterated that patient safety and clinical effectiveness would not be put in jeopardy.

Mr Lemord advised that staff were under pressure and felt undervalued. Mrs Ludgrove replied that meetings took place regularly with staff representatives to discuss issues and concerns. There was clearly a focus on recovering the financial position and it was clear that there was a consistent and high profile emphasis on quality throughout the organisation and patient care.

Ms Oum thanked Mr Lemord for his comments.

Ms Oum took the opportunity to introduce Ms Suzie Loader who had joined the Trust as Improvement Director and wished farewell to Mrs Sue Holden.

### 067/18 Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Trust Board held in public would be on Thursday 5<sup>th</sup> July 2018 at 10:00a.m. in the Lecture Suite, Manor Learning and Conference Centre, Manor Hospital, Walsall.

**Resolution:** 

The Board resolved to invite the Press and Public to leave the meeting because of the confidential nature of the business about to be transacted (pursuant to Section 1(2) of the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960.



# PUBLIC TRUST BOARD ACTION SHEET

Minute Reference/Date Item Title	Action Description	Assigned to	Deadline Date	Progress Update	Status
206/17 07/12/2017 Risk Management	Executive team to review the Corporate Risk Register to review the action required to address the large number of static risks.	Executive Directors	02/08/18	Update Work under way – further work required. Focus on monthly basis as executive team.	
	Trust Secretary to work with the Executive Team to review the number of risks on the CRR and to provide greater clarity on the risk descriptions.	Executive Directors & Trust Secretary	02/08/18	Update A review of the risk register will take place during May with a view to an updated risk register being presented to Board in July	
	Review Board Assurance Framework to ensure the right challenges were articulated with a view to there being fewer BAF risks.	Trust Secretary	02/08/18	Update The new Director of Governance together with the Trust Secretary is reviewing the BAF and a revised BAF will be presented in August.	
226/17 02/02/2018 Patient Care Improvement Plan	Further work on the action plan to be undertaken and brought back through the March Quality and Safety Committee and April Trust Board.		02/08/18	Update The PCIP is currently under review with a specific focus on aligning the plan to KPIs.	
009/18 05/04/2018 Mortality Report	Consideration of a Medical Examiner and benchmarking process to be discussed at the Quality and Safety Committee.	Medical Director	03/05/2018	Update Being progressed through the Mortality Surveillance Group	



# PUBLIC TRUST BOARD ACTION SHEET

Minute Reference/Date Item Title	Action Description	Assigned to	Deadline Date	Progress Update	Status
035/18 Performance & Quality Report	Ms Blackwell to liaise with Mrs Furnival regarding the offer of assistance in relation to multi-agency training.	Acting Director or Nursing	02/08/2018	<b>Update</b> Ms Blackwell and Mrs Furnival have a meeting	

Quality Report		Nursing		in place to agree this action	
044/18 Staff Story	Mr Fradgley offered support in developing electronic bleeps issue raised by FY1s and would review the practicalities with his teams.	Director of Strategy & Improveme nt	02/09/2018	<b>Update</b> Meeting took place on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> June 2018.	-
059/18 Financial Performance Month 1	Mr Dunn, Mr Heer and Mr Caldicott to discuss and give consideration to the quarterly review and process used previously in terms of financial improvement.	Director of Finance	05/07/2018		

Key to RAG rating

Action completed within agreed original timeframe	Action on track for delivery within agreed original timeframe
Action deferred once, but there is evidence that work is now progressing towards completion	Action deferred twice or more.



MEETING OF THE PUBLIC TRUST BOARD – 5 <sup>th</sup> July 2018						
Chair's Report AGENDA ITEM:						NDA ITEM: 6
Report Author and Job	Danielle Our	n, Chair	Re	sponsible	Danielle Oum, Chair	
Title:			Di	rector:		
Action Required	Approval	Decision		Assurance an	d In	formation
				To receive and	b	To receive X
				discuss		
Recommendation	Members of	the Trust Boa	rd a	are asked to:		
	Note the rep	ort				
Does this report mitigate	There are no	risk implicati	ons	associated with	h thi	s report
risk included in the BAF or	more are ne	nok implicati	0110			
Trust Risk Registers?						
please outline	<b>T</b> I	••••				
Resource implications	There are no	resource imp	DIICa	ations associate	d Wi	ith this report.
Legal and Equality and	There are no	legal or equa	ality	& diversity imp	licat	ions associated
Diversity implications	with this pap	er.				
Operational Objectives			nati	ent safety and	X	,
2018/19		ty through a c		•		
		t programme				
		culture of the			X	
		re decision m	akiı	ng and clinical		
	leadership					
	Improve our financial health through our X robust improvement programme					
				trategy focused	X	,
		tegration in W				
		with other Tr				

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Safe, high quality care

Care at home

6

Partners



Resource



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The report contains information that the Chair wants to bring to the Board's attention and includes a summary of the meetings attended and activity undertaken by the chair since the last Board meeting.

In keeping with the Trust's refocusing on core fundamentals, this report has been restructured to fit with the organisational priority objectives for the coming year.

With regard to the priorities 3 and 4, I have embarked on a programme of engagement with colleagues and stakeholders to communicate our organisational focus as well as gather perspectives and triangulate information to contribute to Board assurance.



# Chair's Update

# PRIORITY OBJECTIVES FOR 2018/19

# 1. Quality improvement

I met with volunteers at Goscote during Volunteer's Week. I was impressed by their commitment and the assistance they provide for the benefit of patients.

I am pleased to announced the appointment of 2 new Non-Executive Directors – Anne Baines and Alan Yates who will joining the Board in early July.

# 2. Financial improvement

I was pleased to chair an Extraordinary Board meeting to approve the Trust's Operational Plan which sets out our ambitions for the coming year.

**3.** Improving staff engagement and development of a clinically led organisation Unfortunately, a clinical Non-Executive Director was not appointed during recent interviews. A further advertisement will be made shortly.

Colleagues continue to be generous in accommodating me to work shadow and visit services. I am seeing high levels of professionalism and ambitions to improve services for patients. I am also seeing challenges facing colleagues delivering services on the frontline, providing important context when considering issues at board level. More visits are planned over the coming months.

Developing our Clinical Services Strategy through organisational collaboration
 I met with members of Healthwatch at a Quarterly Review meeting.
 I have met with several candidates ahead of the Medical Director and Director of Nursing Executive Director interviews.

# Meetings attended / services visited

Learning Disability Team Regional Talent Board Head of Nursing – Surgery Maternity Oversight Meeting Medicines Team

# RECOMMENDATIONS

The Board are asked to note the report

Danielle Oum

July 2018



Chief Executive's report			AGENDA ITEM: 7			
Report Author and Job	Richard Bee	ken,	Responsible	Chief Executive	utive	
Title:	Chief Execu	tive	Director:			
	Jackie White	Э,				
	Interim Com	pany				
	Secretary					
Action Required	Approval	Decision		nd Information	Y	
Action Required	Αρριοναί	Decision	To receive a			
				nd To receive	!	
			discuss			
Recommendation	Members of	the Trust Boa	rd are asked to:			
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF o Trust Risk Registers? please outline	<ul> <li>guidance, instruction and best practice received by the CEO's office during May 2018</li> <li>Seek assurance that the key actions in relation to regurequests and best practice, are being taken forward by appropriate executive director. An assurance system been established by the Interim Company Secretary wwill oversee evidence of each key request having been actioned</li> <li>The report contains actions and information which are releva all Trust strategic objectives and will, in some part, address E and Trust risks</li> </ul>					
Resource implications		o explicit finan	cial resource impl	lications with resp	bect t	
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	this report There are no with this pap	• ·	ality & diversity im	plications associa	ated	
Operational Objectives 2018/19	clinical quali improvemen	ty through a c	patient safety and comprehensive			
		Develop the culture of the organisation to X ensure mature decision making and clinical				
	Improve ou robust impro	vement progr		Х		
	Develop the clinical service strategy focused X on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other Trusts					
Becoming your partners for first class integrated care	for (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)					



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# Chief Executive's report

# 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the reports is to keep the Board appraised of the high level, critical activities which the organisation has been engaged in during the past month, with regard to the delivery of the four organisational priorities for 2018/19. The report also seeks to set out to the Board, the significant level of guidance, instruction and best practice adoption we received during May 2018 and assures the Board through an allocation to the relevant executive director.

# 2. BACKGROUND

The Trust has agreed four priorities for 2018/19. These will drive the bulk of our action as a wider leadership team and organisation:

- Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programme
- Develop the culture of the organisation to ensure mature decision making and clinical leadership
- Improve our financial health through our robust improvement programme
- Develop the clinical service strategy focused on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other Trusts

# 3. PROGRESS AGAINST OUR FOUR OBJECTIVES

# Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programme

Our preparations for CQC inspection, including the new assessment process for "wellled" and "use of resources" continues at a good pace. Weekly meetings, chaired by myself, with Divisional and corporate leaders, focus on the quick wins we need to resolve (ie. Bed storage, environmental improvements, intensive oversight of our mandatory training compliance improvements) as well as the implementation of a wide ranging and 'three dimensional' quality audit process, which will assess ward and service capability against a wide range of quality indicators and PCIP evidence.

Suzie Loader, Improvement Consultant, is also working with Divisions to ensure that the PCIP, our quality improvement plan, measures outputs and wherever possible, outcomes. Through this process, we will develop a significant proportion of the quality element of our intended new integrated performance report/dashboard. Suzie is also developing the next phase of our Board development work on well-led, and a clear



announcement about next steps will be made shortly on this, once the Chair and myself have agreed the method and content.

Our maternity services received a 2 day unannounced inspection on 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> June, which colleagues in that service responded to well. The inspection team have now sent us a formal letter to give initial feedback, which was largely positive with regard to progress on the concerns raised in 2015 and 2017 inspections. We are, as yet, unclear about whether the CQC are planning a wider inspection, a focused inspection on key areas of risk or are purely concentrating their scrutiny on maternity services. I recommend that we continue to prepare the organisation to be "inspection ready", whatever final approach taken by the CQC emerges.

# Improve our financial health through our robust improvement programme

There are two key developments to report here. Firstly, at our Private Board session last month, we accepted the Provider Sustainability Fund offer from NHSI and will be submitting a plan on 20/6 which reflects the control total we have agreed to. That is to work to a deficit plan of £15.6 million for 2018/19, which, net of a PSF contribution of £5 million, will leave the organisation with a deficit of £10.6 million at year end, assuming full delivery of the plan. I found the Board discussions on this to be both mature and diligent, carefully assessing the risks of the two options available to us. On balance, the capital benefits, fines immunisation and significant step towards financial balance and sustainability, were persuasive factors in our decision. The executive team will now work on the communications approach to the organisation on this, which we agreed at our team meeting on 19/6.

Secondly, the executive team, led by the work of the Chief Operating Officer, continue to work on whether there should be an amendment to our plan submission driven by a change to our approach to managing beds, bed occupancy and activity. Walsall Healthcare has the highest residual bed occupancy in the region, yet, like many Trusts, our ambitious plans for patient flow and length of stay improvement, are part of our financial plan, in the form of seasonal bed closures and savings on the associated staffing costs. Whilst this approach saves money, it necessarily artificially increases bed occupancy, making attainment of the ED improvement trajectory, increasingly difficult. Instead, we are closely examining whether the income associated unit cost of supplementary nursing staff, can mitigate the CIP attributed to the bed flexibility plan. If so proven, we will be able to achieve a better balance between safe patient flow, ED standard attainment and financial delivery. I will be able to report on our conclusions with regard to this important consideration, next time.

# Develop the culture of the organisation to ensure mature decision making and clinical leadership

Some strong candidates are coming forward for the key posts of Executive Medical Director and Director of Nursing. I am increasingly confident that we will make appointments to both posts at our recruitment process for each on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> July

# Walsall Healthcare NHS

**NHS Trust** 

respectively. The Medical Director and I continue our discussions with a key individual who could bring clinical leadership experience and new ideas for our MLTC Division, from outside the organisation. In the spirit of our new way of working, we are starting work with the Local Authority on a joint appointment to the Director of Community Services role, an essential precursor to the creation of a community division in the Trust, anticipating the Walsall Together business case conclusions. We are also in discussions with the Local Authority over the appointment of a joint Head of Therapy Services role, another important break from the tradition of managing such appointments purely along organisational lines.

At the time of writing, we continue to chase down responses to the LiA Pulsecheck survey of staff opinion and had, on 19/6, over 1400 responses. The deadline is 22/6. We feel a response rate of over 2000 will represent nearly 50% of the staff in the Trust and will be a statistically significant response, which should help us to tailor our plans on staff engagement and quality improvement, with more certainty.

# Develop the clinical service strategy focused on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other Trusts

All statutory partners have now agreed to the costs of both leadership team development and business case development, for Walsall Together. As aspirant host provider, we need to continue to push the provider board partners to the next stage in this key work, particularly focusing on engagement of primary care colleagues in the business case development, focusing on practical, patient centred benefits. The next provider board will be crucial, as it should be the first at which both LMC and locality GP representatives attend. It will be a vital litmus test of GP opinion about our methods and intentions.

At STP level, it is important to report back that there is unanimity of view between all STP partners, that the construct of an Integrated Care System in the Black Country should be firmly based on place based developments in care provision within each of the four boroughs. It was unanimously agreed that whilst there was potential for Black Country-wide resilience improvements in mental health, capturing more tertiary/cancer work from Birmingham and some elements of integration of acute hospital services, the biggest benefits would continue to be delivered through health promotion and preventative health and social care actions, within the four boroughs. At the health leaders STP meeting, each acute hospital Trust has committed now to undertaking or completing an acute service sustainability review so that we can be collectively clear about the services which would benefit from collaborative action, before the end of August 2018.

# 4. DETAILS

Board members are asked to note the report.

# APPENDICES

Appendix 1 – New National Guidance, Reports and Consultations.

# NEW NATIONAL GUIDANCE, REPORTS AND CONSULTATIONS

The following guidance and policy actions, which have been received from the wider regulatory and policy system during June, have been sent to Executive Directors for review and decision on whether any actions are required for follow up or consideration by Board Committees.

No	Document	Guidance/ Report/ Consultation	Lead
1.			
	Self-certify this month	Action	Director of Governance
	Trust are required to complete their self- certifying compliance with required governance arrangements under condition FT4 of the NHS provider licence by 30 June.		
	Guide to reducing long hospital stays New guidance on helping trusts deliver the new national ambition to reduce the number of beds occupied by long-stay patients by 25% by December 2018.	Guidance	Chief Operating Officer
	Leadership of allied health professions (AHPs) in trusts Guidance and a self-assessment tool, to help the Trust identify areas for improvement and	Guidance	Director of HR
	opportunities for strengthening AHP senior leadership.		
	Chief executive performance ratings in NHS trusts	Action	Director of OD & HR and Chair
	NHS Improvement's role to oversee all aspects of an NHS trust board's performance on delivering high quality care. As part of this role they are to review the proposed annual performance ratings of Chief Executives. Performance in 2017/8 should have been measured on delivery of organisational performance against NHS Improvement's Single Oversight Framework.		
	Completed templates are due by 5pm Friday 13 July.		
	Information on your analytical services and information teams	Action	Director of Finance & Performance

	1	1
NHSI are creating a national database to communicate with those involved in information analysis, activity planning and corporate board and performance reporting. Details are required – see briefing		
Reducing reliance on medical agency staff: sharing what works New guide highlights common strategies trusts have used to successfully reduce reliance on medical agency spend.	Guidance	Director of Finance & Perofrmance / Medical Director
Patient experience improvement framework	Guidance	Director of Nursing
NHSI have published an evidence-based framework centred around Care Quality Commission key themes to enable boards and senior teams to continuously improve the experience of patients.		
NHS pay deal: trade union ballot result	Guidance	Director of OD & HR / Director of Finance &
New pay deal is due to be ratified on Wednesday 27 June and NHSI will work with NHS Employers to support trusts as the deal is implemented.		Performance
Performance of the NHS provider sector 2017/18	Information	All
Report on operational and financial performance shows despite experiencing the worst winter in a decade, frontline NHS staff and managers rose to the challenge and cared for more patients than ever before. However, this surge in demand affected performance in key areas, such as waiting times and reliance on temporary workers.		
Lord Carter's review highlights potential savings	Information	All
Lord Carter's review of the operational productivity of mental health and community health services found the NHS could save £1		

billion worth of efficiencies by 2020/21 by reducing unwarranted variations.		
The report, which was accepted in full by our board last week, has recommendations for national bodies and providers to implement over the next three years.		
Three new demand and capacity modelling tools	Information	All
NHSI have published three new demand and capacity modelling tools to help Trusts better understand demand, plan capacity across services and improve patient experience by making data-driven decisions.		
Prime Minister's speech on NHS funding commitment: 18 June 2018	Information	All
NHS Providers have issued a briefing which summarises the announcements made by the Prime Minister Theresa May regarding the new five year funding settlement for the NHS, giving the service real terms growth of more than 3 per cent for the next five years.		
Health and Social Care Select Committee report - Integrated care: organisations, partnerships and systems	Information	All
NHS Providers have published a briefing from the Health and Social Care Select Committee (the Committee) regarding the inquiry into 'the development of new integrated ways of planning and delivering local health and care services. This timely inquiry focusses on the development of Sustainability and Transformation Partnerships (STPs), Integrated Care Systems (ICSs) and Accountable Care Organisations (ACOs). This briefing provides an overview of the Committee's key findings and recommendations.		



Monthly Nurse Staffing Report					AGENDA ITEM: 8	
Report Author and Job Title:	Kara Blackwel	Kara Blackwell Re			Kara Blackwell	
	Acting Director	Acting Director of Nursing		Actin	Acting Director of Nursing	
Action Required	Approval	Decision	Assurance	mation X		
			To receive discuss X	and	To receive	
Recommendation	The Trust Board are asked to discuss the information contained in this report, the current performance in relation to the national and local safe staffing and roster KPIs and the ongoing work being undertaken in relation to faciliatating decision making in relation to the deployment of staff via the implementation of a daily acuity tool.					
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	BAF Objective No. 5: Establish a substantive workforce that reduces our expenditure on agency staff. Corporate Risk No 11 Failure to assure safe nurse staffing levels					
Resource implications	Resources are needed from all teams to focus on efficient scheduling of staff and the prompt action to resolve short staffing where possible. This includes resources from the departments that coordinate the temporary supply of staff.					
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	None					
Trust Strategy	Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programme       X					
		Develop the culture of the organisation to ensure mature decision making and clinical leadership				
	Improve our fi improvement p		through our robus	t X		
			trategy focused or & in collaboration			

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides an overview of the Nursing and Midwifery workforce during the month of May 2018 and is set out in line with the National Quality Board (NQB) Standards and Expectations for Safe Staffing published in 2016 under the headings of *Right Staff, Right Skills, and Right Time and Place.* The Trust performance against key national and local staffing indicators and a comparison to previous months is made. It also outlines the use of temporary registered nursing hours in May 2018 compared to the previous months.

The following key highlights are outlined in the report:

- Overall fill rates of 97% in May 2018
- Care hours per patient day (CHPPD) which have improved from the previous month, but are yet to reach the national average
- Significant reduction in the use of temporary staffing and a 26% reduction in agency cap breaches
- Reduction in informal bed base (boarding patients) and the positive impact this is having on CHPPD and patient safety
- Improved roster efficiency, timeliness of temporary staff requests
- Implementation of a daily acuity tool to aid the clinical decision making in relation to the deployment of staff

# 1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this report is to present to the board a review of ward nurse staffing level as directed by the National Quality Board (NQB 2016). The NQB has stipulated that; 'Boards must take full responsibility for the quality of care provided to patients, and as a key determinant of quality,take full and collective responsibility for nursing, midwifery and care staffing capacity and capability'. This report provides an overview of staffing for May 2018; it is set out in line with the NQB standards and expectations for safe staffing which includes the *Right Staff, Right Skills, and Right Time and Place* (NQB 2016) to provide assurance that arrangements are in place to safely staff our services with the right number of nurses and midwives, with the right skills, at the right time.

# 2.0 Right Staff

# 2.1 Safe Staffing UNIFY Data

The overall fill rate for registered staff in May 2018 was 97%, for CSW staff the overall fill rate was the same. The target of above 90% fill rate was achieved across both registered and unregistered staffing on both days and nights.

Day				Night			
RN/Midwives		Care	e Staff	RN/Midwives		Care Staff	
%Bank	%Agency	%Bank	%Agency	%Bank	%Agency	%Bank	%Agency
32472.5	32383.4	24311	23371.9	26990.5	25687.5	18203	17898.5
Average Fill Rate - RN/Midwives (%)			Fill Rate - Staff (%)	Average Fill Rate - RN/Midwives (%)Average Fill I Staff			
99.7%		96	5.1%	95	.2%	98.	3%

The monthly staffing fill rates for May 2018 submitted to Unify are outlined below.

# Clinical Area Exception Reporting <90% Fill Rate

Those clinical areas with <90% fill rate for RNs or CSW on days or nights are reviewed below:

Registered Nurse Fill Rate Compliance < 90% by Clinical Area				
Ward	Fill Rate	Exception Report Comments		
Ward 15	89.9 % day	No incidents were reported in which staffing was a factor. The fill rate for CSWs was 116% on nights compensating for some of the gaps in RN shifts		
Ward 7	84% day	No incidents were reported in which staffing was a factor.		
Ward 9	65.8% night	This ward had use of extra capacity during the month which resulting in demand for higher numbers of RN staff from Bank/Agency which were sometimes unmet.		
Ward 21	87.1% night	Paediatric workforce used flexibly based on activity. No incidents reported in which staffing was a factor		

CSW Fill Rate Compliance <90% by Clinical Area				
Ward	Fill Rate	Exception Report Comments		
PAU	89.2% day	Paediatric workforce used flexibly based on activity. No incidents reported in which staffing was a factor.		
16	89.7% day	No incidents were reported in which staffing was a factor.		
18	85.6% day, 57.8% night	The staffing in HDU is applied flexibly to the demand in the area. No incidents were reported in which staffing was a factor.		
24/25	74.9% day/77.6% night	No incidents were reported in which staffing was a factor.		
Ward 23	79.5% day	Gynaecology ward uses staff from clinic areas to support workforce, this is used flexibly based on activity. No incidents reported in which staffing was a factor.		

# 2.2 Average Care Hours per Patient Day (CHPPD)

Care Hours per Patient Day (CHPDD) continues to be collated on a monthly basis and are reported as part of the Unify data report. The CHPPD for May 2018 was 7.3; this was an improvement on the previous month, although still below the national average, reported via the Model Hospital is 7.6 CHPPD and regional comparison of 7.5. The routine boarding of patients (placing additional patients on the ward, over and above the allocated bed spaces) will directly impact on the Trust CHPPD; there was a decrease in the boarding of patients in May 2018. In addition the Trust has the highest bed occupancy (100%) in the West Midlands and this impacts on the CHPPD reported.

# 2.3 Safe Staffing, Quality and Safety KPIs

No relationships were identified in May 2018 between the levels of staffing within the clinical areas and quality metrics although the senior nursing team reviews any correlation between clinical incidents concerning staffing and patient care.

Ward	Hospital Acquired Pressure Ulcer (unvalidated prior to RCA)	Falls with Harm	SI	Complaints	FFT Score
Ward 15	0	3	1	2	94.59%
Ward 7	0	5	0	0	98.21%
Ward 16	3	1	1	1	97.14%
Ward 18	0	0	0	0	100.00%
Ward 9	3	1	1	1	95.45%
Ward 21	0	0	0	0	91.01%
Ward 23	0	0	1	1	98.70%
Ward 24	0	0	0	0	100.00%
Ward 25	0	0	0	0	90.32%

Key Quality KPIs for those areas with <90% fill rate are outlined below:

Any gaps in staffing which may have impacted on care are already considered as part of the RCAs process for falls with harm and category 3, 4 and unstageable pressure ulcers. Those pressure ulcers reported in May are currently going through the RCA investigation process.
#### 2.4 Evidence based workforce planning

In order to ensure the safe and effective delivery of patient care it is essential that we have the right establishment of posts and the right staff in place. The Safer Nursing Care Tool audit (SNCT) is undertaken bi-annually and should be used to guide establishment and skill mix setting for clinical areas, alongside professional judgement, peer benchmarking and nationally available staffing data. The SNCT audit was undertaken in Febraury 2018, analysis of this showed that the national tool had been modified in some areas which is not recommended. Based on the feedback from the NHSi review the SNCT which was due to be undertaken on June 2018 is commencing on 25<sup>th</sup> June will no modification from the national tool and the audit is being supported by the Senior Nurse for workforce to ensre the tool is applied equitably across all adult ward areas.

#### 3.0 Right Skills

#### 3.1 RN Recruitment

Current initiatives being undertaken in relation to RN recruitment:

- The Trust continues to advertise on a rolling basis for RN vacancies in the Medicine and Surgical Division
- Ad hoc recruitment events tailored to specialities such as AMU.
- The Trust also has overseas arrivals planned for the remainder of this financial year with an expected conversion to RN registered with the NMC within 6 months. The target for recruitment is 30WTE for this financial year. YTD 4 Overseas nurses have commenced (April/May) against a target of 6 nurses for this time period.

The current vacancies in May 2018 for RNs (excluding Theatres) is 72.83 WTE, in comparison there were 91.67WTE for the same period of May 2017. Comparing RN vacancies to same time period in the last financial year it is evident that the Trust has circa 20WTE less RN vacancies. However, there were an addition 2 funded wards still open in May 2017 which closed but then re-opened as additional. It is worth noting that at the time of writing this report one ward is still open and if this was funded then the vacacnies would increase and be equivalent to the same time period last year.

#### 4.0 Right Place and Time

The senior nursing team and the finance team are currently working on the development of a Nurse staffing dashboard to enable all KPIs to be displayed and monitored; this will facilitate the management of performance against these KPIs. These dashboards will provide this data at individual ward, care group, Divisional and corporate level and the Divisions and care groups will be held to account through the monthly Divisional Reviews.

#### 4.1 Efficient Deployment and minimising agency

There is a continued focus on reduction of agency staff across the Trust. There was a reduction in the use of agency registered nursing hours in May 2018 compared to the previous month. This has been driven by the closure of an additional capacity ward and the on-going work which is being undertaken regarding proactive rostering and management of short notice requests.



• There were 1408 less registered nurses (RN) temporary staffing hours used in May 2018 compared to April 2018. Overall there are now approx. 55WTE less temporary RNs being used in May than in March 2018.

Apr-18

1398

May-18

• There were 1965 less CSW temporary staffing hours used in May 2018 compared to April 2018, this included 1523 less agency CSW hours. Overall there are approx. 40WTE less CSW used in May than in March 2018.

The number of NHSi Cap breaches and the use of Off Framework (Thornbury) also deceased in May 2018, with there being a 26% reduction in Agency Cap breaches decreasing from 347 shifts in April 2018 to 258 in May 2018. There was a significant reduction in the number of off framework shifts being used in May 2018, 5 compared with 26 used during April 18.

A RN HIT team (allocate on arrival) which has been introduced to help achieve a reduction in Tier 3 and off framework registered nursing usage. This commenced on 21<sup>st</sup> May18 but few shifts have been filled despite an increased rate of pay being offered as staff prefer to undertake bank shifts in their own clinicl areas.

#### 4.2 Additional Capacity

0

Mar-18

The opening of extra capacity within the trust will impact upon the ability to fill staffing requirements when they are elevated as a result. The graph below shows the trend of extra capacity vs bed base over the last 12 months. The Executive Team are working collaboratively to develop a robust winter plan to plan for this during winter 18/19. At peak there were +90 extra beds in use on the acute hospital site.



The number of bed days has been compared between April / May 17 and April / May 18. The table below demonstrates the comparative data showing that there were less bed days in May 2018 as a result of Ward 14 closing and other capacity areas being closed, with the exception of Ward 10 which remains open. There were also less bed days and beds open in May 2018 than the same period the previous year.

	April 17	May 17	April 18	May 18
No. Bed Days	15924	16044	16733	15118
Month end Bed count	496	509	488	470

#### 4.2 Productivity Working and Eliminating Waste

Increased focus is now in place to ensure that all wards are producing effective, fair, safe and efficient rosters. Roster clinics are mandated monthly for the ward managers and matrons to attend and there is evidence that this is significantly improving roster efficiency (see graph below). Rosters are expected to be signed off 8 weeks in advance and immediately following sign off a request sent to the bank to fill roster gaps in order to optimise the possibility of filling these shifts with bank instead of agency. Any remaining gaps in the rosters are then released to Tier 1 agency at 2 weeks prior to the shift and any remaining gaps are risk assessed 12-24 hours in advance and alternatives to covering the sifts explored.

#### **Eroster Sign-Off Improvements**



Compliance with Eroster Sign off has improved with one period of decline aligned to when the roster periods and timescales were amended following the NHSi workforce review and porr attendance at the roster clinics that month. This has now improved with additional review clinics and an increased level of scrutiny by the Director of Nursing who attends the roster clinics.

#### E-roster Contract Hours utilisation

There have been some improvements in other KPIs which include management of contracted hours and skill mix. An internal assurance audit was undertaken in October 2017 and gave recommendations to improve Eroster KPI performance. A recommendation was made that the amount of contract hours unused on rosters needed to improve. From April to October 2017 the audit found that there were 11,994 nursing hours used used 11,994 nursing hours for which it has paid. In May 2018, on ward based Erosters there were 268 contract hours unused (average of 10 hrs per roster).

#### 4.3 Efficient Deployment and Flexibility

The roll out of a daily acuity tool to all adult inpatient areas will commence on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2018. This will provide real-time visibility across the Trust of appropriate levels of staffing for our patients. The patient acuity and staffing data will be collected daily at 3pm (as recommended by NHSi). This will support decision making in relation to the deployment of temporary nursing staff or the need to move substantive staff to support patient care and safety in another area.

#### 5.0 Recommendations.

The Trust Board are asked to note the information contained in this report, the current performance in relation to the national and local safe staffing and roster KPIs and the ongoing work being undertaken in relation to facilitating decision making in relation to the deployment of staff via the implementation of a daily acuity tool.

The Trust Board are asked to discuss and challenge the content of this report, paying particular attention to the significant improvements in:

• Overall fill rates of 97% in May 2018

- Care hours per patient day (CHPPD) which have improved from the previous month, but are yet to reach the national average
- Significant reduction in the use of temporary staffing and a 26% reduction in agency cap breaches
- Reduction in informal bed base (boarding patients) and the positive impact this is having on CHPPD and patient safety
- Improved roster efficiency, timeliness of temporary staff requests



Quality Committee – 5 <sup>th</sup> July	2018					
Patient Care Improvement Pla	n (PCIP) Upda	ate			AGE	NDA ITEM: 9
Report Author and Job Title:	Shelley Price/	Julie Romano	Re	sponsible	Kar	ra Blackwell
	Divisional Gov	/ernance	Dir	ector:	Act	ing Director of
	Advisors				Nur	rsing
Action Required	Approval	Decision		Assurance and	d Info	ormation
•				To receive and		To receive
				discuss X		
Recommendation	The Trust Ber	ard is asked to:				
			ı de	velopment of th	ne PO	CIP and the planned
	introc	luction of the P	CIP	dashboard		
						mpleting the actions
	bread		s the	e Must/Should d	to ac	ctions and regulatory
	bioac					
		· · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust	CQC inspection		not	achieve an acce	eptab	ble rating at the next
Risk Registers? please		JII.				
outline	Corporate Ris					
		sional Risks in	ML	FC, WCCSS and	d Sur	gery No: 1329, 705
Resource implications	& 1101. The PCIP con	tains many act	ions	s the resource in	mplic	ations of which are
		he individual a			npilo	
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications				thet Inspector of the Act and CQC		pitals inspection
				uld the Trust's ra		
	significant faili	ngs are expos	ed a	at the next inspe		
Operational Objectives		journey on pat		•		/
2018/19	clinical quality	through a com	pre	nensive		$\checkmark$
			dan	isation to ensure	3	
				ical leadership		
	•		thro	ough our robust		
	improvement		1 m = 1			
				egy focused on in collaboration		
	with other Tru		C.			

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care

Safe, high quality care

Care at home

G

Partners

1500





#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Patient Care Improvement Plan (PCIP) was developed following receipt of the Chief Inspector of Hospitals Inspection Report in December 2018. The Must and Should Do actions were assessed and developed by the managers of the core services and lead directors and included in the first cut of the PCIP in January 2018.

The Divisions have been active in managing the actions with their Care Groups but for the reasons stated in the report, it has not been possible to produce a revised version of the PCIP for review at this meeting. It will be available by the next meeting.

The PCIP is being developed further with on-line multiple user access to be made available, mapping of the actions to KPIs and audits taking place to produce a PCIP dashboard and following the workshop at the Trust Clinical Executive meeting, the addition of quick win actions to the PCIP.

A system to record and report on the progress with the actions is in development and this version of the PCIP will be used to populate it. The Improvement Consultant is devising a PCIP dashboard which links the actions to indicators and audits which will show whether the actions are effective in achieving the desired results.



#### Patient Care Improvement Plan (PCIP)

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the reports is to inform the committee of the progress made in achieving the must do and should do actions identified in the Chief Inspector of Hospitals Report published in December 2017. Theses have been incorporated into the Patient Care Improvement Plan (PCIP).

#### 2. BACKGROUND

The PCIP was constructed in January / February 2018. The Divisional Management Teams led the work to provide actions which addressed the must and should do actions identified in the CQC report. It also includes the regulatory breaches. The actions related to Maternity were mapped to the Maternity Improvement Plan and any additional issues raised in the report added so there was one plan held by the Care Group. This included the actions taken in response to the Section 29a notice.

As previously reported, while this approach deals with issues identified at the inspection, a different approach needs to be taken to achieve a good or outstanding rating at inspection. As part of this work, the PCIP actions are being mapped to existing indicators and audits to produce a PCIP dashboard which will demonstrate whether the actions are achieving the desired outcome. The Improvement Consultant is leading this work.

A system to record and report on progress with the actions is under construction.

The Divisional Quality Governance Advisors have worked with the Divisional Management Teams to inform this progress report with the June 2018 position. This information will be transferred into the system following this report.

#### 3. DETAILS

The revised PCIP is provided with this report. The summary sheet shows the position for each of the core services and includes the regulatory actions and additional actions.

#### 3.1 Maternity Improvement Plan

The Maternity Improvement Plan is reported separately and is not provided here in detail, the plan also integrates all actions required from National Patient Surveys so that quality, safety and patient experience are managed through one action plan. Progress against this and the Section 29A notice is also reported via the Maternity Oversight Committee. The RAG rating for Maternity Improvement Plan differs from the RAG rating in the PCIP, therefore for clarification of progression.



#### 3.1.1 Section 29A Update

Section 29A Warning Notice	Detail	KPI	Status	Comment
	Monitoring, recording and escalation of concerns for CTG requires significant improvement	100%		Weekly audits on CTG compliance being carried out and reported at Maternity Oversight meeting.
	There are insufficient midwives with HDU training to ensure women in HDU are cared for by staff with the appropriate skills	Plan to have 2 EMC midwives competent in HDU care rostered onto each shift.		There are now enough trained midwives to have 1 on each shift, and there is only the 1-2 shifts where due to sickness this standard has not been met. Plans to have 29 midwives trained by end of June 2018 to enable 2 EMC trained nurses on duty at all times.
	Safeguarding training is insufficient to protect women and babies on the unit who may be at risk	90% compliance by March 2018		SG Children Level 1 – 100% Level 2 – 100% Level 3 93% SG Adult Level 1 – 100% Level 2 – 96% Level 3 – 96%
	There are insufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff in the delivery suite and on the maternity wards			Acuity is monitored x3 daily and discussed at safety huddles, any actions needed are addressed





3.1.2 Summary of Maternity PCIP Progress against Must and Should Do Actions (also includes other actions identified by the Care Group as requiring action also listed)

Theme	Action Con gained	nplete and assurance		nenced, some slippage or aited, expected to time	Action commenced but considerable delay		
	Мау	June	Мау	June	Мау	June	
Safe:	26	29	13	10	1	0	
Effective	12	15	10	10	3	0	
Caring	3	3	3	3	0	0	
Responsive	6	6	5	5	0	0	
Well Led	3	4	3	3	0	0	
Total	50	57	34	31	4	0	

#### 3.2 Regulatory Breaches (applicable to all services across the Trust)

Regulatory Breach	Detail	KPI	Status	Comment
Regulation 12 HSCA	Thromboembolism assessments	95% are assessed		Target achieved for last 3 months.
(RA) Regulations	were not carried out for all patients at	on admission March		On-going work required to embed and
2014 Safe care and	risk.	2018.		sustain this performance as at present PDN
treatment				still overseeing and chasing compliance
Regulation 18 HSCA	There were high levels of nursing staff			Current RN vacancy rate is 9.79% (April
(RA) Regulations	vacancies across acute services. This			18). Model Hospital reports Regional
2014 Staffing	meant the provider was not			Vacancy rate of 12.5% and National as
-	providing sufficient numbers of			10.66% so the Trust is below these.
	suitably qualified staff to keep patients			Initiatives Include:
	safe			-Rolling recruitment to Band 5 posts



# **NHS Trust**

			-Guaranteed jobs for Student Nurses with offer made in Year 1 -Ongoing development of new roles, 1 <sup>st</sup> Wave TNAs qualify January 2019 -Retention initiatives including development of PDN roles in Divisions to support staff development Staffing closely monitored via -Monthly reports to Board of Unify Fill Rates and exceptions/Quality KPIs -Model Hospital comparison -Implementation of daily acuity tool - Biannual SNCT audit and recommendations to Board
Regulation 12 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Safe care and treatment	12 (2)(a) Not all staff were compliant or completed timely assessments for patients- in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act 2005.	Compliance Mandatory training By March 2018 Compliance with MCA when completing DNA CPR decisions by March 2018.	On-going improvements required in training across all disciplines and completion of DNACPR Audit performance has decreased since last CQC Inspection and requires on-going work to address MCA assessment as part of DNACPR process Consent audit being undertaken July 2018 with feedback at MAC and TMB
Regulation 12 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Safe care and treatment	The critical care environment had only one isolation room. This provision was not meeting the needs of patients so was not sufficient to maintain safe management of infectious patients.	Revised SOP February 2018 New build October 2018.	SOP has been revised and audits to be undertaken to measure compliance to the SOP. New build on target for December 2018 opening.
Regulation 12 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Safe care and treatment	Staff were not up-to-date with mandatory training. There were a number of modules that had completion rates	90% compliance by 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2018.	All Divisions receiving monthly stats for compliance in their areas. Monitored and challenged as part of Divisional Review process which will be monthly going forward



# **NHS Trust**

	significantly lower than the trust's target.		
Regulation 12 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Safe care and treatment	Blind cords were not secured in all of the rooms at the child development centre	By March 2018. Risk assessment Permanent solution to be implemented. Audit of compliance.	Risk assessment and enquiry with Estates for securing the blinds. Daily checks to be undertaken. Outstanding action to be completed. Compliance reported to Care Quality Group team.
Regulation 13 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Safeguarding service users from abuse and improper treatment	Safeguarding training completion rates were low for both medical and nursing staff. Not all staff were trained in level 3 safeguarding children, which is a requirement set by the Intercollegiate document (2014).	Achievement of targets for all levels of Children and Adult Safeguarding by end June 2018 Level 1=95% Level 2 & 3=85% PREVENT=85%	As of 18/06/18 Compliance achieved for : Level 1 Children Safeguarding = 99.84% Level 1 Adult Safeguarding =99.92% Level 3 Children's Safeguarding = 88.94% Level 3 Adults Safeguarding = 85.27% However: Level 2 Children's Safeguarding = 77.45% Level 2 Adult Safeguarding =80.36% PREVENT Level 3 =80.44%



# **NHS Trust**

(RA) Regulations 2014 Good governancepatient re documen complete signing et of entries signature job roles legible or times.Patients' the comm team whe the office	ed. Staff were not always entries. There were a number s where there were es, printed names, dates, and missing. Not all records were r were kept secure at all records were taken home by nunity children's nursing	ete pporaneous s by 1 <sup>st</sup> March p a work plan to s the physical on of the records by arch 2018	There needs to be further work undertaken as a priority to ensure that documentation is completed in line with Trust Policies and National Professional Regulatory Body Standards Divisions are auditing documentation, but this remains poor although use of stamps is slowly improving Actions being undertaken: -Multidisciplinary documentation audits -DivDON/matron scrutiny at ward level and as part of Ward Review Process -Focus of next Nursing Forum with senior Nurses -PDN/Quality Team daily reviews on ward and support/reminding staff of responsibilities regarding standards of documentation -Revised Nursing Assessment Documentation currently being finalised for launch August 2018
---	---	--	---



3.3 Summary of Divisional Progress against Must and Should Do Actions (other actions identified by the Divisions as requiring action also listed)

		Must				Sho	ould			Regulation			Additional			
Core Service																
Children & Young People	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	6	5	2
Community Services - Adults	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Services - Children	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	12	0
Critical Care	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
End of Life Care																
Maternity and Gynaecology					Monito	red thro	ugh Ma	iternity	Impro	vement	Plan					
Medical Care	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outpatients & Diagnostic Imaging	0	1	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surgery	0	2	3	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Urgent & Emergency Services	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate																
Totals																

We are using a RAG rating to show whether progress is on track or not. The

**Status:** following definitions will be used to record progress:



- = Target date missed / actions unachievable
- = Target date not met there is a significant risk of the action not being completed by that date
- = Action on target for completion by the expected date



#### 4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is asked to:

- Note the continuing development of the PCIP and the planned introduction of the PCIP dashboard
- Consider the progress made to date in completing the actions required to address the Must/Should do actions and regulatory breaches.



Walsall Healthcare MHS



# MEETING OF THE TRUST BOARD – 5<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2018

Annual Complaints Report					AGE	NDA ITEM: 10		
Report Author and Job Title:	Garry Perry		Re	lesponsible		a Blackwell		
	Head of Patient Relations		Dir	ector:	Acting Director of			
						sing		
				[ -		0		
Action Required	Approval	Decision		Assurance and	i Info	ormation		
				To receive and		To receive		
				discuss X				
Recommendation	Members of th	he Trust Board	are	asked to:				
	<ol> <li>To note contents and progress made.</li> <li>To approve service development recommendations</li> </ol>							
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	There are no	risk implication	is as	ssociated with th	is rep	port.		
Resource implications	There are no	resource implie	catic	ons associated w	ith th	nis report.		
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	There are no l paper.	legal or equalit	y &	diversity implica	tions	associated with this		
Operational Objectives 2018/19		journey on par through a con programme				X		
	•		•	isation to ensure	•			
				ical leadership				
	improvement							
	Develop the c	linical service		tegy focused on in collaboration				

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The NHS and Social Care Complaint regulations 2009 require NHS bodies to provide an annual report on complaint handling and consideration, a copy of which must be available to the public. The attached annual report provides details of complaints and concerns received by Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust between 1 April 2017 and 31 March 2018.

During 2017/2018 a total of 3661 contacts were received by the Patient Relations Team which included a total of 313 written complaints (KO14a) about care which were received by the Chief Executive.

The work with divisions and 'profile raising' of the need to negotiate timeframes with the complainant has resulted in a year end position of 85% of all complaints responded to within timeframe agreed with the complainant.

The main themes identified from the concerns raised include: appointments (927) an increase of 21 on the previous year, clinical care, assessment and treatment 690 (an increase of 85), communication (222), information (277) and staff attitude (125).

Planned Initiatives for 2018-2019:

A full action plan for Patient Complaints and Patient Experience is currently being developed, some actions already identified and being worked up /planned include:

- Complete work with the Paediatric department in producing a child friendly leaflet for children and parents in addition to drafting a new Patient Leaflet that better informs complainants of the process
- A customer care pilot using the "hand in hand" approach in partnership with John Lewis has been undertaken. Following the launch of the new Trust Values in July 2018 there will be a planned extension of this programme to address those issues identified from the FFT, complaints and National Surveys around attitude, communication and information.

#### Annual Complaints Report

#### 1.0 Introduction

The NHS and Social Care Complaint regulations 2009 require NHS bodies to provide an annual report on complaint handling and consideration, a copy of which must be available to the public. The attached annual report provides details of complaints and concerns received by Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust between 1 April 2017 and 31 March 2018.

The report identifies both the numbers and themes of formal written complaints reported as KO14a to the HSCIC (Health and Social Care Information Centre).

The Patient Relations Team manages complaints, concerns and compliments received on behalf of the Trust. The Team strives to be as responsive and proactive to queries and concerns as possible managing a caseload that averages 14 contacts per working day each year. Working closely with Divisional teams and staff of all levels, the team seeks to maintain an appropriate level of contact with the complainants and where required external agencies; responding in a way that is both 'person centered' and effective in addressing the complainants concerns.

This report provides information on the types of feedback received by the Patient Relations Team in the past year 2017/2018 it highlights some of the actions taken as a lesson learned and looks forward to continuous improvement in the way we respond to patients and their carers when they are often at their most aggrieved.

#### 2.0 Activity

During 2017/2018 a total of 3661 contacts were received by the Patient Relations Team which included a total of 313 written complaints (KO14a) about care which were received by the Chief Executive. This figure includes 280 written complaints, 8 MP letters and 25 informal to formal converted complaints (7 complaints were withdrawn). There has been an overall reduction in 2017/2018 of complaints compared to the previous year. Throughout this report 'KO41a' written complaints are referred to as 'complaints' and these are managed through the Trust's complaints process and reported quarterly to the HSCIC (Health and Social Care Information Centre).



Complaints and Patient Relations Team Activity 2017-2018:

## 3.0 Complaints

This section details Formal Complaints received during 2017/18.

## 3.1 Complaints by Division

There has been an overall reduction of 9 complaints compared to the previous year 2016/2017.

The Divisions of Medicine and Long Term Conditions (MLTC) and Surgery continue to receive the highest number of complaints generated the greatest number of complaints. Medicine and Long Term Conditions accounts for 52% of all complaints received, with Surgery accounting for 25% and Women's Children's and Clinical Support Services WCCS 19%.

#### 3.2 Complaints by Complaint Category

During 2017/2018, the main themes emerging from the formal complaints received related to clinical care, assessment and treatment, communication/attitude, appointments and discharge.

#### **Complaints by Category:**



#### 4.0 Formal Complaints and Concerns

#### 4.1 Formal Complaints Response Times and Outcomes

Approval for a new timeframe was agreed with local resolution targets in July 2016 identifying a 10, 30 and 45 working day timeframe based on agreement with the complainant and the level of seriousness afforded.

The work with divisions and 'profile raising' of the need to negotiate timeframes with the complainant has resulted in a year end position of 85% of all complaints responded to within timeframe agreed with the complainant. This is a significant improvement from a year end position of 51% in 2016/2017. On 6 occasions during the last 12 months the Trust also achieved 100% completion.

#### **Outcomes of Formal Complaints**

In 2017-2018 a total of 258 complaints were resolved, 20 were upheld with 97 not upheld and 141 partially upheld.

In 2017/18, a total of 8 cases were referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman (PHSO). There are no cases open from the previous year 2016/2017. The outcome figures are shown below; in one case the outcome is yet to be determined.

PHSO Cases and Outcomes, Year on Year Comparison:



Themes emerging from these complaints to the PHSO included:

- · Concerns highlighted with regard to clinical care assessment and treatment
- Poor communication
- Inadequate pain management and poor nursing care

#### 4.2 Informal Concerns

There were a total of 2627 informal concerns received during 2017/2018 (including 8 formal to informal conversions and 455 queries/comments/cases referred on). Surgery equated for 34% (899) of the total activity, with MLTC 32% (859) and WCCSS 19% (505).

#### Informal Concerns by Division and Category:



The main themes identified from the concerns raised include: appointments (927) an increase of 21 on the previous year, clinical care, assessment and treatment 690 (an increase of 85), communication (222), information (277) and staff attitude (125).

#### 5.0 Lessons Learned from Complaints and Concerns

Some of the lessons learned arising from complaints and concerns include:

# 1. A patient felt that her surgical stocking was too tight after her operation and that this caused her wounds which required redressing regularly:

One of the Trust's surgical wards composed a checklist for all patients regarding the use and monitoring of ted stockings, this checklist ensures a patients stockings are checked regularly. The checklist documents what action has been taken by staff, any change and the current condition of the patient's legs and feet. This check list was taken to quality board and has since been rolled out across other wards. If this checklist had been in place during the patients stay on the ward it may have encouraged a conversation and would have allowed closer monitoring. The stocking checklist is now in every patient folder who is wearing TEDS for VTE prevention.

2. Patient raised concerns in relation to answering the telephones in the Outpatient (OPD) Therapies Department

Following this concern being raised changes were made to the OPD therapies department and a receptionist allocated to oversee this.

3. Concerns were raised over confusing signage regarding the escalators in the main atrium of the hospital

This signage was changed to make users aware of direction of travel

#### 6.0 Patient Opinion/NHS Choices/CQC

Since April 2017 there have been 68 comments made about the Trust via the NHS Choices/Patient Care Opinion website. This includes 22 Compliments. The key category type is Clinical Care, Assessment and Treatment, appointment queries, communication and attitude. This mirrors the feedback received via all categories of complaint and concern. Feedback posted on the NHS Choice/Patient Opinion website is acknowledged with a request to contact the Trust to discuss the situation further offered. In terms of CQC we have 9 patient concerns logged – some of these have also come in as Formal complaints and were investigated accordingly.

Where no contact is made with the Trust directly, feedback is provided directly to the CQC following investigation for contact to be made with the person raising the complaint.

#### Category Type NHS Choices/Care Opinion/CQC



#### 7.0 Compliments

In 2017-2018 there were 734 compliments received by the Trust (the figure is 756 when including the compliments made via the Patient Care/NHS Opinion website). Adult Community Care accounted for the majority of compliments recorded. Areas involved are informed of the types of comments of appreacition received and where appropriate they are referred for a recogition award via the Trust recognition scheme.



#### 8.0 Complaints Monitoring and Reviews

## 8.1 Complaints Monitoring Review Panels

The Complaints Monitoring Panel was set up in October 2015 with the purpose of the panel to assist the Trust in improving complaints handling procedures and help to improve standards in decision making. The panel is led by lay members with professional advice provided as and when required. Since its inception the panel has grown in confidence and as a result set up two sub-groups to focus its attention. One sub-group looks at the complaints process, and issues relating to quality. The other sub-group carries out reviews of cases which are proving difficult to resolve where an independent review is offered.

The panel has continued to meet throughout the year and has undertaken the following:

- Completed Complaints Investigation Masterclass training
- Reviewed PHSO cases to gain a better understanding how complaints are investigated at that level
- Led a workshop that reviewed a sample of complaint responses, response satisfaction survey findings and equality monitoring data
- Contributed to the development of a revised complaints information leaflet, and supported and reviewed a draft unreasonable behaviour guideline

#### 8.2 Complaint Satisfaction Questionnaire

The Trust feedback survey is based on the 'I' statements outlined in the user-led vision (PHSO). Answers are requested using a scale of 0-5 with 0 as completely disagree and 5 completely agree. Feedback received is outlined as follows based on 15% return rate (49 responses):

- Making a complaint was straight forward=86%
- I knew I had the right to complain=89%
- I knew that my care would not be compromised by making a complaint=92%
- The staff who spoke to me regarding my complaint were polite and helpful=86%
- My complaint was acknowledged within 3 working days=79%
- I was informed about the complaints process=91%
- I was informed of any delays and updated on the progress=83%
- I received a resolution in a time period that was relevant to my case and complaint=91%
- I am happy with my overall response time to my complaint=85%
- I feel the Trust has taken my comments on board and have made changes to improve the things that I was unhappy with= 74%
- I would complain again if I felt the need to=100%

#### 8.3 Equality Monitoring

An equality monitoring form is issued at the point of acknowledgement with 14% (44) returned in 2017/2018.

- 95% of service users who responded to our survey where white British, the remaining 5% where Black Caribbean and Asian.
- 82% of service user who responded to our survey where age 51 plus (36% being 51-60), only 4% where under 30. We are hoping to see an increase in range by using a survey monkey which will allow service users the opportunity to complete a form online
- 71% of service users stated their religion was Christianity, 4% Hindi, 4% spiritual and 21% did not wish to say, or had no belief.
- 70% of responses were received back from females, 26% men and 4% did not wish to state.
- 77% of patients were heterosexual, 8% bisexual, 4% Gay, 4% Lesbian, 7% did not wish to state.
- Relationship status was varied, with the highest response being married (56%)
- 30% of service users would consider themselves to have a disability.

#### 9.0 Conclusion

Activity levels throughout 2017/2018 has increased however it is important to note that local resolution handling has improved, the Trust is getting consistently better at responding in a timely

way and improving processes. In 2018-2019 the team will focus on actions to address some of the consistent themes associated with complaints including communication, attitude and information/discharge issue and a detailed action plan is currently being developed.

MEETING OF THE PUBLIC TRU	ST BOARD – 5 <sup>t</sup>	<sup>h</sup> July 2018						
Quality and Safety Highlight Repo	ort				AGEN	IDA ITEM: 11		
Report Author and Job Title:	Kara Blackwe	I	Re	sponsible	Prof	essor Russell Beale		
	Acting Directo	r of Nursing	Dir	ector:	Non	-Executive Director		
Action Required	Approval	Decision		Assurance and	Inform	nation		
				To receive and		To receive		
				discuss X				
Recommendation	The Trust Boa report.	rd are asked to r	note	and discuss the ir	ıforma	tion contained in this		
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust		Link to Board Assurance Framework Risk Statement No.1 'That the quality an						
Risk Registers? please outline	safety of care we provide across the Trust does not improve in line with o commitment in the Patient Care Improvement Plan'							
Resource implications	-	The funding required for the equipment replacement programme were raised a part of the Quality and Safety Committee						
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	Compliance w	Compliance with Trust Standing Orders						
Trust Strategy	Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programme					X		
		ulture of the orga on making and cl		X				
	Improve our financial health through our robust improvement programme							
	Develop the clinical service strategy focused on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other Trusts							

# **Executive Summary**

The report provides a highlight of the key items discussed at the most recent Quality & Safety Committee meeting held on the 29<sup>th</sup> June 2018 together with the confirmed minutes of the meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018 (appendix 1).

Key items discussed at the meeting were:

- The 5 CDiff cases YTD and the concerns in relation to the target of 17 cases for the year
- The Winter Plan overview and the positive impact that this plan will have with the planning taking place earlier in the year
- The approval of the Quality Account by the Committee
- The learning from Health and Safety incidents including the RIDDOR reported staff incidents, and whether all incidents where staff have been assaulted resulting in injury are reported as serious incidents
- The Safer Nursing Care Tool Audit (SNCT) was currently being undertaken using the nationally recommended tool, and it was acknowledged that there had been some local interpretation of the national tool previously, this had also been identified by the NHSI report who outlined in their review that the SNCT was not being used accurately on a consistent basis across all ward areas

The meeting held on the 29<sup>th</sup> June 2018 was quorate and chaired by Professor Beale.

#### 1.0 Introduction

The Quality & Safety Committee reports to the Trust Board on a monthly basis following its meetings. The Board receives the approved minutes from the previous Quality & Safety Committee meeting and a highlight report on the key issues raised at the most recent meeting.

#### 2.0 Key items from the meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2018

The Committee was quorate and discussed a number of items. Minutes will be provided to the Trust Board in August 2018. The highlights for the Trust Board to be aware of are as follows:

#### 3.0 Performance and Quality Report

The Performance & Quality report was presented and the following updates were noted:

- CDiff rates with 5 cases reported against a target for the year of 17 cases.
- Performance for the Dementia screening assessments has decreased, this was being addressed with the clinical teams
- FFT in Outpatients and Inpatients was noted to have declined this month. The Matron in Outpatients is now sending the FFT results to speciality teams for actioning as well as the work she is undertaking to improve this. Ensuring patients are offered the opportunity to complete the FFT is included on the Discharge Checklist to prompt the teams, the ward areas are also awaiting delivery of iPads to use for completion of the FFT.
- There was a discussion about pressure ulcers and the difficulties in benchmarking these as they are recorded differently in different organisations, e.g. the recording of a pressure ulcer as hospital acquired range from 6 hours post admission to 72 hours making comparison between organisations more difficult. NHS Improvement released a new definition and measurement framework for implementation in April 2019 which will aid comparison in the future.
- Safeguarding Training compliance had improved, at present the Trust is now compliant with level 1 and 3 Adult and Children Safeguarding training but level 2 training remains below the 85% target
- The committee discussed the drivers of poor performance and the actions required to address this.

#### 4.0 Annual Complaints Report

The Annual Complaints report was presented and the following points were noted:

- The number of complaints remained comparable with the previous year (with only 9 less formal complaints reported)
- The clinical areas reporting the highest number of complaints and the themes of these complaints remained the same
- The Complaints team are currently pulling together a work plan for 2018-2019 which includes the further role out of the customer care approach which will be delivered in the Emergency Department, a medical ward and surgical ward following the launch of the new Trust Values in July 2018.

### 5.0 The Winter Plan

A presentation of the Winter Plan was presented. The key points highlighted included:

- The current plans for winter 2018/2019 which outline the likely admitted medicine bed demand which can be expected in 2018/2019 and the impact of these and mitigating actions being developed across the health economy to address this
- The plans to maintain 1 ward throughout the year and recruit to this ward to avoid the negative impact of bed occupancy which is consistently 100% and the related impact this has on the quality, safety and patient experience of care
- The engagement of external partners in this process
- The re-launch of Red to Green and Safer on the adults wards
- The ongoing support from ECIP

#### 6.0 Monthly Safe Staffing Report

The following key points were noted and discussed:

- The overall fill rates for May 2018 exceeded the 90% target set for both day and night shifts. Exception reports for individual areas where this target was not achieved did not report any incidents /omissions in care linked to staffing
- The Care Hours per Patient Day (CHPPD) improved in May 2018 but remained below peers in the Black Country and nationally,
- The NHS Improvement report of the nursing workforce was received in the 3<sup>rd</sup> week of June 2018.
- Roster compliance KPIs improved in May 2018
- The daily acuity tool was implemented on 25th June 2018, further work continues to embed its use into the daily decision making around the deployment of staff
- The Safer Nursing Care Tool Audit (SNCT) was currently being undertaken using the nationally recommended tool, and it was acknowledged that there had been some local interpretation of the national tool previously, this had also been identified by the NHS Improvement report who outlined in their review that the SNCT was not being used accurately on a consistent basis.

#### 7.0 Quality Account

The Quality Account was discussed and the report was agreed by the Committee.

#### 8.0 PCIP Update

It was agreed at the previous meeting that the Quality and Safety Committee would receive a monthly update report for the PCIP. The report was provided to the committee and included:

- The good progress being made in maternity in relation to their PCIP
- An update on the regulatory breaches was provided
- Progress was being made against these breaches however, further work was required particularly in relation to documentation
- Divisional update in relation to the 'must' and 'should' do actions was outlined

• The development of a system to record and report all actions continues to be pursued

#### 9.0 Risk Management Report

The report was presented and discussed:

- The two surgical serious incidents were discussed
- The reduction in acquired pressure ulcers noted for May 2018
- The learning from Health and Safety incidents including the RIDDOR reported staff incidents, and whether all incidents where staff have been assaulted resulting in injury are reported as serious incidents
- The agreement that RIDDOR incidents, investigations and outcomes/actions should be reported through to the Quality Committee
- The discussion including the development of the Patient Safety Report and the focus on learning from incidents and SIs

#### **10.0 Conclusion/Recommendations**

The Committee identified the following items for escalation to the Board:

- The 5 CDiff cases YTD and the concerns in relation to the target of 17 cases for the year
- The Winter Plan overview and the positive impact that this plan will have with the planning taking place earlier in the year
- The approval of the Quality Account
- The learning from Health and Safety incidents including the RIDDOR reported staff incidents, and whether all incidents where staff have been assaulted resulting in injury are reported as serious incidents
- The Safer Nursing Care Tool Audit (SNCT) was currently being undertaken using the nationally recommended tool, and it was acknowledged that there had been some local interpretation of the national tool previously, this had also been identified by the NHSI report who outlined in their review that the SNCT was not being used accurately on a consistent basis across all ward areas.

# Walsall Healthcare



**NHS Trust** 

#### **APPENDIX 1**

#### **MINUTES OF THE QUALITY & SAFETY COMMITTEE** HELD ON THURSDAY 31<sup>ST</sup> MAY 2018 AT 9.00 A.M **ROOM 10, MLCC, WALSALL MANOR HOSPITAL**

Present:	Professor R Beale Mrs K Blackwell Mr R Beeken Mrs V Harris Mr N Rashid Mr P Thomas-Hands Mrs J White	Non-Executive Director (Chair) Acting Director of Nursing Chief Executive Non-Executive Director Divisional Director, MLTC (On behalf of the Medical Director) Chief Operating Officer Interim Trust Secretary
In Attendance:	Mr A Aldridge Miss S Garner	Emergency Care Improvement Programme (ECIP), NHS Improvement (Up to item 28/18 only) Executive Assistant (minutes)
Apologies:	Mr P Gayle Mr R Caldicott Mr A Khan	Non-Executive Director Director of Finance & Performance Medical Director

#### 23/18 Welcome and Introductions

Professor Beale welcomed everyone to the meeting.

#### 24/18 Quorum

The meeting was quorate in line with Item 6 of the Committee Terms of Reference; The Committee will be deemed quorate to the extent that the following members are present: At least two Non-executive Directors, The Medical Director, The Director of Nursing and the Chief Executive or the Chief Operating Officer

#### 25/18 **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 26/18 Minutes of the Meeting Held on Thursday 26<sup>th</sup> April 2018

#### Resolution

The minutes of the meeting held on 26th April 2018 were agreed as a true and accurate record.

**Action Sheet and Matters Arising** 27/18

The Committee reviewed the live action sheet and the following updates were noted:

- 155/17 Work was underway by the A&E Delivery Board to review the Winter Plan 2017-18 which was being overseen by Mr Thomas-Hands and a report would be provided in June. The Winter Plan for 2018-19 was also being developed and would be finalised by the end of June. Winter 2018-19 was expected to begin at the end of October therefore the Trust were being proactive in the approach to developing the plan.
- 197/17 The report on the equipment replacement programme had been deferred to June due to inaccuracies identified between the Trust's asset register and wedical devices database. Work was on-going to ensure that an accurate baseline was available to finalise the equipment replacement programme. Mr Beeken confirmed that urgent equipment was being replaced whilst this work was on-going.

#### **Resolution**

The Committee received and noted progress on actions included on the live action sheet.

#### 28/18 Emergency Care Improvement Programme

Mr Aldridge introduced himself to the committee and confirmed that he had now been identified as the Improvement Manager for the ECIP work being undertaken with the Trust. He had recently taken over from his colleague, Lucy Roberts, who was now on sick leave. Mr Aldridge was working on setting up meetings with key leads for the programme to ensure he was up to date with the work his colleague had started.

Committee members were advised that a gap analysis had been undertaken by the ECIP team in January and a concordat agreement had been developed and signed off by the Trust. The agreement outlined 5 key workstreams in relation to patient flow, and ward processes including embedding the Safer bundle and the principles of Red to Green. The team had also recommended that the Trust undertake a review of its site management processes. Mr Thomas-Hands highlighted that the Trust had acted on the initial recommendations and key leads had been identified for each workstream. An additional pathway had also been agreed in relation to operational processes. The Urgent and Emergency Care Operations Group would receive updates on progress with each pathway on a monthly basis which would be reported into the A&E Delivery Board.

Professor Beale queried whether timescales had been agreed for

delivery of each part of the plan. Mr Thomas-Hands confirmed that each pathway would be reviewed at the first meeting of the operational group next week and project plans would be received with an update on metrics and timescales for completion.

Mr Rashid confirmed that he also chaired a weekly meeting to receive updates on the pathways to ensure that progress was being made. He explained the importance of embedding processes prior to the next winter period and ensuring the pace remained throughout the summer months. The bed management process had also been streamlined to improve efficiency. Mr Beeken raised concerns in relation to the current approach for bed management planning throughout the night and identified that patient information provided through the PAS system would need to be accurate to improve this. He also queried the timeframe for progress with the ward processes pathway including standardising of ward rounds and ensuring medically fit patients were discharged. Mr Rashid confirmed that good progress was being made with this; further work was required in some areas.

Mr Aldridge confirmed that he had reviewed processes in acute medicine during the previous year and identified that the implementation of the Red 2 Green approach had been seen to be overly dependent on clinical time and had not been particularly well received. Mr Thomas-Hands confirmed that this was the case and the Red 2 Green approach would be built into the ward processes pathway to avoid resistance from clinicians.

Professor Beale thanked Mr Aldridge for the update on the ECIP work and requested that Mr Thomas-Hands provide a brief update on progress being made to the committee for the next few months. Mr Aldridge was also invited to attend.

PTH

#### Resolution

The Committee received and noted the update on the Emergency Care Improvement Programme and it was agreed that a brief update on progress being made would be provided to the committee for the next few months.

#### 29/18 Performance & Quality Report

Mr Rashid presented the Performance & Quality report and highlighted that there had been 5 cases of C. Diff year to date. In April, Two cases were reported on Ward 15 and one case on ward 17, following an RCA cases were deemed unavoidable. A further 2 cases had been reported in May on ASU, representing a period of increased incidence, an RCA would be completed for both cases, and the ward was being relocated to enable a deep clean of the area to take place. One MRSA bacteraemia was reported in Critical Care in April, the RCA deemed this to be unavoidable. Members raised concerns that the C. diff target for 2018-2019 would be exceeded if the number of cases continued. Ms Blackwell highlighted that the number of cases was being monitored through the Infection Control Committee on a monthly basis and was also being picked up at the weekly serious incident meetings. The Committee agreed to monitor the number of C. diff cases reported at the next meeting to identify whether the period of increased incidence had continued.

Concerns were also raised regarding the deterioration in completion of the Electronic Discharge Summaries (EDS). A multidisciplinary audit on documentation was planned to be undertaken across the Trust with specific actions to address improvement following this. There were also plans to allocate an accountable consultant for each ward to work with the ward manager and team to oversee all aspects of ward performance and improvements. Mr Beeken confirmed that feedback on the audit and actions taken would be provided to the committee to provide assurance that individuals were being held to account. Ms Blackwell agreed to confirm the timeframe for the audit.

KB

Professor Beale recognised that compliance with safeguarding training was currently below the Trust target. Ms Blackwell confirmed that this was now being managed on a weekly basis and a revised target had been agreed with the CCG. The committee also noted the capacity issues within the children's child safeguarding team and a business case had been developed and was awaiting a decision from the CCG.

#### **Resolution**

The Committee received and noted the content of the Performance & Quality Report.

#### 30/18 Trust Quality Executive Report

Ms Blackwell presented the report from the Trust Quality Executive held on 25<sup>th</sup> May and highlighted issues in relation to current ESR and training data being up to date. A discussion had taken place regarding the option of having a Manager Self Service element on ESR so that data could be updated by individuals and signed off by their line manager. It was agreed that an amnesty of local records would be required to enable ESR to be up to date. It was also recognised that there were other systems available to the Trust to record training data. The committee recommended that the People & Organisational Development Committee oversee a programme of work to ensure that data available in ESR was accurate and up to date and also consider other options for recording data.

POD

Discussions had also taken place at the Trust Quality Executive regarding infection control and cleanliness concerns and the divisional teams were working with the infection control team to improve compliance with environmental audits and a trajectory for improvement would need to be agreed. Further work was also required in relation to prioritisation of areas for cleaning and additional recruitment of domestic staff was expected to support with this.

The Preventing Future Deaths report and the risks associated with this were also escalated to the committee. Ms Blackwell explained that the report had been received in relation to a gynaecology patient with a retained pessary who had been lost to follow up. The main concerns were in relation to patient safety risks associated with the follow up back log and work continued to reduce the back log.

Mr Beeken highlighted that the PCIP was currently being used to measure progress against the key issues and would remain in place until the integrated improvement programme had been developed and implemented. He raised concerns that the committee had not been sighted on progress with the PCIP to date. Ms Blackwell confirmed that this had been discussed at the TQE meeting and the divisions were currently updating progress against the 'must' and 'should' do actions; the corporate actions against the regulatory breaches were also being updated. It was agreed that the PCIP would need to receive increased scrutiny monthly via the newly formed Trust Management Board and an update on progress would be included in the highlight report to the Quality and Safety Committee.

KB

#### **Resolution**

The Committee received and noted the report from the Trust Quality Executive and recommended that the People & Organisational Development Committee oversee a programme of work to ensure that data available in ESR was accurate and up to date and also consider other options for recording data.

#### 31/18 Maternity Oversight Committee Update

The committee received the Maternity Oversight Committee update which highlighted progress on elements of the section 29A warning notice, details of the maternity PCIP and the maternity dashboard. The Oversight Committee had requested that some of the measures in the section 29A warning notice be included on the dashboard in future.

There was a further debate at the oversight committee about whether an incident should be reported when a HDU competent midwife was not available on shift. It was agreed that an incident would be reported if a patient required HDU input and a trained midwife was not available.

The committee also received an update on the CNST Incentive scheme which would need to be signed off by the Trust Board prior to submission to NHS England.

Mr Beeken raised concerns in relation to the level of detail discussed at the Oversight Committee due to the high level of assurance being provided by the team on a monthly basis. He suggested that the service were potentially ready for a reinspection.

Committee members recognised that there had been a cultural improvement within the department following the changes to the senior nursing and clinical leadership. Mr Beeken advised that he had met with the consultant, midwifery and management teams to inform them of next steps being taken by Edgcumbe. A programme of work had also been agreed with the Royal College of Midwives and a plan was in place to bring both workstreams together facilitated by Edgcumbe.

#### Resolution

The Committee received and noted the Maternity Oversight Committee Update.

#### 32/18 Trust Quality Account 2018-19

The draft Quality Account was shared with members and it was noted that due to the tight timeframe for approval, the Trust Board would be delegating responsibility to the Quality & Safety Committee to approve the final version in June. The document had been shared with key stakeholders for comments and the committee were advised that comments received from Health Watch and CCG colleagues had been supportive and objective.

Professor Beale requested that the final version be circulated to members as soon as possible to enable members to consider the document in detail prior to sign off at the next meeting.

KB/ ALL

#### **Resolution**

The Trust Quality Account 2018-19 was received and noted by the Committee.

#### 33/18 Risk Management Committee Information & Escalation Report

Mrs Blackwell presented the Risk Management Committee Information and Escalation report and the following points were
highlighted:

- There were 2 serious incidents in relation to patients who had fallen and sustained serious harm. An RCA had been undertaken for both and themes had been identified in relation to completion of falls assessments.
- There had been an increase in the number of pressure ulcers reported, there were 17 incidents reported in April, however it was noted that there was a delay with the RCA and validation process. Further work was being done to improve this and reduce the delay.
- Compliance with Duty of Candour had improved and a new leaflet had been rolled out to support with informing patient relatives quickly.

Professor Beale raised concerns regarding the current process for duty of candour and queried whether a rapid investigation should be undertaken prior to enacting duty of candour to avoid confusion with patients and their families. Ms Blackwell confirmed that national guidance suggested that patient's families were informed that there was a potential issue as quickly as possible before an investigation was undertaken. She agreed to look into this further and consider whether practice could be changed and Mr Beeken recommended that a discussion take place with the Trust's Quality lead at NHS Improvement. Feedback would be provided at the next meeting.

KB

#### **Resolution**

The Committee received and noted the Risk Management Committee Information & Escalation Report.

#### 34/18 Monthly Nursing & Midwifery Quality & Staffing Report

Ms Blackwell presented the monthly report and the following points were highlighted:

- The overall fill rates for April 2018 exceeded the 90% target set for both day and night shifts. Exception reports for individual areas where this target was not achieved had not reported any incidents /omissions in care linked to staffing.
- The agency and bank hours used reduced in April due to bed closures. There was an on-going focus on roster compliance, particularly, in the Emergency Department and AMU.
- Overall Thornbury usage reduced significantly in April and shifts that were covered with Thornbury staff were in relation to 1-1 care being provided for CAMHS patients.
- The report was being developed further in line with NQB guidance.

Mr Beeken highlighted that the Board had been focusing on

temporary workforce usage recently and the executive team had agreed to provide clarity on this in line with the NHS Improvement review undertaken. It was recognised that the financial views were being overseen at the Performance, Finance & Investment Committee, and the committee agreed to oversee the quality perspective of this work. The report would be shared with both committees at the end of June prior to submission to the Trust Board in July.

Concerns were raised in relation to the reduction in care hours provided to patients which did not correlate with the 90% compliance with fill rates. Ms Blackwell confirmed that further work was being done with NHS Improvement to ensure data was being submitted correctly.

Ms Blackwell identified that she planned to provide a brief report on staffing every month to the committee with a more detailed report being provided on a quarterly basis which would include an update on recruitment and retention and analysis of the Model Hospital data. A daily acuity tool was now being utilised and would identify any issues in relation to care being provided. Professor Beale suggested that it would be useful to include the quality impacts of this in the report.

KB

#### **Resolution**

# The Committee received and noted the Monthly Nursing & Midwifery Quality & Staffing Report.

#### 35/18 Tissue Viability Overview Report

Mrs Blackwell presented the Tissue Viability Overview report and the following points were noted:

- There was some uncertainty in relation to the requirements from the Tissue viability service across community services, specifically in relation to nursing homes and practice nurse bases.
- The wound care group had been working to review and monitor formulary which had generated some savings for the Trust and ensured that patients were getting the equipment required.
- Some issues had been identified in relation to training being provided in the hospital. Discussions were on-going with the divisional nurses to deliver the training more effectively on the wards.
- There had been an increase in stage 2 pressure ulcers reported compared to the previous year. An increase had also been seen throughout the year in relation to unstageable ulcers. Reporting of unstageable ulcers had commenced in April, therefore, a benchmark was not yet available.

Members raised concerns in relation to lost TNP pumps across the Trust which was causing financial impacts. Ms Blackwell confirmed that the equipment was booked out by the surgery team, however, was often not returned. It was suggested that the implementation of an equipment coordinator role would support with this. Mr Thomas-Hands suggested that this be looked at more widely to monitor equipment across the whole Trust.

Professor Beale queried whether there was a process in place to monitor the PFI building to enable re-claims for any issues not resolved by the provider. Mr Beeken confirmed that there was not currently a process in place for this, however, external advice was being sought in relation to the PFI contract and the team in estates were being restructured to enable the contract to be performance managed.

#### **Resolution**

The Committee received and noted the Tissue Viability Overview Report.

#### 36/18 Mortality Report

Mr Rashid presented the Mortality report and the following key points were noted:

- HSMR data was reported at 102.5 and SHMI at 127.25.
- There were no specific themes around mortality against diagnosis.
- Advice notification received from Imperial College London in relation to deaths due to electrolyte disturbance, these were small numbers and may have been related to coding.
- A review of certain groups of deaths was being undertaken, this related to the poorer performing specialties including respiratory and acute medicine.
- The Trust was looking at implementing a medical examiner role, to review all deaths and refer the ones that required further analysis. The business case for this was being developed. It was suggested that the management of the duty of candour process be included in the medical examiner role.
- Feedback on learning from mortality reviews was discussed at the mortality surveillance group to consider wider trends. The key themes around deaths in the 0-1 day length of stay group had been reviewed and the majority of patients should not have been brought into hospital in the first place. Actions were being addressed with community colleagues and the wider health economy to identify these patients and ensure advanced care planning was in place.

Professor Beale identified that the report provided good assurance that learning was being embedded from the mortality review process. Mr Beeken raised concerns that the mortality indicators were monitored against a moving average which reflected the national acuity changes in winter and therefore the increase in deaths reported during December 2017 – February 2018 was concerning. Mr Thomas-Hands highlighted that concerns had also been raised at the A&E Delivery Board and were being picked up as part of the hospital avoidance pathway. Mr Beeken agreed to have a discussion with Mr Khan about triangulating the data to get a better understanding of the Trust's position and request an update in the next report.

**RB/AK** 

#### **Resolution**

The Mortality Report was received and noted by the Committee.

#### 37/18 Patient Experience Quarterly Report

Ms Blackwell presented the report which outlined patient experience and complaints data for quarter 4. The following points were noted:

- The Friends and Family Test (FFT) response rates improved for quarter 4 for Outpatients and ED
- ED 'would recommend' scores trailed behind the national results and an action plan overseen by the ED matron was in place
- Response rates in maternity remained low but the 'would recommend scores increased in quarter 4 and the 'would not recommend' reduced significantly
- There was an overall increase in the number of complaints and concerns received in quarter 4, the themes around complaints had not changed and included clinical care/assessment, attitude, waiting times and communications.
- 97% of complaints were responded to within the agreed timeframe.

There was a further discussion about the persistent themes of complaints, particularly in relation to communication and attitude and it was recognised that there needed to be an increased emphasis on the lessons learnt from complaints and actions to address these. Professor Beale highlighted that there was a need for the Trust to outline the expectations of staff in relation to how they communicate information and manage their behaviour. There was a further suggestion of including charger lockers for mobile phones in the Emergency Department which would potentially improve patient's experience.

Mr Thomas-Hands highlighted that with the implementation of the

Red 2 Green initiative, there was an expectation that patients were informed of where they were in their journey through the hospital. It was hoped that this would also improve communication with patients. Concerns were also raised in relation to the increase in the number of formal complaints per 10,000 spells in March for elective activity. Mr Thomas-Hands confirmed that there had not been a large amount of elective surgeries cancelled during this point and agreed to look into the reasons for this spike.

PTH

#### **Resolution**

The Committee received and noted the Patient Experience Quarterly Report.

#### 38/18 Items for Referral to the Trust Board

#### **Resolution**

The Committee resolved that the following items would be referred to the Trust Board at its meeting on the 7<sup>th</sup> June 2018:

- The increased number of C. Difficile cases reported year to date
- The plans to report monthly on the PCIP progress going forward
- The nursing work-stream and pending NHS Improvement report from their review of nurse staffing at the Trust

#### 39/18 Any Other Business

There was no other business.

#### 40/18 Reflections on Meeting: Post Meeting Questions from Trust Meeting Etiquette and Proposals for Trust Board Walks

Utilising the Post Meeting Questionnaire agreed as part of the Trust's meeting etiquette Professor Beale sought feedback from the members and attendees. The responses were noted and would be taken into consideration for future meetings.

#### 41/18 Date & Time of Next Meeting

Thursday 28<sup>th</sup> June 2018, 9:00am Seminar room, route 126



MEETING OF TRUST BOAR	D, 05 July 20	018				
Update on outcomes of develop	mental reviews	s for Divisional	Dire	ectors July	AGE	NDA ITEM: 12
2018						
Report Author and Job	Louise Ludgrove, Interim Responsible			Louis	se Ludgrove,	
Title:	Director of O	D and HR	Dir	rector:		im Director of OD
					and	HR
Action Required	Approval	Decision		Assurance a	nd In	formation
				To receive a	nd	To receive
				discuss		
Recommendation	The Board is information.	recommende	ed to	o note the con	tent c	f the Report for
Does this report mitigate	BAF. No 8:	That we are n	ot s	uccessful in o	ur wo	rk to establish a
risk included in the BAF or				owered culture		
Trust Risk Registers?						
please outline						
Resource implications	There are no	resource imp	olica	ations.		
Legal and Equality and	There are no	legal or equi	ity a	and diversity in	nplica	itions.
Diversity implications	Continue our					
Operational Objectives 2018/19		y through a c		ent safety and	X	
2018/19	•		om	JIEIIEIISIVE		
	improvement programmeDevelop the culture of the organisation toX					
	ensure mature decision making and clinical					
	leadership					
		financial hea	lth t	hrough our	X	
		vement progra				
	Develop the	clinical servic	e st	rategy focuse	X b	
		tegration in W with other Tr				

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Safe, high quality care

Care at home

G.

Partners





#### Update on outcomes of developmental reviews for Divisional Directors July 2018

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide the Board with an update on outcomes from developmental reviews for Divisional Directors.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

The Trust introduced a clinically led model in September 2016 with the appointment of three clinical Divisional Directors, each of whom was allocated 4 programmed activities per week to deliver their duties as a Divisional Director. This was alongside their clinical commitments.

Initial development was put in place through a development programme delivered by Academyst which covered broad topics including understanding of the wider NHS systems, service improvement approaches and use of resources. This was followed up in 2017 by a Kings Fund programme for the Teams of Three, including Divisional Directors.

The Board agreed in August 2017 that following completion of the programmes, a review would be conducted with each Divisional Director to establish their learning against five key objectives, through the development outlined above and based on their experience of having worked in the roles for a period of time.

### 3. DETAILS Process

The first phase of this review process was to run a 360 degree feedback exercise for each Divisional Director from prescribed individuals within their structures (both senior and junior to them) based on establishing their learning against five key objectives: Strategic leadership, financial management, best practice standards in provision of safe care, cohesive team working and implementation of governance processes across Divisions.

The output from this feedback was fed back to each Divisional Director by the Interim Director of OD & HR through a discursive, coaching approach.

The next phase required the Divisional Directors to deliver a presentation to a Panel of Executive and Non-Executive Directors, outlining their learning against the five key objectives. This phase combined the presentation of their self-assessment against these objectives with a viva conducted by a group of Executive and Non-Executive Directors, intended to explore areas of achievement and further support going forward.

#### Output

The output from the above review stages revealed a largely consistent picture of significant development over a period of 18 months since individuals were appointed into these leadership roles. Each Division had its own particular aspects of challenge relating to those services within it but the broader experience of all three Directors



identified rapid exposure and commensurate development of skills across consistent areas of focus. Examples of these include:

Participants collectively reflected significant benefits from the Kings Fund Development programme; all had engaged with and accepted responsibility for the rapid development of cultural change within their Divisions; recognition of the role of the Divisional Director in modelling behaviour and challenging inappropriate behaviour from colleagues; significant emphasis on close and effective team working amongst the three Divisional Directors, within Teams of Three and across Divisions; recognition of the need to empower their Care Group leaders and teams and provide support to them; a need to rely on clinical colleagues to support provision of services; a focus on continuous improvement and aspirational service developments; accepting responsibility for care of team members and themselves; responsibility for all staff within their Divisions and not just medics; leading a multi professional approach to care and new roles; responsibility for financial management, delivery of CIPs and productivity; exposure to ownership of organisational pressures; responsibility for governance across their Divisions; understanding of the need to develop accountability across teams and to develop a Quality Improvement approach to service delivery; ongoing individual reflection on progress in delivering all of the imperatives described above.

#### Next steps

The development of a clinically led model within any organisation is an ongoing process and takes considerable time to learn and mature to achieve maximum benefit. Ongoing development and reflection on the changing needs for these roles in a time of rapid change within the external environment is essential.

It has become clear through this process that each of the three Divisional Directors has made significant individual progress in their development within their roles and consideration is currently being given to appropriate further targeted development on an individual basis.

The recent introduction of a Trust Management Board is a significant step towards recognising the partnership between the Executive and the clinical leadership and enabling discussions to take place and decisions to be made on a shared basis.

It is intended to reflect on the findings demonstrated in this report to review the role of senior clinical leaders going forward, in order to ensure that the Trust supports them effectively and enables individuals to make their most effective contribution to our journey going forward.

#### 4. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Board is recommended to note the content of the Report for information.

**Report Author:** Louise Ludgrove, Interim Director of OD and HR **Date of report:** 27/06/2018



MEETING OF TRUST BOAR	D, 05 July 20	)18				
People and Organisational D	evelopment C	committee hig	hlig	ht report	AGE	NDA ITEM: 13
Report Author and Job		rove, Interim	Re			o Gayle,
Title:	Director of O	D and HR	Dir	rector:	Non-	Executive Director
Action Required	Approval	Decision	I	Assurance a	nd In	formation
				To receive ar	nd	To receive
				discuss		
Recommendation	The Board is information.	recommende	ed to	o note the con	tent c	f the Report for
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	BAF Risks: No 7. That we cannot deliver safe sustainable staffing levels reducing our reliance on expensive agency staff No 8. That we are not successful in our work to establish a clinically led, engaged and empowered culture. No. 11. That our governance remains "inadequate" as assessed under the CQC Well Led standard.					
Resource implications	There are no	resource imp	olica	ations raised w	ithin	the Report.
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	Compliance	with Trust Sta	Indi	ng Orders.		
Operational Objectives 2018/19		y through a c		ent safety and prehensive	X	
		culture of the re decision m	-	anisation to	X	
		financial hea		•	Х	
	Develop the on service in		e st /als	rategy focused all & in	X b	

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Safe, high quality care

Care at home

G

ne Pa

Partners



Resou



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To inform the Board of key issues discussed at People and Organisational Development Committee.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

The report highlights the key issues discussed at the People and Organisational Development Committee meeting held on 18 June 2018.

#### 3. DETAILS

The meeting was quorate and was chaired by Philip Gayle, Non-Executive Director and Chair of the Committee.

Key issues discussed were:

- 1. The Committee discussed content within the Trust Annual Plan relating to Equality, Diversity & Inclusion. It was agreed that this should include our commitment as a Trust. It was noted that this narrative had been supplied for the Plan.
- 2. It was noted that applications had been received for the E, D& I secondment post currently being advertised on a six month basis. Louise Ludgrove also advised that it was intended to create a permanent position for this role within the restructure of the OD & HR Directorate. Louise reported that agreement had been reached at Director level to bring together the two arms within the Trust relating to E, D & I for patients/public and for staff. This would enable a more robustly resourced position and ensure consistency across the approach for all.
- 3. Marsha Belle reported that she was currently drafting a strategy document for the next EDIC meeting, which has now been moved to monthly frequency in order to better enable progress.
- 4. Philip Gayle reported that he had sourced attendance at EDIC from Walsall Council and that this had contributed a valuable perspective to discussions which would enable closer working and beneficial contacts going forward.
- 5. Sebastian Smith-Cox delivered a presentation covering the impact of a recent Learning into Action event around E, D & I, which had also been supported by staff side. The event had sold out within days of being advertised and it was intended to run a further event later this year.
- 6. Sebastian also provided a powerful account of the experience of participants in the Leadership Academy's Stepping Up programme and detailed the benefits that his participation in the programme had delivered for him as an employee of the Trust.
- 7. Sandra McShane presented a report to the Committee on the Workforce Key Performance Indicators. She updated on the outcome of a deep dive into fluctuating performance on sickness absence during the last quarter and identification of the key drivers of this change, linking to outbreaks of Norovirus, flu etc. Sukhbinder Heer suggested the introduction of a score card, identifying the impact of winter



effect, ward closures, vacancy levels and ward closures as a monitoring tool towards delivering improvements.

- 8. Daren Fradgley noted the importance of ensuring that mandatory training is relevant to individual roles. He reported that the Quality Academy had run a session within mandatory training in line with Sukhbinder's suggestion at Trust Board, acknowledging the relevance of mandatory training to individual roles and considering how to make subject matters relatable and interesting for attendees.
- 9. Karen Bendall updated the Committee on the current and developing position around delivery of Mandatory Training targets and reported on the various initiatives relating to the rapid improvement of performance in this area.
- 10. Amir Khan presented an update report on Consultant vacancies and noted that the implementation of new roles was being explored to provide some longer term solutions in covering vacancies where we were unlikely to recruit. It was noted that the present work around sustainability reviews was actively considering these issues and Daren Fradgley reported that it was anticipated that the outcome of this work would be presented to Executives in July and to Board Committees in August.
- 11.Kara Blackwell updated the Committee on the latest position relating to agency usage in nursing. Sukhbinder Heer expressed his concerns around the level of assurance relating to controls for agency spend and Louise Ludgrove reminded the Committee that a formal report from the external NHSI review was awaited. It was anticipated that this would bring clarity to the discussions moving forward.
- 12. Marsha Belle provided an update on the introductory work around new roles. She noted the support being provided by the Transformation team working with Divisions in taking forward this work and the group discussed the importance of cultural development in enabling services to consider effective deployment of alternative roles as a means of addressing long term workforce shortages.

#### 4. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Board is recommended to note the content of the Report for information.

**Report Author:** Louise Ludgrove, Interim Director of OD and HR **Date of report:** 26/06/2018



TRUST BOARD							
FINANCE REPORT MONTH 2					AGE	NDA ITEM: 14	
Report Author and Job Title:	Tony Kettle Responsible			sponsible	Russell Caldicott		
	Deputy Direct	or of Finance	Dir	ector:	Dire	ctor of Finance	
Action Required	Approval	Decision		Assurance and	Info	rmation	
				To receive and		To receive	
				discuss X			
Recommendation	of the financia (M02). Noting	I performance	of the	ne Trust for the y recommedation	/ear t	vestment Committee o date May 2018 hin the report for	
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	Delivery of the plan.	e 2018/19 finar	ncial	plan and sustai	nable	long term financial	
Resource implications	Impact on attainment of the financial plan, largely a consequence of potential overspends driven by temporary workforce and CIP delivery. Impact on the Trust ability to secure additional capital resources from central funds to support significant capital investment.						
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	There are no paper."	legal or equalit	y &	diversity implica	tions	associated with this	
Operational Objectives 2018/19	clinical quality improvement Develop the c	ulture of the or	npre gan	hensive isation to ensure	;	X	
	Improve our f improvement Develop the c	inancial health programme linical service s ation in Walsall	thro strat	ical leadership ough our robust egy focused on in collaboration		X	

### **Executive Summary**

Safe, high quality care

1. Purpose of the report

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Care at home Partners





To inform members of the Trust Board of the financial performance of the Trust for the year to date May 2018 (M02)

#### 2. Background

The Trust has adopted a financial plan for the 2018/19 financial year that delivers an £18.6m deficit. The key component for achievement being delivery of a £13m Cost Improvement Programme and mitigation of overspends incurred in the 2017/18 financial year (largely associated with use of temporary workforce).

The Trust has subsequently accepted an improved offer of control total at £10.6m deficit (£15.6m excluding Provider Sustainability Funding - PSF)

#### 3. Details

The Trust has attained the following financial performance as at May 2018:

- Deficit of £4.5m in YTD (£0.2m adverse variance to plan)
- CIP delivered £1.1m YTD behind plan by £0.3m (£0.6m non-recurrent)
- Temporary workforce costs for May totalled £1.8m (£0.4m higher than May 2017)

The Trust is required to reduce this run rate in order to deliver the planned outturn for the financial year, key risks being:

- CIP delivery of £13m for the year (noting the phasing into the later part of the year)
- Overspends continue, driven by temporary workforce
- Disputed 2017/18 balances by the commissioner

#### Actions being taken:

Financial Recovery Plan (owned throughout the Trust) presented to an Extra-ordinary Performance, Finance & Investment Committee, components being:

- Monthly income and expenditure trajectories (by Division and expense category)
- Temporary workforce annual expenditure profile (Medical and Nursing focus)
- Mitigations discussed within PFIC (to include sale of assets modelled)
- Cost Improvement Programme delivery (ahead of profile to remove risk to delivery at close of the financial year)
- Communication strategy

Focus placed upon delivery of Improvement Work-streams (productivity & efficiencies) with weekly meetings with the Chair for Performance, Finance and Investment Committee

#### 4. Capital

Capital schemes are progressing (ICCU complete November 18 / Maternity commenced May 2018 and ED prioritised by STP (local providers and commissioners of healthcare)

#### 5. Recommendations

Members are asked to note the reported performance to month 2 and the action being progressed to ensure robust enhanced monitoring and delivery of the 2018/19 financial plan.

#### 6. Appendices

Please see attached finance report



2018/19 Finance Report May 2018 (Month 2)



2018/19 Finance Report: (Month 2)	Page
Key Messages	3
<ul> <li>Overall Summary and RAG Assessment</li> </ul>	4-5
Temporary Staffing Analysis	6-7
Cost Improvement Target Achievement	8
Capital Programme	9
<ul> <li>Statement of Financial Position</li> </ul>	10
Statement of Cash Flows	11

# Key Messages

Financial Month 2 plan.	<ul> <li>Trust has set a plan to deliver an £18.6m deficit for the financial year</li> <li>At month 2 the Trust has a deficit of £4.5m (£0.2m off plan)</li> <li>Trust is required to reduce this run rate significantly to attain plan</li> </ul>
CIP	<ul> <li>The Trust's Cost Improvement Target for the year £13m</li> <li>YTD Month 2 total savings of £1.1m delivered (£1.4m plan) of which £0.6m non-recurrent</li> <li>Increasing births delivered at the Trust will off-set £0.25m of the non-recurrent delivery</li> </ul>
Bank, Agency & Locum	<ul> <li>Spending on temporary workforce is £1.8m for the month (£0.4m higher than May 2017)</li> <li>Nursing £0.1m and Medical £0.3m higher than prior year</li> </ul>
Capital Developments	<ul> <li>Maternity works commenced in May (£5.6m) and the ICCU development is set to open in November 18</li> <li>The Emergency Department development was ranked as a priority for investment by the STP in month</li> </ul>
Financial Risks	<ul> <li>CIP Delivery for the year (£13.0m target) requires traction on the 'Improvement Work-streams'</li> <li>Overspends in month 2 continue (largely temporary workforce) giving a run rate risk</li> </ul>
Management of the financial risks	<ul> <li>Financial Recovery Plan (owned throughout the Trust) presented to an Extra-ordinary Performance, Finance &amp; Investment Committee, components being: <ul> <li>Monthly income and expenditure trajectories (by Division and expense category)</li> <li>Temporary workforce annual expenditure profile (Medical and Nursing focus)</li> <li>Mitigations discussed within PFIC (to include sale of assets modelled)</li> <li>Cost Improvement Programme delivery (ahead of profile to remove risk to delivery at close of the financial year)</li> <li>Communication strategy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Focus upon delivery of Improvement Work-streams (productivity &amp; efficiencies) with weekly meetings with the Chair for Performance, Finance and Investment Committee</li> <li>Enhanced scrutiny in meetings with the Divisions to assure plans are robust and Quality Impact assessed</li> </ul>



## Summary Financial Performance to May 2018 (Month 2)

Financial Performance - Period ended 31st Ma	y 2018			
Description	Annual Budget	Budget to Date	Actual to Date	Variance
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Income NHS Activity Revenue	227.981	37.340	37.240	(100)
Non NHS Clinical Revenue (RTA Etc)	1.025	186	132	(100)
Education and Training Income	6,856	1,166	1,258	92
Other Operating Income (Incl Non Rec)	7,419	1,100	1,518	168
Total Income	243,281	40,042	40,148	106
Expenditure				
Employee Benefits Expense	(175,420)	(28,869)	(29,066)	(197)
Drug Expense	(7,398)	(3,074)	(3,045)	29
Clinical Supplies	(17,475)	(2,929)	(2,984)	(54)
Non Clinical Supplies	(15,544)	(2,661)	(2,720)	(59)
PFI Operating Expenses	(5,081)	(881)	(856)	25
Other Operating Expense	(26,441)	(3,279)	(3,353)	(74)
Sub - Total Operating Expenses	(247,360)	(41,693)	(42,024)	(331)
Earnings before Interest & Depreciation	(4,079)	(1,652)	(1,876)	(224)
Interest expense on Working Capital	51	9	7	(2)
Interest Expense on Loans and leases	(8,012)	(1,586)	(1,612)	(25)
Depreciation and Amortisation	(6,560)	(1,093)	(1,007)	86
PDC Dividend	0	0	0	0
Losses/Gains on Asset Disposals	0	0	0	0
Sub-Total Non Operating Exps	(14,521)	(2,671)	(2,612)	59
Total Expenses	(261,881)	(44,365)	(44,636)	(271)
RETAINED SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(18,600)	(4,323)	(4,488)	(165)
Adjustment for Gains on Donated Assets			(21)	(21)
Adjusted Financial Performance (Control Total)	(18,600)	(4,323)	(4,509)	(186)

Division	YTD Budget £000's	YTD Actual £000's	Variance £000's	Narrative
MLTC	10,146	10,814	(668)	MLTC overspent as a result of nursing wards and specialist areas (£0.6m) and Medical agency cover for Elderly/Respiratory Care.(£0.15m).
SURGERY	8,701	8,940	(239)	Surgery overspends are within Nursing £0.1m and medics £0.1m (Anaesthetics)
WC & CSS	11,396	11,224	172	WCCSS is underspent by £0.2m driven by vacancies within Therapies, off-setting medical overspends (£0.1m) within Paediatrics and income underperformance.
ESTATES AND FACILITIES	2,550	2,518	32	Division has delivered ahead of plan

#### **Financial Performance**

- The Trust attained a deficit of £4.5m at month 2 against a plan of £4.3m, which results in an unfavourable YTD variance of £0.2m.
- The contracted income shows an unfavourable variance to plan of £0.1m, the underperformance occurring in clinical support. However, this is net of the over-performance on elective that has generated CIP recurrently in month 2 in excess of £0.1m.
- The Trust has agreed a contract with Walsall CCG commissioner which provides for financial certainty on Emergency Inpatients, Rehabilitation and contract fines & penalties. The remainder of contracts with commissioners are on a cost & volume basis providing opportunity to deliver efficiencies through increased income.
- Expenditure is overspent £331k YTD. The main area of overspending is pay owing to the continued use of high cost temporary staffing in Medical (£358k) and Nursing (£782k).
- The Trust Board has adopted a revised deficit plan of £10.6m for the financial year (after receipt of £5m Provider Sustainability Funds PSF)

#### CIP 2017/18 Delivery

- The Trust's Annual Cost Improvement Programme requirement is £13m.
- The Trust has delivered £1.1m CIP to month 2 (plan £1.4m) £0.6m non-recurrently.
- An element of the non-recurrent delivery reflects the delays in the Trust increasing Obstetric activity to cap (so will be off-set by recurrent income delivery).
- Non-recurrent delivery places increased pressure on future financial sustainability.

#### Cash

- The Trust's planned cash holding in accordance with borrowing requirements is £1m. The actual cash holding is £1.28m.
- The interest payable on the increased borrowing adds to future savings requirement.
- The level of interest currently payable on borrowing to date and to service the current financial plan is circa £2.3m for the year.

#### Capital

 The year to date capital expenditure is £1.1m, with ICCU set to complete in November 2018, Maternity works commenced in May 2018 and the Trust Emergency Department development prioritised in month by the STP (local commissioners and providers of healthcare).

#### **Temporary Workforce**

Safe, high

quality car

Total expenditure on temporary workforce is £1.828m (May 2018) which represents a £86k reduction on the April total following the reduction in capacity

The Trust continues to spend resource in excess of historic performance ( $\pounds$ 1.42m May 2017) and this is driving levels of overspend within the Divisions.

Partners

Value

colleague

-

Care at home

## **Overall Summary and RAG Assessment continued**



### Becoming your partners for first class integrated care



5

## **TEMPORARY EXPENDITURE 2018/19**



#### Commentary

- Temporary staff costs totalled £1.8m in May 2018 (£1.9m April 2018), of which agency is £0.623m.
- The NHS Improvement target for the Trust is to spend no more than £6.5m on agency in 2018/19. This is a £0.5m reduction on the 2017/18 target and £1m reduction on 17/18's outturn.
- The Table below shows an annual forecast for temporary workforce spending:-

Description	2018	2017/18	
	YTD May £000's	Annual £000's	Annual £000's
Temporary worker	1,828	22,867	20,830
Agency	1,377	8,670	7,503

• Total spend in May 18 (£1.8m) is significantly higher than the same period last year (£1.4m May 2017).

-

Care at home

Partners

Value

colleague

Safe, high

quality car



# **TEMPORARY WORKFORCE EXPENDITURE 2018/19**

Agency						17/	/18							18/19	
	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Apr	May	YTD
Staff Group	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Medical Staff	189	280	213	153	194	174	317	215	169	163	126	2,306	223	187	410
РТВ	18	21	19	23	11	15	-6	1	14	14	14	150	12	9	21
Nursing & Midwifery	330	301	332	432	264	367	244	392	555	404	352	4,221	455	359	814
Other Staff Groups	87	59	77	84	83	62	89	78	53	60	36	827	63	68	131
Agency Total This Year	625	660	641	692	553	618	644	686	791	641	527	7,504	754	623	1,377
Monthly Movement	199	35	(19)	51	(139)	65	26	42	105	(149)	(114)		226	(131)	
Bank						17	/18							18/19	
	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Apr	May	YTD
Staff Group	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Medical Staff	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
РТВ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Nursing & Midwifery	330	370	489	382	511	393	454	512	467	526	705	5,525	442	425	867
Other Staff Groups	72	79	91	85	104	79	83	93	105	84	107	1,083	84	74	158
Bank Total This Year	402	449	580	466	616	473	537	605	571	610	811	6.608	526	499	1.025
Dank rotai mis real	402	445	500	400	010	475	557	005	571	010	011	0,000	520	433	1,025
Monthly Movement	(85)	46	131	(114)	149	(143)	64	68	(34)	39	201		(285)	(27)	
Locum						17/	/18							18/19	
	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Apr	May	YTD
Staff Group	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Medical Staff	348	430	551	553	561	691	683	630	486	425	645	6,414	617	691	1,308
РТВ	51	35	30	22	21	16	17	14	13	20	18	299	15	13	28
Nursing & Midwifery	0	0	0	0	0	0		0				0	0	0	0
Other Staff Groups	0	0	0	0	0	0		0			3	4	2	1	3
Locum Total This Year	399	465	581	575	582	707	700	644	499	446	667	6,718	635	705	1,340
Monthly Movement	(55)	66	116	(6)	7	125	(7)	(56)	(145)	(53)	221		(32)	70	
Grand Total	1,426	1,574	1,802	1,733	1,750	1,798	1,881	1,935	1,861	1,697	2,005	20,829	1,914	1,827	3,741
Total Monthly Movement	60	147	228	(69)	17	47	83	54	(74)	(163)	308		(109)	(87)	



## Cost Improvement Target Achievement: May 2018 (Month 2)



## Becoming your partners for first class integrated care

#### **Headlines & Commentary**

Cost Improvement Programme Target for 2018/19 is £13m.

#### **YTD Delivery**

- Delivered £1.1m at month 2 (May)
- Plan to deliver £1.4m, giving an under-delivery of £0.3m
- Of the total savings £0.6m is delivered non-recurrently
- Non-recurrent delivery will be off-set by £0.25m for increased Obstetric activity (births).

#### Full Year Plan

- The full year delivery forecast totals £12m (current shortfall against plan £1m) with a number of schemes still remaining as medium to high risk.
- Work continues with the programme to support the delivery of schemes.
- The full year value of the month
   2 schemes is £2.1m, of which
   £1.5m is delivered recurrently.

00

Value

colleague

+

Care at home

Partners

Safe, high

quality ca

## Capital Programme

Capital Schemes 2018/19	2018/19 Plan £'000	Actual Expenditure 2018/19 £'000	Remaining Balance £'000
Estate			
Life cycle – estate maintenance	1,101	112	989
Integrated Critical Care Unit	2,907	738	2,169
Maternity	5,600	74	5,526
Emergency Department	10,000	8	9,992
Medical Equipment Replacement Information Management & Technology	516	0	516
Hardware & Software	100	41	59
Total Mobile	100	104	(4)
Contribution to SLR	0	0	0
Total Cost of Capital Schemes	20,324	1,077	19,247

## Becoming your partners for first class integrated care

#### Commentary

- Total planned capital expenditure is £20.3m
- Major schemes are the Integrated Critical Care Unit (ICCU) £3m & Maternity and Neo-Natal Unit £5.6m
- The ICCU scheme is set to complete November 2018, Maternity building work commenced 21<sup>st</sup> May
- The Emergency Department (ED) was planned to incur £10m of costs. However, revised estimates place this value at £2m for the year
- The ED scheme has been provisionally ranked as a priority for investment by the STP (council, providers and commissioners of healthcare)
- The Outline Business Case (OBC) for the ED development is with NHS Improvement for review.
- The Trust is seeking additional capital resource to support further medical equipment replacement in year.



## **Statement of Financial Position**

	as at 31/03/18	as at 31/05/18	Movement	
	£000	£000	£000	
Non-Current Assets				
Property, plant & Equipment	138,291	138,360	69	
Intangible Fixed Assets Total Non-Current Assets	1,311 <b>139,602</b>	1,369 <b>139,729</b>	58 <b>127</b>	
Current Assets				
Receivables & pre-payments less than one Year Cash (Citi and Other) Inventories Total Current Assets	17,214 2,277 2,277 <b>21,768</b>	18,698 1,283 2,361 <b>22,342</b>	1,484 (994 84 <b>574</b>	
Current Liabilities				
NHS Payables less than one year Payables less than one year Borrowings less than one year Provisions less than one year <b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	(7,817) (22,885) (60,740) (432) <b>(91,874)</b>	(2,291) (29,743) (66,031) (432) <b>(98,497)</b>	5,526 (6,858 (5,291 - <b>(6,623</b>	
Net Current Assets less Liabilities	(70,106)	(76,155)	(6,049	
Non-current Assets Receivables greater than one year	1,054	1,872	818	
Non-current liabilities Borrowings greater than one year	(127,859)	(127,243)	616	
Total Assets less Total Liabilities	(57,309)	(61,797)	(4,488	
FINANCED BY TAXPAYERS' EQUITY composition :				
PDC	58,318	58,318	-	
Revaluation Income and Expenditure In Year Income & Expenditure	16,023 (131,650) -	16,023 (131,650) (4,488)	- - (4,488	
Total TAXPAYERS' EQUITY	(57,309)	(61,797)	(4,488	

#### Commentary

### **Non Current Assets**

• There is little movement year to date with depreciation and amortisation being almost equal to capital expenditure incurred.

## **Current Assets**

- Receivables & pre-payments have increased by £1.48m since 31st March 2018, the adjustment reflecting movements in pre-paid contracts at the start.
- Cash is £1.0m lower than the balance at 31st March 2018. The high balance held at year end being specifically to assist delivery of the Capital Resource Limit (CRL) target.

#### **Current Liabilities**

 Liabilities have increased since March and primarily reflecting additional borrowing to support the revenue deficit position.

#### Provisions

The balance of provisions remains unchanged

## Tax Payers' Equity

 Income & Expenditure reflects the current deficit of £4.4m and shows the brought forward balances on the revaluation reserve and Income & Expenditure Reserve.



## **Cash Flow Statement**

	£'000
Cash Flows from Operating Activities	
Adjusted Operating Surplus/(Deficit)	(2,886)
Depreciation and Amortisation	1,007
Donated Assets Received credited to revenue but non-cash	(58)
Fixed Asset Impairments	0
(Increase)/Decrease in Trade and Other Receivables	(2,304)
Increase/(Decrease) in Trade and Other Payables	1,786
Increase/(Decrease) in Stock	(84)
Increase/(Decrease) in Provisions	0
Interest Paid	(1,608)
Dividend Paid	Ο
Net Cash Inflow/(Outflow) from Operating Activities	(4,147)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities	
Interest received	7
(Payments) for Property, Plant and Equipment	(1,428)
Receipt from sale of Property	О
Net Cash Inflow/(Outflow)from Investing Activities	(1,421)
Net Cash Inflow/(Outflow) before Financing	(5,568)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities	4,574
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash	(994)
Cash at the Beginning of the Year 2017/18	2,277
Cash at the End of the Month	1,283

#### Becoming your partners for first class integrated care

#### Commentary

#### **Cash Flow**

- The Trust made an adjusted operating deficit of £2,886k at the end of May and received cash of £1,007k in respect of depreciation and amortisation.
- Trade and Other Receivables increased over the period (a negative impact on cash).
- Trade and Other Payables increased over the period (a positive impact on cash).
- The Trust spent a total of £1,428k in relation to payments for outstanding capital projects from 2017/18 and current 2018/19 projects.
- The Trust has received a total of £5.2m against the temporary borrowing loan facility by the end of May to support working capital payments.





MEETING OF TRUST BOARD – 05.07.18								
Performance and Quality Repor	t				AGE	NDA ITEM: 15		
Report Author and Job Title:	Alison Phipps - Head of			Responsible		Russell Caldicott –		
	Performance a	& Strategic	Dir	ector:	Dire	Director of Finance &		
	Intelligence				Per	formance		
Action	Approval	Decision		Assurance an				
		Decision						
				To receive and	L C	To receive		
				discuss X				
Recommendation	Members of the Trust Board are asked to note the content of the paper and discuss any areas of concern.							
included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline Resource implications outline	<ul> <li>This report provides performance results for the Trust against a range indicators (performance, quality, safety and finance) and the key message summary pages contained within it have been to each of the sub committees (PFIC, Quality &amp; Safety, POD). Lead executives have had an opportunity to incorporate comments on the key message pages and have also debated the content of the report at the relevant subcommittee.</li> <li>There are no resource implications associated with this report</li> </ul>							
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	There are no legal or equality & diversity implications associated with this paper.							
Operational Objectives 2018/19	Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive ✓ improvement programme							
	•		-	isation to ensur ical leadership	e	~		
		inancial health		ough our robust		✓		
	Develop the clinical service strategy focused on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration							

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Safe, high quality care

Care at home

4

Partners



Resor



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Areas of particular note applicable to Trust Board in respect of the Performance and Quality report attached are summarised in section 3 below.

#### Performance & Quality Report

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary overview of performance against key metrics aligned to this committee and also detail CQUIN schemes achievement and forecast. More detailed exception pages are included for metrics which have failed to achieve.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

The report provides summary dashboards containing detail of performance against key metrics aligned to the organisational strategic objectives. A page summarising key messages for each subcommittee (Performance, Finance and Investment Committee, Quality and Safety Committee, People and Organisational Development) is contained within this report and discussed prior to receipt at Trust Board.

#### 3. DETAILS

Areas of note are:

- <u>A&E: Time Spent in A&E (within 4 hours): Target 95%:</u> Performance improved to 89.7% compared to 87.22% in April. May's performance exceeded the trajectory of 85%.
- <u>Ambulance Handover:</u> The number of delayed ambulance handovers totalled 42 compared to 43 in April. Within this the number delayed by more than 1 hour increased to 5 from 1.
- <u>Cancer</u> All cancer metrics achieved in April with the exception of 62 day referral to treatment (83.33% against an 85% target). Unvalidated results for May show achievement against all cancer measures.
- <u>18 Weeks Referral to Treatment Incomplete: Target 92%</u>: May's performance further improved to 88.33%.
- **<u>Diagnostic waits:</u>** 99% target continued to achieve (99.57%).
- <u>HSMR (HED) & SHMI -</u> February HSMR rate was 102.55. December SHMI changed to 127.25 from 103.66 in November. 78 deaths were recorded in May
- Infection Control There were two reported cases of C Difficile and no cases of MRSA
- Pressure Ulcers (category 2, 3 & 4's) Avoidable per 1000 beddays (Acute) / CCG per 10,000 population (Community) – These two new metrics have been included and were reported as 0.84 and 0.03 respectively for April (unvalidated)
- **Falls** The rate of falls per 1000 bed days declined to 5.62 from 5.32 in April and was within the target of 6.63. There were no falls resulting in serious injury.
- <u>Safeguarding and Prevent Training</u> Compliance rates were not achieved with the exception of Prevent Level 1 and 2 and Children's Safeguarding Level 3. Trajectories have been established to achieve by end of Q1.
- **Open Contract Performance Notices** Seven contract performance notices remain open.
- **DNA rates**: Slightly declined in May to 11.03% from 10.47% in April and failed to achieve the trajectory of 9.00%.
- **<u>CQUINS</u>** Work continues on schemes for 2017-19. A forecast summary is included



#### 4. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Members of the Board are asked to note the content of the paper and discuss areas of concern.

**Report Author:** Alison Phipps - Head of Performance & Strategic Intelligence **Date of report:** 29<sup>th</sup> June 2018

#### **APPENDICES**

Performance & Quality Report



# Performance & Quality Report Trust Board

June 2018 (May 2018 Results)

Author: Alison Phipps – Head of Performance and Strategic Intelligence Lead Director: Russell Caldicott – Director of Finance and Performance



# Contents

Indicator	Page	Indicator	Page
Trust Performance Framework Chart	3	Pressure Ulcers (Mar 2018)	28
Trust Strategic Values Framework Chart	4	Falls	29
Quality & Safety Committee		Medication Errors	30
Key Messages	6	Emergency Readmissions (Apr 2018)	31
Dashboard	7-9	Electronic Discharge Summaries	32
Performance, Finance & Investment Committee	·	Dementia (Apr 2018)	33
Key Messages	11	Friends & Family Test	34
Dashboard	12-14	Mandatory Training Compliance	35
People & Organisational Development Committee	Safeguarding Compliance	36	
Key Messages	16	Sickness Absence	37
Dashboard	17	PDR Compliance	38
Exception Pages	·		
Emergency Department	19		
Cancer 62 Day Referral To Treatment (Apr 18)	20		
18 Weeks Referral to Treatment – Incomplete Pathways (Apr 2018)	21		
Open Contract Performance Notices	22		
Outpatients	23	CQUINs	
Length of Stay	24	CQUIN Summary	40-44
Delayed Transfers of Care (Apr 2018)	25	Glossary	
Mortality (Feb 2018)	26	Glossary of Acronyms	46-47
Infection Control	27		









# **Quality and Safety Committee**



## Quality & Safety Committee – Key Messages Please refer to dashboard and exception pages for further detail



PERFORMANCE ACHIEVED - OF NOTE:. There were no sleeping accommodation breaches during May. There were 2 cases of C Difficile within the month which is within the monthly trajectory and no cases of MRSA. One to One care in established labour achieved the 100% target. Children's safeguarding training level 3 compliance exceeded the 85% target.

PERFORMANCE NOT ACHIEVED: HSMR improved to 102.55 in February from 113.00 in January. There were 8 avoidable pressure ulcers reported for March. April and May figures are provisional. Midwife to Birth Ratio did not achieve at 1:29.2. Emergency Readmissions within 30 days did not achieve in April with performance of 11.27%. EDS compliance significantly improved to 92.29% in May. Dementia deteriorated to 66.62% in April, against a target of 90%, however methodology to determine performance of this metric is still under review. Seven FFT areas failed to achieve in May. Six of the Safeguarding metrics failed to achieve in May.

The number of deaths reduced in May to 78. There were 11 Hospital & 5 Community serious incidents in April. Pressure Ulcers will be reported as Acute per 1000 bed days and Community per 10,000 CCG population and included in the next version for Trust Board.

There are no specific Care at Home metrics identified for inclusion within the dashboard for this committee.

There are no specific Value Colleagues metrics identified for inclusion within the dashboard for this committee.

#### PERFORMANCE NOT ACHIEVED - OF NOTE: There were 309 births n May.

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care



Page 6



#### QUALITY AND SAFETY COMMITTEE 2018-2019



Key

N N BP BP

> N N

BP BP BP N N L L L

L

	SAFE, HIGH QUALITY CARE
no	Sleeping Accommodation Breaches
no	HSMR (HED)
no	SHMI (HED)
no	Number of Deaths in Hospital
%	% of patients who achieve their chosen place of death
no	MRSA - No. of Cases
no	Clostridium Difficile - No. of cases
%	% of patients screened for Sepsis (IP & ED) (CQUIN quarterly audit)
no	Pressure Ulcers (category 2, 3, 4 & Unstageables) Hospital Acquired Avoidable per 1,000 beddavs
no	Pressure Ulcers (category 2, 3, 4 & Unstageables) Community Acquired Avoidable per 10,000 CCG Population
no	Pressure Ulcers - (category 2, 3 & 4's) - Hospital
no	Pressure Ulcers - (category 2, 3 & 4's) - Community
no	Falls - Total reported
no	Falls - Rate per 1000 Beddays
no	Falls - No. of falls resulting in severe injury or death
no	Falls - Avoidable Falls resulting in severe harm or injury
no	Falls - Unavoidable Falls resulting in severe harm or injury
%	VTE Risk Assessment
no	National Never Events
no	Local Avoidable Events
no	Serious Incidents (inc cat 3 & 4 pressure ulcers, HCAI's & Falls) - Hospital Acquired
no	Serious Incidents (inc cat 3 & 4 pressure ulcers, HCAI's & Falls) - Community Acquired
no	Clinical incidents causing actual harm severity 3 to 5 - Hospital Acquired
no	Clinical incidents causing actual harm severity 3 to 5 - Community Acquired
%	% of incidents resulting in moderate, severe harm or death as a % of total incidents

2018-2019										-
Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18		18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn	
9	3	2	8	3	0		3	0	66	1
131.87	115.45	102.55						100.00		
127.25								100.00		
137	139	112	113	90	78		168		1166	
46.30%	63.04%	57.78%	57.69%	54.05%	58.93%		56.99%			
0	0	0	0	1	0		1	0	0	
4	0	0	0	3	2		5	17	11	1
95.00%	92.00%	95.16%	95.74%					90.00%	93.82%	1
				0.84						1
				0.03						1
11	15	17	20	27	20		47			1
9	17	14	14	14	14		28			
95	88	83	95	89	85		174		1026	
5.79	5.11	5.10	5.64	5.32	5.62			6.63		
1	1	0	0	4	0		4	0	8	1
1	1	0	0							
0	0	0	0							
93.45%	91.30%	93.18%	95.49%	96.34%	96.28%		96.31%	95.00%	88.49%	1
0	0	0	1	0	0		0	0	3	
0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1
9	9	13	12	14	11		25		123	1
4	8	4	5	8	5		13		77	
28	22	24	18	28	27		55		262	
2	16	4	8	6	6		12		89	
3.09%	3.31%	2.89%	2.33%	3.17%	3.07%		3.12%		2.78%	
										_



QUALITY AND SAFETY
COMMITTEE
2018-2019



Key

BP

N N

BP L N/L N

BP BP

> L N

N N N

%	Deteriorating patients: Percentage of observations rechecked within time	8
%	Medication Storage Compliance (one month in arrears)	g
%	Controlled Drug Compliance (quarterly audit)	7
%	% of Pharmacy Interventions made based on charts reviewed	2
%	Trust-wide Safety Index - % of medication incidents resulting in harm (one month in arrears)	3
no	No. of reported medication incidents level 3, 4 or 5 (reported one month in arrears)	
no	Midwife to Birth Ratio	1
%	One to One Care in Established Labour	9
%	C-Section Rates	3
%	Instrumental Delivery	8
%	Induction of Labour	3
%	NHS Safety Thermometer - Maternity - Women's Perception of Safety	9
%	NHS Safety Thermometer - % Harm Free	9
%	% of Emergency Readmissions within 30 Days of a discharge from hospital (one month in arrears)	1
%	Electronic Discharges Summaries (EDS) completed within 48 hours	8
%	Dementia Screening 75+ (Hospital) (Internal audit Dec17 onwards)	8
%	Compliance with MCA 2 Stage Tracking	
no	Complaints - Total Received	
%	Complaints - Percentage responded to within the agreed timescales	10
no	Clinical Claims (New claims received by Organisation)	
no	No urgent op to be cancelled for a second time	
%	% of RN staffing Vacancies	ç
%	Friends and Family Test - Inpatient (% Recommended)	9
%	Friends and Family Test - Outpatient (% Recommended)	9
%	Friends and Family Test - ED (% Recommended)	7
%	Friends and Family Test - Community (% Recommended)	9

	2018-2	.019						
Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn
88.19%	88.72%	90.27%	90.52%	91.20%	93.46%	92.30%	85.00%	
93.00%	89.00%	89.00%	91.00%					
78.00%			71.00%					
26.56%	22.62%	22.49%	13.00%	14.00%	12.00%			
34.48%	30.59%	20.00%	17.54%	20.75%		20.75%	12.00%	
5	1	1	3	1		1	0	
1:25.4	1:24.8	1:22.4	1:26.3	1:29.8	1:29.2		1:28	1:26.3
98.91%	98.98%	99.43%	99.48%	99.06%	100.00%	99.54%	100.00%	
33.09%	27.34%	26.61%	31.80%	27.06%	27.12%	27.09%	30.00%	
8.93%	14.36%	9.09%	10.03%	9.48%	8.09%	8.78%	10.00%	
33.45%	32.01%	31.85%	30.39%	32.01%	32.68%	32.35%		
91.30%	82.60%	100.00%	94.30%	100.00%	100.00%		92.00%	
97.12%	95.29%	95.70%	94.75%	95.93%	94.21%		94.00%	
11.44%	10.44%	10.18%	10.26%	11.27%		11.27%	10.00%	
89.73%	91.63%	91.84%	89.51%	83.45%	92.29%	87.81%	100.00%	89.33%
80.79%	79.55%	72.12%	78.26%	66.22%		66.22%	90.00%	
	71.00%	77.00%	77.00%	55.00%	81.00%		100.00%	
13	24	23	33	26	32	58		291
100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	90.32%	87.50%	93.55%	91.49%	70.00%	
10	10	14	8	9	19	28		131
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.78%	9.96%	9.20%	9.13%	9.79%				
91.00%	93.00%	97.00%	94.00%	96.00%	95.00%		96.00%	
91.00%	91.00%	91.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%		96.00%	
77.00%	75.00%	79.00%	76.00%	79.00%	76.00%		85.00%	
99.00%	97.00%	99.00%	97.00%	97.00%	98.00%		97.00%	



#### **QUALITY AND SAFETY** COMMITTEE 2018-2019



		Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn	Кеу
%	Friends and Family Test - Maternity - Antenatal (% Recommended)	80.00%	97.00%	0.00%	81.00%	90.00%	91.00%		95.00%		N
%	Friends and Family Test - Maternity - Birth (% Recommended)	83.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	90.00%		96.00%		N
%	Friends and Family Test - Maternity - Postnatal (% Recommended)	85.00%	97.00%	100.00%	96.00%	97.00%	91.00%		92.00%		N
%	Friends and Family Test - Maternity - Postnatal Community (% Recommended)	100.00%	99.00%	100.00%	98.00%	100.00%	94.00%		97.00%		N
%	PREVENT Training - Level 1 & 2 Compliance	99.61%	98.84%	98.80%	96.56%	98.59%	98.29%		85.00%		L
%	PREVENT Training - Level 3 Compliance	63.93%	69.07%	70.90%	75.97%	76.07%	77.51%		85.00%		L
%	Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance	96.73%	95.51%	93.10%	93.86%	94.14%	93.35%		95.00%		
%	Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance	59.50%	63.80%	66.37%	70.09%	74.57%	79.13%		85.00%		L
%	Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance	62.05%	71.85%	74.09%	77.64%	78.06%	80.55%		85.00%		L
%	Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance	98.85%	96.28%	94.06%	92.12%	91.61%	92.00%		95.00%		
%	Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance	73.16%	74.03%	73.84%	73.25%	75.49%	76.74%		85.00%		L
%	Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance	66.32%	68.87%	67.48%	71.07%	73.72%	87.10%		85.00%		L
	RESOURCES										
no	Total Births	280	280	253	289	306	309	615		3603	L

Green	Performance is on track against target or trajectory
Amber	Performance is within agreed tolerances of target or trajectory
Red	Performance not achieving against target or trajectory or outside agreed tolerances


# Performance, Finance and Investment Committee



## Performance, Finance & Investment Committee – Key Messages Please refer to dashboard and exception pages for further detail





Page 11



### PERFORMANCE, FINANCE AND INVESTMENT COMMITTEE 2018-2019



	SAFE, HIGH QUALITY CARE
%	Total time spent in ED - % within 4 hours - Overall (Type 1 and 3)
no	Total time spent in ED - No. of Trolley waits over 12 hours
no	Median Waiting Time in ED Metric (average in mins)
%	Ambulance Handover - Percentage of clinical handovers completed within 15 minutes of recorded time of arrival at ED
no	Ambulance Handover - No. of Handovers completed between 30-60mins
no	Ambulance Handover - No. of Handovers completed over 60mins
%	Cancer - 2 week GP referral to 1st outpatient appointment
%	Cancer - 2 week GP referral to 1st outpatient appointment - breast symptoms
%	Cancer - 31 day second or subsequent treatment (surgery)
%	Cancer - 31 day second or subsequent treatment (drug)
%	Cancer - 31 day diagnosis to treatment
%	Cancer - 62 day referral to treatment from screening
%	Cancer - 62 day referral to treatment of all cancers
%	Cancer - 62 day referral to treatment from consultant upgrade
%	18 weeks Referral to Treatment - % within 18 weeks - Incomplete
no	18 weeks Referral to Treatment - No. of patients waiting over 52 weeks - Incomplete
no	18 weeks Referral to Treatment - No. of patients waiting over 52 weeks - Admitted
no	18 weeks Referral to Treatment - No. of patients waiting over 52 weeks - Not Admitted
%	Diagnostic Waits - % waiting under 6 weeks
%	Elective Cancellations - No. of last minute cancellations on day of operation or after patient admission
no	Elective Cancellations - No. of last minute cancellations not rebooked within 28 days
no	No urgent op to be cancelled for a second time
no	Rapid Response Team - Avoidable admissions (one month in arrears)

Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18
83.38%	82.68%	82.81%	81.23%	87.22%	89.70%
0	0	0	0	0	0
179	181	178	187	167	152
58.42%	59.73%	71.31%	70.36%	80.95%	80.65%
246	259	108	144	42	37
35	37	21	9	1	5
97.42%	95.16%	96.61%	97.90%	93.45%	93.55%
100.00%	94.12%	96.55%	100.00%	94.00%	94.03%
100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
100.00%	98.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	90.91%
90.12%	87.36%	85.71%	87.69%	83.33%	85.39%
85.71%	90.91%	79.52%	86.89%	92.54%	85.48%
80.99%	82.48%	83.69%	84.74%	85.89%	88.33%
1	1	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	0
0	0	1	0	0	1
99.15%	99.54%	99.66%	98.06%	99.05%	99.57%
0.51%	0.19%	0.35%	0.39%	0.09%	0.35%
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
248	326	225	258	212	

18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn	Key
88.51%	95.00%	82.67%	N
0	0	3	N
	120		
80.80%	<mark>85.00%</mark>	65.80%	BP
79	0	1836	Ν
6	0	236	Ν
93.51%	93.00%	95.45%	Ν
94.02%	93.00%	96.55%	Ν
100.00%	94.00%	98.92%	Ν
100.00%	98.00%	100.00%	Ν
100.00%	96.00%	99.39%	Ν
95.00%	90.00%	98.03%	Ν
84.24%	85.00%	88.05%	Ν
89.15%	85.00%	86.20%	Ν
	92.00%		Ν
0	0		Ν
1	0		Ν
1	0		N
99.30%	99.00%	99.06%	N
0.23%	0.75%	0.45%	N
0	0	0	Ν
0	0	0	Ν



PERFORMANCE, FINANCE
AND INVESTMENT COMMITTEE
THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION AND A DESCRIPTION AN



		Dec-17
%	% of RN staffing Vacancies	9.78%
no	No. of Open Contract Performance Notices	6
	CARE AT HOME	
%	ED Reattenders within 7 days	7.00%
	RESOURCES	
%	Booking Utilisation (booked as a percentage of capacity)	91.14%
%	Outpatient DNA Rate (Hospital and Community)	14.36%
no	New to follow up ratio - WHT	2.03
%	Theatre Utilisation - Touch Time Utilisation (%)	66.31%
no	Length of Stay	7.51
%	Delayed transfers of care (one month in arrears)	2.16%
no	Average Number of Medically Fit Patients	
no	Average Number of Medically Fit Patients relating to Social Care - Walsall only	
no	Average Number of Medically Fit Patients - Trust	
no	Average LoS for Medically Fit Patients (from point they become Medically Fit)	
no	Hospital beds open at month end	483
%	Day case rates	88.82%
%	Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill	8.53%
%	Agency expenditure as % of Paybill	4.69%
£	Surplus or Deficit (year to date) (000's)	-£20,34
£	Variance from plan (year to date) (000's)	-£3,993
£	CIP (£) (000's)	£6,620
%	CIP % delivered (year to date)	71.00%
£	Income variance from plan (year to date) (000's)	£464
£	Expenditure - Variance from Plan (year to date) (000's)	£4,271
£	Cash Against Plan (variance) (000's)	£526
£	Capital spend YTD (000's)	£5,663

	8%         9.96%         9.20%         9.13%         9.79%           6         6         7         7         7           0%         6.71%         6.18%         6.87%         6.80%         7.68%           14%         90.13%         90.41%         92.87%         93.17%         94.97%           36%         12.11%         11.27%         10.73%         10.47%         11.03%           03         2.04         2.01         2.04         2.09         1.88           31%         58.16%         63.60%         70.73%         80.91%         83.76%           51         7.50         7.59         7.59         8.24         7.22           6%         3.11%         3.44%         3.63%         2.97%         10.57           6%         3.11%         3.44%         3.63%         2.97%         10.57           6%         3.11%         3.44%         3.63%         2.97%         10.57           7         7         7         98         87         10.57           83         532         514         519         488         470           82%         90.32%         88.44%         86.78%         88.31% </th				
Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18
9.78%	9.96%	9.20%	9.13%	9.79%	
6	6	6	7	7	7
7.00%	6.71%	6.18%	6.87%	6.80%	7.68%
91.14%	90.13%	90.41%	92.87%	93.17%	94.97%
14.36%	12.11%	11.27%	10.73%	10.47%	11.03%
2.03	2.04	2.01	2.04	2.09	1.88
66.31%	58.16%	63.60%	70.73%	80.91%	83.76%
7.51	7.50	7.59	7.59	8.24	7.22
2.16%	3.11%	3.44%	3.63%	2.97%	
				98	87
				21	26
				49	42
				8.61	10.57
483	532	514	519	488	470
88.82%	90.32%	88.44%	86.78%	88.31%	90.25%
8.53%	7.29%	7.42%	10.31%	7.93%	
4.69%	5.39%	4.51%	3.68%	5.15%	
£20,342	-£20,395	-£23,257	-£23,267	-£2,386	-£4,509
-£3,991	-£3,622	-£4,238	-£2,511	-£2,483	-£186
£6,620	£7,213	£7,826	£10,900	£168	£1,080
71.00%	72.30%	74.80%	99.10%	6.90%	11.00%
£464	£640	-£927	-£2,306	£236	£106
£4,271	£3,991	-£3,389	-£222	-£154	-£331
£526	£73	£121	£128	£1,004	£1,280
£5,663	£6,674	£7,438	£9,662	£506	£1,100

			lieugues
18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn	Key
	0	7	L
7.26%	7.00%	6.76%	BP
94.12%	90.00%	89.90%	L
10.47%	8.00%	12.16%	
	2.14	1.99	BP
	75.00%		
7.72	7.01	7.22	BP
2.97%	2.50%	2.56%	L
	80		0
			0
			0
	5		0
			L
89.36%		88.14%	BP
7.93%	6.30%	7.67%	L
5.15%	2.75%	4.32%	L
-£4,509		-£23,267	L
-£186		-£2,511	L
£1,080		£10,900	L
11.00%	100.00%	99.10%	L
£106	£0	-£2,306	L
-£331	£0	-£222	L
£1,280		£128	L
£1,100		£9,662	L



## **PERFORMANCE, FINANCE** AND INVESTMENT COMMITTEE



2018	3-2019

		Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn	Key
no	Monitor Risk Rating (Actual YTD)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	BP
no	Total Referrals (Contracted)	6419	8730	8712	9073	8750		8750		-	BP
no	Total Elective Activity (Contracted)	218	250	250	322	284	277	561		3725	L
no	Total Non Elective Activity (Contracted)	138	61	62	39	31	80	111		578	L
no	Total Outpatient attendances (Contracted)	15371	15932	18388	20094	19133	21485	40618		230583	L
no	Total Day Case Activity (Contracted)	1500	2089	1812	1847	1776	2124	3900		22253	L
no	Total Emergencies Activity (Contracted)	2689	2815	2551	2682	2462	2554	5016		31847	L
no	Total ED Attendances Type 1 Pbr (Excl Badger) (Contracted)	6577	6551	5984	6606	6193	6611	12804		74003	L
no	Total AHP Activity (Contracted)	1337	1811	1866	1799	1665	1799	3464		21600	L
no	Total Critical Care Days (Contracted)	1232	990	895	829	1008	918	1926		11242	L
no	Total Unbundled Chemo Delivery Activity (Contracted)	241	323	318	353	341	361	702		3975	L
no	Total Maternity Pathway	720	881	766	801	1041	789	1830		11712	L
no	Total Community Contacts (Contracted)	13823	23589	27787	27787	29198	29926	59345		361113	L
no	Total Births	280	280	253	289	306	309	615		3603	L

Green	Performance is on track against target or trajectory
Amber	Performance is within agreed tolerances of target or trajectory
Red	Performance not achieving against target or trajectory or outside agreed tolerances



# People and Organisational Development Committee



## People & Organisational Development Committee – Key Messages Please refer to dashboard and exception pages for further detail



Becoming your partners for first class integrated care



Page 16



### PEOPLE AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE 2018-2019



Кеу

L

L

L

L

L

L

L

%Mandatory Training Compliance79.65%78.144%PREVENT Training - Level 1 & 2 Compliance99.61%98.844%PREVENT Training - Level 3 Compliance63.93%69.07%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance96.73%95.511%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance59.50%63.80%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance62.05%71.856%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance98.85%96.28%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.033%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%PDRs75.90%78.24RESOURCES8.53%7.299%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.299%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.399noStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100			Dec-17	Jan-18
NumNumberNumber%Mandatory Training Compliance79.65%78.144%PREVENT Training - Level 1 & 2 Compliance99.61%98.844%PREVENT Training - Level 3 Compliance63.93%69.07%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance96.73%95.514%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance96.73%95.514%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance62.05%71.855%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance98.85%96.283%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.033%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.874WALUE COLLEAGUES5.81%6.23962.339%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%PDRs75.90%78.244%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.299%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.399noStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100		SAFE, HIGH QUALITY CARE		
%PREVENT Training - Level 1 & 2 Compliance99.61%98.84%%PREVENT Training - Level 3 Compliance63.93%69.07%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance96.73%95.51%%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance59.50%63.80%%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance62.05%71.85%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance98.85%96.28%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.03%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance5.81%6.23%%Sickness Absence5.81%6.23%%Sickness Absence5.81%6.23%%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.29%%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.39%NoStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100	%	% of RN staffing Vacancies	9.78%	9.96%
%PREVENT Training - Level 3 Compliance63.93%69.07%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance96.73%95.51%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance59.50%63.80%%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance62.05%71.85%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance98.85%96.28%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.03%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance66.32%68.87%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.299%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.399noStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100	%	Mandatory Training Compliance	79.65%	78.14%
%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance96.73%95.51%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance59.50%63.80%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance62.05%71.85%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance98.85%96.28%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.03%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%PDRs75.90%78.24%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.299%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.399noStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100	%	PREVENT Training - Level 1 & 2 Compliance	99.61%	98.84%
%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance59.50%63.80%%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance62.05%71.85%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance98.85%96.28%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.03%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.87%%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.29%%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.39%NoStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100	%	PREVENT Training - Level 3 Compliance	63.93%	69.07%
%Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance62.05%71.850%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance98.85%96.283%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance73.16%74.033%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.874%Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance66.32%68.874%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%Sickness Absence5.81%6.239%PDRs75.90%78.244RESOURCES98.85%9.283%Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill8.53%7.299%Agency expenditure as % of Paybill4.69%5.399NoStaff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)41004100	%	Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance	96.73%	95.51%
%       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance       98.85%       96.283         %       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance       73.16%       74.03         %       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance       66.32%       68.87         %       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance       5.81%       62.32%         %       Sickness Absence       5.81%       6.23%         %       Sickness Absence       5.81%       6.23%         %       PDRs       75.90%       78.24%         RESOURCES       9       8.53%       7.29%         %       Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill       8.53%       7.29%         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill       4.69%       5.39%         No       Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)       4100       4100	%	Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance	59.50%	63.80%
%       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance       73.16%       74.03         %       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance       66.32%       68.87         VALUE COLLEAGUES       5.81%       6.23%         %       Sickness Absence       5.81%       6.23%         %       PDRs       75.90%       78.24%         RESOURCES         %       Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill       8.53%       7.29%         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill       4.69%       5.39%         No       Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)       4100       4100	%	Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance	62.05%	71.85%
%       Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance       66.32%       68.87         VALUE COLLEAGUES       66.32%       68.87         %       Sickness Absence       5.81%       6.239         %       PDRs       75.90%       78.24         RESOURCES         %       Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill       8.53%       7.299         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill       4.69%       5.399         no       Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)       4100       4100	%	Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 1 Compliance	98.85%	96.28%
VALUE COLLEAGUES         %       Sickness Absence         %       PDRs         75.90%       78.243         RESOURCES         %       Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill         4.69%       5.399         no       Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)	%	Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 2 Compliance	73.16%	74.03%
%         Sickness Absence         5.81%         6.23%           %         PDRs         75.90%         78.24%           RESOURCES           %         Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill         8.53%         7.29%           %         Agency expenditure as % of Paybill         4.69%         5.39%           no         Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)         4100         4100	%	Children's Safeguarding Training - Level 3 Compliance	66.32%	68.87%
%         PDRs         75.90%         78.244 <b>RESOURCES</b> 8.53%         7.299           %         Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill         8.53%         7.299           %         Agency expenditure as % of Paybill         4.69%         5.399           no         Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)         4100         4100		VALUE COLLEAGUES		
RESOURCES         %       Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill         no       Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)	%	Sickness Absence	5.81%	6.23%
%       Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill       8.53%       7.299         %       Agency expenditure as % of Paybill       4.69%       5.399         no       Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)       4100       4100	%	PDRs	75.90%	78.24%
%     Agency expenditure as % of Paybill       no     Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)		RESOURCES		
no     Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)	%	Bank & Locum expenditure as % of Paybill	8.53%	7.29%
	%	Agency expenditure as % of Paybill	4.69%	5.39%
% Turnover 8.93% 8.779	no	Staff in post (Budgeted Establishment FTE)	4100	4100
	%	Turnover	8.93%	8.77%

18	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	18/19 YTD Actual	18/19 Target	17/18 Outturn	
5%	9.20%	9.13%	9.79%					ſ
4%	77.61%	76.61%	76.99%	78.76%	78.76%	90.00%	76.61%	ľ
4%	98.80%	96.56%	98.59%	98.29%		85.00%		Ī
7%	70.90%	75.97%	76.07%	77.51%		85.00%		Ī
1%	93.10%	93.86%	94.14%	93.35%		95.00%		Ī
0%	66.37%	70.09%	74.57%	79.13%		85.00%		Ī
5%	74.09%	77.64%	78.06%	80.55%		85.00%		
8%	94.06%	92.12%	91.61%	92.00%		95.00%		
3%	73.84%	73.25%	75.49%	76.74%		85.00%		Ī
7%	67.48%	71.07%	73.72%	87.10%		85.00%		Ī
8%	5.00%	5.65%	5.06%	4.71%	4.86%	3.39%	5.30%	
4%	79.47%	78.17%	80.55%	82.42%	82.42%	90.00%	78.17%	
9%	7.42%	10.31%	7.93%		7.93%	6.30%	7.67%	
)%	4.51%	3.68%	5.15%		5.15%	2.75%	4.32%	ſ
0	4116	4095	4125		4125			ſ
%	8.89%	9.13%	9.83%	9.92%	9.92%	11.00%	9.13%	ſ

Green	Performance is on track against target or trajectory
Amber	Performance is within agreed tolerances of target or trajectory
Red	Performance not achieving against target or trajectory or outside agreed tolerances



# **Exception Pages**



### **Emergency Department**

## Walsall Healthcare NHS

<ul> <li>There were over 90 ambulance arrivals to ED on 17 days during the month, an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the Trust saw over a 100 ambulances to ED which is an increase compared to April (14).</li> <li>Standards for escalation for services has been agreed and are in place on wards. The standards list local agreements from services and process for escalate when they are not met.</li> <li>A new process for stranded patients is in place for all specialities. Expectations for LoS reviews are in place.</li> <li>Mubulance - (May 2018) - National position = 1/14 Trusts.</li> <li>Contractual Status</li> <li>ED 4 Hour - CQN/First Exception report remains open. Monthly penalties will be applied by WCCG £120 per breach based on the agreed trajectories. Fines for May equate to £0.</li> <li>Ambulance - As stipulated in the national contract, £200 will be applied for every handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and produced.</li> <li>Regular escalations continue with Health &amp; Social Care to review the days to interview and reduce delays to</li> </ul>												N	NHS Trust		
Ambulance Handover - Percentage of handovers completed within 15mins of arrival       95.00%       90.05%       90.05%       00.05%											May-18	YTD	-		
What is driving the reported underperformance?       What actions have we taken to improve performance?       Contractual Financial Provide April 1       Top E       ED       ED       ED       ED	Total time spent in ED -	% within 4 ho	urs - Overal	l (Type 1, 3 a	nd WiC)				95.00%	85.00%	89.70%	88.51%	<b></b>		
What is driving the reported underperformance?     What actions have we taken to improve performance?     Penalties (LCA)     To E       ED Overall     Mar-18     Apr-18     May-18       Type 1 Attenders     2017     3328     3071       Wind is driving the reported underperformance?     New Actions:       - Improvement Groups are now established and have been meeting as a minimum biweekly over the last 2 months. The 5 workstreams and the search of these streams are meeting weekly with the Divisional Team of Three to measure and montion success.       - Median Wait 187     167     1520       - If 5mins 1956     2000     2176       Handover (WMX)     - Se0     9     1       - So 0     0     0     0       No Time 68     31     61       - Nerrapide patients hat are same day discharge from ED.     - The Finity Group have implemented a new Finity Tool to increase the number of patients that are same day discharge from ED.       - Nerrapide patient dances per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr) - Askinge number of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared to April (1).       - Nerrapide patient dances to ED with its an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the realistic toral agreement is place for all agreement is place for all agreement is place.       - New regree and UA April (14) and 6 days where the realistic toral agreement is place.       - New regree and UA April (14)       ED A Hour - (May 2018) - Reigning patient - 1/14 Trusts. <t< td=""><td>Ambulance Handover - F</td><td>Percentage of</td><td>handovers</td><td>completed w</td><td>ithin 15mins of</td><td>arrival</td><td></td><td></td><td>85.00%</td><td></td><td>80.65%</td><td>80.80%</td><td>•</td><td></td></t<>	Ambulance Handover - F	Percentage of	handovers	completed w	ithin 15mins of	arrival			85.00%		80.65%	80.80%	•		
Type 1 Attenders66961936688Type 3 Attenders327133233671Wil C Attenders335833233671Wil C Attenders335833233671Wil C Attenders335833233671Wil C Attenders335833233671Median Wait187167152Trolley Waits >12 Yourg000Median Wait187167152Median Wait187167152Manubance15.30671449480Manubance30.601444237Handover (WMAS)560915No Time683161Total284620132759Average treatments per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr)Average treatments had are some day discharge from ED.Average treatments op eday were 246 compared to 206 (Apr)Average treatments from services has been gareed and are in place to monitor Neerge number of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared to April (14) and 64 way where the days during the mathematic stores and waldis to calagreements from services and services has been agreed and are in place for MDI calago for excitors are being monitored The Entergency & Acute Croup Have implemented a met handovers and the for day explaint bostion are being monitored A were process to Standerd bar being filance in May 2018) - National position = 1/14 Trusts.Continuing data for excitors are being monitored The Entergency & Acute Coup Nerve Scopplinal position = 1/14 Trusts. <td< td=""><td>What is driving the repo</td><td>orted underpe</td><td>erformance</td><td>?</td><td></td><td>What actions have we t</td><td>aken to impi</td><td>ove performance?</td><td></td><td></td><td>YTD £</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></td<>	What is driving the repo	orted underpe	erformance	?		What actions have we t	aken to impi	ove performance?			YTD £			-	
Type 1 Attenders660761936688Type 3 Attenders327133233371WIC Attenders335833293545Breaches (Type 1)247116401423Ambulance157167152Ambulance195620902176153067144948015306714494801530671449480153067144948015306714494801530671449480153067144948015306714494801530671449480153067144948015306714494801530671449480153067144948015306714494801530671449480153067144948015001442237150014422371500144423715001500144480150014448050001500144480500015001444801500144480150014448015001444801500144480150014414015001441401500144	ED Overal	1	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	New Actions:				2018/201	9 —	Target –	2017/2	2018	
Type 3 Attenders327133233671WiC Attenders35833293543Breaches (Type 1)471116401423Troley Wats >12Hours00Media Walt187167152Image: 10 and 10 more 10 as examples of the process (ward process have been put inplace)	Type 1	Attenders	6607	6193	6688				100% ]	, -		. 0	- /		
Wick Attenders332833293546Breaches (Type 1)247116401423Trollog Wats > 12 Hours000Median Wait187167152Ambulance15-3067144948013-3067144944014 Markover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)30-601444237Handover (WMAS)5691.61Average brack effect6.87%6.80%7.68%- Average brack effect6.97%7.68%- Average brack effect6.07%6.00%7.00%- Average brack effect6.07%6.00%7.00%- Average brack effect6.07%6.00%7.00%- Average brack effect6.07%6.00%7.00%- Average number of ambulances to ED which is an increase- The Emergency & Acute Group. And U hurdis ace on motion A rew group at an transfer to scalation for services has been agreed and are in place The Brack offect and are in place A rew group at a pointion A rew group ace on minut A rew group ace on minut A rew	Type 3	Attenders	3271	3323	3671									1	
Breaches (Type 1)       APA11       14241       1421       The Frailty Group have implemented a new Frailty Tool to increase the number of patients that are same day discharge from ED.       The Frailty Group have implemented a new Frailty Tool to increase to measure and the number of patients that are same day discharge from ED.         Ambulance       1449       4400         1766       1400       140       No Time 68       31       141       142       3600       3600%       7.0%       88.00%       88.00%       88.00%       88.00%       30.00%       88.00%       30.00%       38.00%       30.00%       38.00%       30.00%       38.00% <th c<="" td=""><td>WiC</td><td>Attenders</td><td>3358</td><td>3329</td><td>3545</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>``/'</td><td>-</td></th>	<td>WiC</td> <td>Attenders</td> <td>3358</td> <td>3329</td> <td>3545</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>``/'</td> <td>-</td>	WiC	Attenders	3358	3329	3545								``/'	-
Trolley Waits 312Hours000Median Wait187167152Ambulance15.30671449480Handover (WMAS)5609155Ambulance30-601444237Handover (WMAS)5609155Nor Time683161Total284826132759Average brackers per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr)The Emergency & Acute Group have implemented a new handoverAverage brackers per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr)The Emergency & Acute Group have implemented a new handoverAverage brackers per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr)The Emergency & Acute Group have implemented a new handoverAverage brackers per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr)The Emergency & Acute Group have implemented a new handoverThere were over 90 ambulance arrivals to ED per day was 89, comparedTo day during the patient transfers being planned.ED A Hour - (May 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.Continuing ActionsContractual StatusED 4 Hour - ColNFirst Exception report remains open. Monthy penalties will be applied by ColC 5120 per breach based onto.Papilities will be applied by ColC 5120 per breach based ontower recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and fr. 000 will be incurred.Noullace et Applied for every handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and fr. 400-dime or fram gay and by equal to 50.BenchmarkingED 4 Hour - achieved trajectory manage and Multicoplinary Meetings continue to merve and reduce delays to most fram gay and by equal to 50.Bontharcel in erd 512, Apo W	Breach	es (Type 1)	2471	1640	1423										
Median Wait187167152Ambulance15:3067144948015:3067144944030:601444237x60915No Time683161Total284826132759ED Reattenders6.87%6.80%7.68%Average attendances per day were 216 compared to 56 (Apr)1.92802.00%Average attendances per day were 46 compared to 56 (Apr)7.68%Average attendances to ED per day was 89, compared1.9280and wards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to all wards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to monitor.There were over 90 ambulance arrivals to ED on 17 days during the process within the Care Group. AUL buddles occur twice daily and rocess within the Care Group. AUL buddles occur twice daily and rocess within the Care Group. AUL buddles occur twice daily and rocess of set and aver at 05 April (1).Benchmarkting ED 4 Hour - (May 2018) - National position = 1/14 Trusts.Ambulance (May 2018) - National position = 1/14 Trusts.Ambulance (May 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.Ambulance (May 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.Contractual Status ED 4 Hour - CMAY 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.Ambulance (May 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.Ambulance (May 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.Contractual Status ED 4 Hour - CMAY First Exception report remains ogen. Monthy enable patients to move from wards earliers.Contractual Status ED 4 Hour - CMay 2018) - Regional	Trolley Waits	s >12Hours	0	0	0	0 ,							$\sim$		
Ambulance Handover (WMAS)Image: Standing in the stand control of			187	167	152		ve impleme	nted a new Frailty Tool to increase	70% +	r y r	ln gr	s t s	n	ar	
Ambulance Handover (WMAS)100-1114/3100-11130-6014423714014237150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60141414150-60		<15mins	1956	2090	2176				Ap Ma Ju No No No No De De						
Ambulance Handover (WMAS)30-60 50144 44242 3737Handover (WMAS)30-60 5014442 4237Addition (MAS)50915No Time683161Total284826132759ED Reatendarces per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr) - Average breaches per day were 42 for compared to 260 (Apr)7.68%- Average unbulances to ED per day was 89, compared to 87 (Apr).5.00%85.		15-30	671	449	480						Trajectory	- ED 4 Hour			
Handover (WMAS)       >60       9       1       5       9       1       5       6       9       1       5       6       00%       82.00%       82.00%       82.00%       90.00%         No Time       68       31       61       in place on all wards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to monitor.       -       Not ward rounds. Communication for standards have been developed and are in place to monitor.       -       Not ward rounds. Communication for standards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to monitor.       -       Not ward rounds. Communication for standards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to monitor.       -       Not ward rounds. Communication for standards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to monitor.       -       Not ward rounds. Communication for standards and KPIs set. Ongoing checks and audits are in place to monitor.       -       The were and the delays with patient handovers and encurate read to rapid assessment and transfers being planned.       - <td>Ambulance</td> <td>30-60</td> <td>144</td> <td>42</td> <td>37</td> <td></td> <td>0 1</td> <td></td> <td>Apr</td> <td>May</td> <td>Jun</td> <td>Jul</td> <td>Aug</td> <td>Sept</td>	Ambulance	30-60	144	42	37		0 1		Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	
No Time683161Total284826132753ED Reattenders6.87%6.86%7.68%- Average transformed of ambulances to ED per day ware 46 compared to 206 (Apr)- The Emergency & Acute Group have implemented a new handover process within the Care Group. AMU huddles occur twice daily and aim to reduce the delays with patient handovers and encourage real to 87 (Apr).OctNovDec.JanFebMar- Average number of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared to 87 (Apr) The Emergency & Acute Group have implemented a new handover process within the Care Group. AMU huddles occur twice daily and aim to reduce the delays with patient handovers and encourage real implace for MDT early assessment and treatment. A rota is in place for MDT early assessment and treatment. A rota is in place for MDT early assessment and metrase compared to April (1) Diace for MDT early assessment and treatment. A rota is in place for MDT early assessment and place on wards. The standards list local agreements from services and process for escalate when they are not met. - A new process for standed patients is in place for all specialities. Expectations for LS reviews are in place. Continuing Actions: - Ward Managers continue to attend Capacity Meetings throughout the day with the newly established Discharge Plans that are produced. - The Discharge Lounge continue to open from same dered wards to earlier. - Regular escalations continue with Heatth & Social Care to review th 	Handover (WMAS)	>60	9	1	5			86.00%	87.00%	88.00%	90.00%				
Total284826132759ED Reattenders6.87%6.80%7.68%- Average attendances per day were 246 compared to 55 (Apr)- Average humber of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared- Areage attendances per day were 46 compared to 55 (Apr)- Average number of ambulance arrivals to ED on 17 days during the month, an increase compared to April (1) The standards list local agreements from services has been agreed and are in place on wards. The standards list local agreements from services has been agreed and are in place on wards. The standards list local agreements from services and process for scalate when they are not met. - A new process for standed patients is in place for all specialities. ED 4 Hour - CMNFirst Exception report remains open. Monthly penalties will be applied for wery handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and greed trajectories. Fires for May equate to £0.Stond 60 minutes and Process for scalate when they are not met. - A new process for standed patients is in place for all specialities. ED 4 Hour - CMNFirst Exception report remains open. Monthly penalties will be applied for wery handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes ame place and which is antional contract, £200 will be applied for any handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes ame fine of £12,400 will be incurred.261 Hour - achieved trajectory Ambulance 4 as stipulated in the national contract, £200 will be discharge and Multi Disciplinary Meetings continue to manage Frequent Attenders coming to ED.90.00%87.00%87.00%85.00%90.00%1000 will be incurred.1000 will be incurred.		No Time	68	31	61				Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	
Average attendances per day were 216 compared to 206 (Apr) - Average unuber of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared to 87 (Apr). - There were over 90 ambulance arrivals to ED on 17 days during the month, an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the Trust saw over a 100 ambulances to ED which is an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the Trust saw over a 100 ambulances to ED which is an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the trust saw over a 100 ambulances to ED which is an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the frequent Attenders continue to attend Capacity Meetings throughout the day with the newly established Discharge Plans that are produced. - Regular escalations continue to the early as earlier. - Regular escalations continue to remove and reduce delays to applied for every handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and fine of £12,400 will be incurred. - Monumeters days attenders coming to ED. - Average number of £12,400 will be incurred. - Average number of ambulances are and participation = 7/14 Trusts. - An ew process for stranded patients is in place for all specialities. Expectations for LoS reviews are in place. - Continuing the papileid for any handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and fine of £12,400 will be incurred. - Moulance - to be agreed frequent Attenders coming to ED. - Moulance - to be agreed frequent Attenders coming to ED. - Moulance - to be agreed - Regular escalations continue to manage Frequent Attenders coming to ED.		Total	2848	2613	2759				90.00%	90.00%	87.00%	85.00%	89.00%	95.00%	
<ul> <li>Average breaches per day were 46 compared to 55 (Apr)</li> <li>Average number of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared to 57 (Apr).</li> <li>There were over 90 ambulance arrivals to ED on 17 days during the patient transfers being planned.</li> <li>Do have commenced trialling a new area for rapid assessment and transfers being monitored.</li> <li>Bandards for escalation for services has been agreed and are in place on wards. The standards list local agreements from services has been agreed and are in place on wards. The standards for escalation for services has been agreed and are in place on wards. The standards for escalate when they are not met.</li> <li>A moulance - (May 2018) - National position = 80/133 Trusts &amp; Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.</li> <li>Contractual Status</li> <li>ED 4 Hour - CQN/First Exception report remains open. Monthly penalties will be applied for way handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and for any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting the day will be incurred.</li> <li>Regular escalation continue, with Health &amp; Social Care to review the day will be incurred.</li> <li>Regular escalations continue to antonue to and continue to and continue to any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting for escalations continue to ED.</li> <li>Ed 4 hour - achieved trajectory Ambulance - to be agreed ED Reattenders - June 2018</li> <li>Expected date to masse and for any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting for any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting for any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting for any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting for any handover over 60 minutes. For May afting for escalations continue to ED.</li> <li>Chief Operating Officer</li> </ul>	ED R	eattenders	6.87%	6.80%	7.68%				Ambulance Handover						
National Contract         X         Local Contract         X         Best Practice         CQUIN	<ul> <li>Average number of ambulances to ED per day was 89, compared to 87 (Apr).</li> <li>There were over 90 ambulance arrivals to ED on 17 days during the month, an increase compared to April (14) and 6 days where the Trust saw over a 100 ambulances to ED which is an increase compared to April (1).</li> <li>Benchmarking</li> <li>ED 4 Hour - (May 2018) - National position = 80/133 Trusts &amp; Regional position = 7/14 Trusts.</li> <li>Ambulance - (May 2018) - Regional position = 1/14 Trusts.</li> <li>Contractual Status</li> <li>ED 4 Hour - CQN/First Exception report remains open. Monthly penalties will be applied by WCCG £120 per breach based on the agreed trajectories. Fines for May equate to £0.</li> <li>Ambulance - As stipulated in the national contract, £200 will be applied for every handover recorded between 30 and 60 minutes and £1,000 will be applied for any handover over 60 minutes. For May a</li> </ul>					aim to reduce the dela time patient transfers I - ED have commenced treatment. A rota is in are being monitored. - Standards for escala place on wards. The s and process for escala - A new process for stil Expectations for LoS r <u>Continuing Actions:</u> - Ward Managers cont the day with the newly produced. - The Discharge Loung enable patients to mov - Regular escalations of Medically Fit lists and discharge and Multi Di	ys with pati- being planned d trialling a r place for MI tion for serv- standards lis ate when the randed patie eviews are inue to attee established ge continues ye from ware continue wit continue to sciplinary M	ent handovers and encourage real ed. hew area for rapid assessment and DT early assessment and metrics ices has been agreed and are in at local agreements from services ey are not met. ents is in place for all specialities. in place. and Capacity Meetings throughout d Discharge Plans that are as to open from 9am (weekdays) to ds earlier. h Health & Social Care to review th remove and reduce delays to leetings continue to manage	100% - 95% - 90% - 85% - 75% - 70% - 65% - 55% - 50% -	Jun Var date to date to dard	ED 4 Hou Ambulanc ED Reatte	t v č r - achieve er - to be a enders - Ju	d trajectory greed ne 2018	Mar J	
				х	Lo		-		CQUIN						

### **Cancer 62 Day Referral to Treatment**

							NHS Trust	
Cancer 62 Day Referral to Treatment of all Cancers			Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	Apr-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
			85.00%		83.47%	83.47%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we taken to in	nprove performance?	Contract	ual Financia	I Penalties		YTD £	£0
<ul> <li>Performance results (Validated April 2018):</li> <li>Performance of 83.47% in April is a decline compared to 87.69% in March and does not achieve the target of 85%. This is the first month where we did not achieve the target since May 2016.</li> <li><u>Haematology</u> 1 patient - 1.0 breach (multiple MDT meetings).</li> <li><u>Head &amp; Neck</u> 2 patients - 1.0 breach. Shared breach with University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust (complex pathway) and The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust (delay in investigations).</li> <li><u>Lower GI</u>: 3 patients - 2.5 breaches. Shared breach The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust (multiple MDTs, multiple investigations &amp; complex pathway).</li> <li><u>Upper GI</u>: 2 patients - 1.5 breaches. Shared breach The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust (delay in treatment &amp; multiple MDTs).</li> <li><u>Urology</u>: 4 patients - 3.5 breaches. Shared breach The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust (multiple MDTs, multiple investigations &amp; delay in investigations).</li> <li>The Trust failed to achieve the 85% target by one breach. Summary breakdown of breaches is: Complex cases = 3.5 Histology = 1.5 Capacity at Walsall = 1</li> </ul>	New Actions: As the Trust narrowly missed is this was not considered a signif for May show achievement of the Continuing Actions: - Cancer trackers continue to re- daily across all sites. - Weekly Urology Access Meeter Cancer Services Manager and - NHS I report produced weekly - Delays of 104 days or more, possis, with an escalation proce	achieving the target by one breach ficant issue, the unvalidated results he target. eview and escalate issues for patients ing continues. This is chaired by is well attended by Clinical Teams y & reviewed against cancer PTL. batients are being tracked on a daily ss throughout the week; trackers are lischarge summaries All 62 cancer				Sep	018 — 20	
This was forecast at the last EAPG and members were informed.			Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Benchmarking: For Quarter Four 17/18, the Trust ranked 38th nationally out of 133								
and 2nd out of 14 regionally.		Expected date to meet standard May 2018						
		Lead Dire	ctor	Chief Ope	rating Offi	cer		
National Contract X Lo	cal Contract	Best Practice			CQ	UIN		

### 18 weeks Referral to Treatment - % within 18 weeks - Incomplete

							Year	Monthly	Apr-18	YTD	Change on	Year End	
18 weeks Referral to Treatment - %	within 18 w	eeks - Incom	plete					Trajectory	Apr 10	110	last month	Forecas	
							92.00%	84.20%	85.89%		<b></b>		
What is driving the reported underp	erformance	?		What actions have we t	aken to impr	ove performance?	Contract	ual Financia	l Penalties	(LCA)	YTD £	£312,600	
Performance results (Validated The Trust achieved 85.89%, whic			nent	Data Quality: - Robotic software in p	blace and let	tering process completed. This	20	18/2019 —	— Target –	2017/2	018 20	)16/2017	
ompared to 84.74% in March, ar a ajectory of 84.20%. The number				has shown a variable	contact rate	by patients across specialities, 2017 access plans are being	100.00%	]	0				
has reduced again by 168 compa				managed by a combin	ation of mai	nual validation and development of ppointments to enable closure of	95.00%	_					
At the end of April there were no	patients bre	aching 52 v	veeks.	the access plan. Sco	oping is und n going data	erway to look at 2017 access a quality function that can be	90.00%	_					
	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	- Validators continue t	o work on d	uplicate and 'attended' status	85.00%	-		$\prec$		>	
PTL Size	14755	14693	14695		,	to develop a single point of access This would have a significant							
No. over 18 Weeks No. over 52 Weeks	2407 0	2242 0	2074 0	impact on data quality			80.00%						
Total	5749	5977	5730			bookings has made good	75.000/						
Clock Admitted	906	893	868			Electronic bookings will reduce	75.00%						
Stops Not Admitted	4843	5084	4862	duplicate referrals and	I support im	proved data quality.	70.00%						
Specialties achieving 92%		8	9	Capacity Improveme	nte		70.00%						
Performance of Divisions (target 9		Ũ	0			ancer delivery and long waiters in	65.00%						
MLTC achieved 87.51% compar		% in March	I.	RTT.		and a long waters in	03.0070						
Surgery achieved 82.94% comp	ared to 81.2	24% in Mar	ch.		llow up patie	ents continues to be rolled out. It is	60.00%						
WCCSS achieved 95.73% comp	ared to 96.	09% in Mar	ch.			lation and DNA numbers. Focus	Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar						
Benchmarking:						ancellations rates. Booking							
For April, the Trust ranked 85th of					•	t, but DNA rates and cancellations			Proposed	Trajectory			
vho submitted information and 9t Acute Trusts reported breaches o				pull down the attendar	nce utilisatio	n across all divisions.	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	
Contractual status:			гдрш.	Scrutiny:			84.20%	84.80%	85.50%	86.30%	85.50%	85.90%	
Contract Query Notices remain or	oen with Wa	alsall Clinica	al		rational mee	ting, diagnostics meeting,	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	
Commissioning Group (WCCG) a	nd NHS En	gland (NHS	SE).			t meeting, specialty meeting.	86.20%	86.20%	86.20%	87.00%	88.20%	89.10%	
Vational monthly penalties of £30 number of service users waiting n he month exceeds the tolerance The £5000 fine for any patient wa	8 weeks at y the 92% t	the end of hreshold.	<ul> <li>Monthly via PFIC, EAPG and Divisional Board.</li> <li>All 52 week breaches are referred to the clinical harm group for assessment, only low harms have been identified to date.</li> </ul>				date to dard	Achieve tr	ajectory 84	4.2% for Ap	oril.		
n place.								ctor	Chief Ope	rating Offic	cer		
National Contract	Х	Local Contract X Best Practice CQUIN											

### Number of Open Contract Performance Notices

								NHS Trust	
Number of Open Contract Performance Notices				Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
Total number of Open Contract Performance Notices				0		7		_	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we t	taken to impro	ove performance?		tual Financial F ndividual perfe		-	YTD £	
As at 31st May 2018, there are 7 formal contract notices outstanding The 7 notices which are open relate to the following areas:- - Two contract notices relating to 18 Weeks Referral To Treatment (RTT) Pathways. • One remains open from Walsall Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) • One remains open from NHS England for Oral Surgery RTT. - Total Time Spent in A&E Overall 4 Hour - escalated to first exception notice - An Information breach notice (EOL) - Activity query notice - VTE initial assessment - Safeguarding Training	regular basis. Open co the monthly Contract and WHT.	contract notice Review Meet	to formal communication on a se are a standing agenda item a ing held between commissioner otion pages for further details.	rs 12 11 - 10 - 9 - 8 - 7 - 6 - 5 - 4 - 3 - 2 - 1 - 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	dard			2017/20	Mar J
National Contract X	Local Contract		Best Practice			CO	UIN		

### Outpatient

									VHS Trust	
					Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	
Dutpatient DNA Rates					8.00%	9.00%	11.03%	10.77%	•	
ooking Utilisation (booked as a percentage of capacity)					90.00%		94.97%	94.12%	<b></b>	
Vhat is driving the reported underperformance?		What actions have we t	aken to imp	rove performance?		tractual Penalties	YTD £	DNA BU		
Performance Results Dutpatient DNA rates are the number of outpatient appointme where the patient 'Did Not Attend' against the total number of outpatient appointments. The Trust failed to achieve the internal trajectory of 9% with performance of 11.03%. Divisional performance is as below:- MLTC - 11.74% (May) (compared to 10.51% in Apr) SURG - 9.92% (May) (compared to 12.10% in Apr) VCCSS - 11.70% (May) (compared to 12.10% in Apr) Booking utilisation measures the number of routine acute cline excluding emergency) appointment slots booked as a percent the total available to book. The Trust exceeded the internal target of 90% Divisional performance is as below:- MLTC - 97.46% (May) (compared to 96.61% in Apr) SURG - 93.43% (May) (compared to 93.16% in Apr) VCCSS - 95.96% (May) (compared to 90.54% in Apr) VCCSS - 95.96% (May) (compared to 90.54% in Apr) SURG - 95.96% (May) (compared to 90.54% in Apr) Contractual Status Both metrics are not contracted but are core metrics utilised by Trust to monitor efficient use of resources.	iics age of	<ul> <li>validating long waiters text reminder service.</li> <li>Partial booking roll o board In May.</li> <li>Call Centre software tracking of call abando</li> <li>A standard report is DNA rates, drilling dov cancellations.</li> <li>An organisational DN divisions have been re specialities with specil all of the generic strate incorporated within the</li> <li>Roll out plan for dire patients, in line with th complete in July 2018</li> <li>Trust has started to a this went live in April.</li> <li>This metric is covered</li> </ul>	systems in and acting ut continue: has go live onment rate in place to wn to bookin NA trajector equested to fic challenge egies for receives at booking a National receive elect ed within the utive Lead i	enable Care Groups to interrogate ng methods and previous y has been agreed. In addition, develop trajectories to address es for high DNA rates, ensuring th duction are also reflected and via ERS is in place for all new Paper Free Project. This will be tronic referrals for dental services e Outpatients Improvement s the Chief Operating Officer and	ns 14% - 12% - 12% - 10% - 8% - 6% - 9,00% - 0,0% - 100% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 8,00% - 100% - 90% - 8,00% - 100% - 1	2018/2019	jectory - Ou Jun 9.00% Dec 8.00% Booking U Ta	rget rget Jul 8.75% Jan 8.00% Jtilisation rget dg to 22 Jul 8.75% Jan 8.00% Jtilisation rget	-2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018 -2017/2018	G U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U
								-		

### Length of Stay

												NHS Trust	
Length of Stay								Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year En Forecas
								7.01		7.22	7.72	<b>^</b>	
What is driving the r	eported underp	erformance?			What actions have we t	aken to imp	rove performance?	Contract	tual Financia	l Penalties		YTD £	
Performance resu Overall performanc improvement comp not a contracted me monitor average Lo based on definitions with a zero length o Divisional Breakd	e for LoS in Ma ared to 8.24 da easure but is a S. The criteria s within the tec of stay and obsi own:	ays reported core metric for measurin hnical guida	in April. This utilised by Tr ng patient's a nce, exclude s.	indicator is usts to verage LoS, s patients	<ul> <li>on a range of areas; for</li> <li>The Patient Flow groas outlined above.</li> <li>One works stream is daily multidisciplinary</li> </ul>	ocusing on I oup continue focused on board round	ent Team is working with the Trust OS reduction. It to meet and develop new actions ward processes, namely: twice ls, review of the 'sick & quick' ext day; use of discharge lounge in	9.00 8.80 8.60 8.40 8.20	018/2019 —	— Target –	2017/2	2018 20	016/2017
MLTC	Ave LoS Apr 9.99	% LoS <72hr 55.09%	% LoS of "0" 26.55%	the morning.		acute medical wards of the strande	8.00 -				Λ		
MLTC       9.99       8.32       55.09%       26.55%         SURG       6.16       6.14       67.03%       27.16%         VCCSS       3.21       3.71       92.42%       65.66%         The average LoS for Medicine and Long Term Conditions and Division of Surgery improved during May compared to April. Women's, Children's and Clinical Support Services LoS slightly declined in May nowever their length of stay remains relatively low overall.         Benchmarking:       No formal national reports.         Contractual status:       No contractual requirements apply.				27.16% 65.66% and Division n's,	<ul> <li>patients (any patient of dischincrease the percentar who will be eligible to continuing healthcare This will help to reduct discharge list.</li> <li>The role of the in-reat the community place be also be a</li></ul>	over 7 days arge teams ge of patien receive ther assessmen e the number ach matron h based teams		7.80 - 7.60 - 7.40 - 7.20 - 7.00 - 6.80 - 6.60 - 6.40 - 6.20 -					7
								6.00 + Expected meet star Lead Dire	ndard	To be agr Chief Ope	eed	cer	Feb
Natio	onal Contract		x	L	ocal Contract	x	Best Practice			CQ	UIN		

### **Delayed Transfers of Care**

										NHS Trust	
						Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	Apr-18	YTD	Change on last month	
The number of beds days relating to patients who	were classif	fied as a delayed	I discharge taken as a s	napshot on the	last Thursday of the month	2.50%		2.97%	2.97%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?			What actions have w	e taken to impr	ove performance?	No Conti	ractual Fina	ncial Penalt	ies	YTD £	
The national definition for DTOC is when a par from care but is still occupying a bed. To be or depart the patient must have; a clinical decision transfer, a MDT decision has been made that transfer and it is safe. The national DTOC reporting changed from 1s every medically fit patient is reviewed daily an recorded. Previously this was only done once therefore more accurately reported. The target of 2.50% or below attributable to de available bed days was not achieved in April v 2.97%. This is an improvement in performance reported in March. <b>MFFD Performance results:</b> Internal metric: Medically Fit For Discharge are a clinical decision made that they are ready to patients have not had the MDT decison and th as DTOC. Four new metrics have been introduced within taken from weekly snapshots each Thursday: - The average number of medically fit patients only - The average number of medically fit patients and health. - Average LoS for medically fit patients; includ <b>DTOC Benchmarking:</b> Benchmarking for this measure is based on th impacted from delayed transfers every month. Latest benchmarking shows, 390 bed days we 2018 from delayed transfers taken at the snap	<b>OC Performance results: Reported one month in arrears</b> e national definition for DTOC is when a patient is ready to depart m care but is still occupying a bed. To be considered ready to part the patient must have; a clinical decision that they are ready f nsfer, a MDT decision has been made that the patient is ready for nsfer and it is safe. e national DTOC reporting changed from 1st October 2017. Now ery medically fit patient is reviewed daily and all DTOC patients are corded. Previously this was only done once a week. DTOC is prefore more accurately reported. e target of 2.50% or below attributable to delays as a total of ailable bed days was not achieved in April with performance of 17%. This is an improvement in performance compared to 3.63% borted in March. <b>FD Performance results:</b> ernal metric: Medically Fit For Discharge are patients who have ha clinical decision made that they are ready to be transferred. These tients have not had the MDT decison and therefore are not counted DTOC. ur new metrics have been introduced within this report; all are ten from weekly snapshots each Thursday: he average number of medically fit patients; includes out of area he average number of medically fit patients awaiting social care y he average number of medically fit patients; includes out of area he average number of medically fit patients awaiting social care d health. verage LoS for medically fit patients; including out of area <b>DCC Benchmarking</b> nchmarking for this measure is based on the number of bed days pacted from delayed transfers every month. test benchmarking shows, 390 bed days were impacted in April 18 from delayed transfers taken at the snapshot position. This test the Trust 41st out of 133 Trusts nationally and 4th out of 14			ed and the full e developed a pot beds for D eam are now b re cleared and Trust en to reduce ti for (DSTs) comp he few volunta iow in place ar nuing to devel tharge planning he hospital to port reduction eveloped patie under review een completed veloped comm	n have developed a system where social assessment take place system of managing referrals via a ST completion outside of hospital. ased in the community to ensure capacity is there for patients to <b>he DTOC are:</b> letion in the community will rry cases we have previously ad commenced 26th February 2018 op training and guidance for the g. work with teams to improve Trust of DTOC and improve patient flow. nt information leaflets and posters. I, awaiting recommendations and unity therapy pathways in order to onduct therapy assessments in the	5.00%   4.50%   4.00%   3.50%   3.00%   2.50%   1.50%   1.50%   0.50%   0.00%   Expected meet stan	date to dard	To be ag	_		2018 Mar
g underta	х	Lc	cal Contract	x	Best Practice			cq	UIN		

### Mortality

HMI (HED)       Standard       Trajectory       Iait morth       Forecast         100       102.25       100.41       -       100       102.25       100.41       -       100       100.55       100.41       -       100       102.25       100.41       -       100       102.25       100.41       -       100       102.25       100.41       -       100       102.25       100.41       -       -       100       102.25       100.41       -       -       100								1	NHS Trust	
Minipulation       Market of the reported underperformance?       Model       Distance       Distance         Vehalts is driving the reported underperformance?       What actions have we taken to improve performance?       No Contractual Financial Penalties       VTD £         Vehalts are provided in feb/March is to be undertaken with eathCare provider in motivation from the HED system but historically system returns a different result. The latest published results peor for Foster. Due to embodology differences, each system returns a different result. The latest published results.       - Areview of deaths encorded in returns and actions are developed and monitored through the divisional quality teams.       - Areview of deaths encorded in returns and externs are developed and monitored through the divisional quality teams.       - Continuuting actions: - Ensure mortality reviews are a significant to return a difference between the sepected destins and cutual deaths. For April 2017 to March 2018 (r/d) there was 1 mortality reporting process is currently ber divisional quality teams.       - The Learning from Deaths policy was ratified at 100 Each has been releashs than especied.         HHM Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data: SHMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data: SHMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data: SHMI Benchmarking Rased on NHS Digital Data: SHMI published quarterly by NHS Digital Data: SHMI published quarterly by NHS Digital Data: SHMI published requirements apply.       - Output division and the regionally.         Continue Unamination of the Conting Conting the division of the provide statist are statist.       - The new multi functional mortality reporting process is currently be returent and detenome between return and detenomination or t	HSMR (HED)				Year	Monthly	Feb-18	YTD	-	Year End
Junction     Junction     Junction       Vhat at ident/sequences     What actions have we taken to improve performance?     No Contractual Financial Penalties     VTD E       Verformance results:     - A review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with the results to be shared in June.     - A review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with the results to be shared in June.     - A review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with the results to be shared in June.       - Review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with the results to be shared in June.     - A review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with the results to be shared in June.     - Review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with the results to be shared in June.       - Review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken.     - Review of deaths recorded in FebMarch is to be undertaken with results and results agends item at care group to the results.     - Review of deaths recorded in reviewing deaths to DDS & CDS e. Align the actions to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP work.       - HED publich a metric defined as the number of excess deaths within 30 days of an inpatient episode. SHMI is a measure of mortality which includes all in hospital deaths in an acpocided.     - The review of address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP work.       - The learning from Deaths policy was relified at the dual deaths with 30 days of an inpatient episode. SHMI is a measure of mortality which includes all in hospital deaths and the Business Manager to the Medical Directoreter to establish nol 0 of the reports moving forward.     - Continuc to mainsi stroor reliformations instore reliformati	SHMI (HED)				Standard	Trajectory			last month	Forecast
What is driving the reported underperformance?         What actions have we taken to improve performance?         No Contractual Financial Penalties         VTD E           Verformance results:         Improve performance?         No contractual Financial Penalties         VTD E           Verformance results:					100		102.55	100.41	<b></b>	
<ul> <li>Performance results:</li> <li>Ideoptial Standardised Mortality Ratio (HSMR) compares a leafbrace provider in from the HED system but historically review of dealth recorded in Feb/March is to be undertaken with result. The latest published results report that here sults to be shared in June.</li> <li>- A review of dealth recorded in Feb/March is to be undertaken with received shift from the HED system but historically reviews are a standing agenda item at care group quality meetings and actions are developed and monitored through the divisional quality teams.</li> <li>- Pisner mortality reviews are a standing agenda item at care group quality meetings and actions are developed and monitored through the divisional quality teams.</li> <li>- Bisolate poor performance in reviewing deaths to DDs &amp; CDs</li> <li>- Align the actions to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP work, as an interview on the expected.</li> <li>- The new multi functional mortality reporting rocess is currently being reviewed with the Substand for the period monitored with the dealts with a days of an inpatient episode. SHMI is a measure of mortality which includes all in hospital deaths and raile reporting mortality requires success and any statified at TOE and has been included on the internal and external websites.</li> <li>- The new multi functional mortality reports moving forward.</li> <li>- Continue to a statified to state in a statified to reach state in the reports moving forward.</li> <li>- Continue to mains strong relationships with Public Health and the dealt as a rolling reports moving patient outcomes.</li> </ul>					100					
<ul> <li>Ideplied Standardised Mortality Ratio (HSMR) compares a facilitation provide montality rate with the overall standards provide montality rate with the overall standards provide montality requirements apply.</li> <li>A review of deaths recorded in Feb/March is to be undertaken with result. The latest published results report the financial year interviews are a standing agenda item at care group quality meetings and actions to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with character of the financial year interviews are a standing agenda item at care group quality meetings and actions to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with the difference between the expected deaths and reported deaths into so address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with the transfer to the financial year interviews are a standing gata monitored through and items with a vegeted.</li> <li>How as 56.86 (or 16/16 was 92.21 and for the financial year interviews are a standing gata monitored through and was 95.86 (or 16/16 was 92.21 and for the financial year interviews are a standing agenda item at care group quality meetings and actions to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with the VSD (and the associated poor performance in releiving deaths to DDs &amp; CDs - Align the actions to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with.</li> <li>The tare multitudical anticipations to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with.</li> <li>The tare multitudical anticipations to address poor documentation to the CQC PCIP with the difference between the expected deaths and released on NHS Digital Bata point provide with the CG and PP's to develop health or solution to report moving forward.</li> <li>Ordinue to maintain strong relationships with PCOG and PP's to develop health with a diverse and attem with CCG and PP's to develop health with a diverse and attem at the strong with PCOG and PP's to develop health and the regionally.</li> <li>Deatrate target agate provide to the decad binexpecteres.</li></ul>	What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we	taken to imp	ove performance?	No Conti	actual Finar	icial Penalt	ies	YTD £	
<ul> <li>har HSMR was 102.65 for February 2018. For the financial year (pullify HSMR was 96.96, for 115/16 was 92.21 rol for the financial ear 2016/17 HSMR was 96.96, for 115/16 was 92.21 rol for the financial ear 2016/17 HSMR was 96.96, for 115/16 was 92.21 rol for the financial ear 2016/17 HSMR was 96.96, for 115/16 was 92.21 rol for the financial ear 2016/17 HSMR was 96.96, for 115/16 was 92.21 rol for the financial ear 2016/17 HSMR was 94.17. Previous months have been effeshed to reflect the latest published results.</li> <li>HED publish a metric defined as the number of excess deaths with ne divisional quality meetings and actions are developed and monitored through the divisional quality teams.</li> <li>Escalate poor performance in reviewing deaths to DDs &amp; CDs Align the actions to address poor year atified at TOE and has been rol ad ideaths. For April 2017 to March 2018 (ytd) there was 1 more leaths thin a vapected.</li> <li>The new multi functional mortality reporting process is currently being reviewed with the Business Manager to the Medical Directorat to estatish rol out yos of an inpatient pisode. SHMI is on contractual requirements apply.</li> <li><u>HMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 by the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 y the NHS Digital has been released for the period HMI published 0 water 0.217 which shows as SHMI rate 0 1.00. This ranks the Trus 92nd nationally and 8th regionally.</u></li> <li><u>Dortractual status:</u> No contractual requirements apply.</li> <li< u=""></li<></ul>	Trust receives this information from the HED system but historica received this from Dr Foster. Due to methodology differences, ea	- A review of deaths r the results to be shar - Align the actions to work relating to docu	ed in June. address poo mentation.	r documentation to the CQC PCIP	140 130 -	)17/2018 —			017 <b></b> 20	)15/2016
SHMI is a measure of mortality which includes all in hospital deaths ind all deaths within 30 days of an inpatient episode. SHMI is to establish roll out of the reports moving forward. Continue to maintain strong relationships with Public Health and the Walsall wide Mortality Group with CCG and GP's to develop health constructed a sprometry by NHS Digital Data: SHMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data: SHMI Published by the NHS Digital has been released for the period rom April 2016 to March 2017 which shows a SHMI rate of 1.06. This ranks the Trust 92nd nationally and 8th regionally. <u>Contractual requirements apply</u> . No contractual requirements apply. By end of Q4 2017/18 Expected date to meet standard By end of Q4 2017/18	that HSMR was 102.55 for February 2018. For the financial year 2014/15 HSMR was 95.96, for 15/16 was 92.21 and for the finan year 2016/17 HSMR was 94.17. Previous months have been refreshed to reflect the latest published results. HED publish a metric defined as the number of excess deaths w the HSMR, it is the difference between the expected deaths and	<ul> <li>quality meetings and the divisional quality to <u>Continuing actions:</u></li> <li>Escalate poor perfortion - Align the actions to work.</li> <li>The Learning from I included on the interm</li> </ul>	actions are o teams. rmance in re address poo Deaths policy nal and exter	leveloped and monitored through viewing deaths to DDs & CDs r documentation to the CQC PCIP was ratified at TQE and has been hal websites.	110 - 100 - 90 - 80 - 70 - 60 - 50 -	May Jun	Aug	Nov	Dec	Feb Mar
Ind all deaths within 30 days of an inpatient episode. SHMI is ubulished in 2 ways, as a monthly metric by HED and as a rolling 12 north metric published quarterly by NHS Digital. HED monthly SHMI or December was 127.25. SHMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data: SHMI published by the NHS Digital has been released for the period form April 2016 to March 2017 which shows a SHMI rate of 1.06. This ranks the Trust 92nd nationally and 8th regionally. Contractual requirements apply. Sho contractual requirements apply. The determine the second sec	SHMI is a measure of mortality which includes all in hospital dea						SHMI	(HED)		
nonth metric published quarterly by NHS Digital. HED monthly SHMI or December was 127.25. SHMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data: SHMI published by the NHS Digital has been released for the period from April 2016 to March 2017 which shows a SHMI rate of 1.06. This ranks the Trust 92nd nationally and 8th regionally. Zontractual status: No contractual requirements apply. Walsall wide Mortality Group with CCG and GP's to develop health conomy wide approaches to improving patient outcomes. By MI outcomes apply. Walsall wide Mortality Group with CCG and GP's to develop health conomy wide approaches to improving patient outcomes. Walsall wide Mortality Group with CCG and GP's to develop health conomy wide approaches to improving patient outcomes. Walsall wide Mortality Group with CCG and GP's to develop health conomy wide approaches to improving patient outcomes. By end of Q4 2017/18 Lead Director Medical Director	and all deaths within 30 days of an inpatient episode. SHMI is	to establish roll out of	f the reports	moving forward.	20	)17/2018 —	— Target –	2016/2	017 20	)15/2016
Lead Director Medical Director	month metric published quarterly by NHS Digital. HED monthly S for December was 127.25. SHMI Benchmarking Based on NHS Digital Data:	HMI Walsall wide Mortality economy wide approx	Group with	CCG and GP's to develop health	120 - 110 - 100 - 90 - 80 - 70 - 60 - 50 -	May	Aug	Oct	Dec	Feb_ Mar_
							By end of	Q4 2017/′	18	
National Contract         Local Contract         X         Best Practice         CQUIN					Lead Dire	ctor	Medical D	virector		
	National Contract	Local Contract X Best Practice					CQ	UIN		

### Infection Control

										NHS Irust				
Infection Control						Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast			
CDiff - Total number of cases of Clostridium Difficile re	ecorded in the T	rust				17	2	2	5	<b>^</b>				
MRSA - total number of cases of MRSA recorded in the	e Trust					0		0	1	<b></b>				
						Contractu	al Financial		CDiff					
What is driving the reported underperformance?			What actions have we t	aken to imp	rove performance?	Pena	alties	YTD £	MRSA	£10	,000			
Performance results:			New actions:					CD	)IFF					
CDiff:				C.Difficile ca	uses reported in May 2018.	-	2018/	2019		– Target				
There were 2 reported cases of C.Difficile attribute	ed to Walsall				n of period of increased incidence of		2017/2			-2016/201	7			
Healthcare NHS Trust during May 2018 against a	trajectory of 2	2. The	C.Diff toxin on ASU is	in progress		6 5 -	,			Λ				
cases were reported on Acute Surgical Unit (ASU	l).				completed and were considered									
					r infection control practices.	2 -		$\sim$						
There were no cases of MRSA bacteraemia attrib	outed to				rt has been given on ASU	1 -								
Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust during May 2018.			Results of Typing is av			-+ 0	Apr Aay Jun	lul	sep Oct Nov	Dec	Feb Mar			
				above C.dif	f target trajectory financial year to	<	Apr May Jun	Jul Aug	sep Oct Nov	Ja Ja	Feb Mar			
Benchmarking: CDiff:			date.				_	Traje	ctory	-				
Data published one month in arrears by Health Pr	rotection Engla	and	MRSA - There were n		ses reported in May 2018.	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept			
confirms that for April 2018, there were 3 cases of		and	MINOR - Merc Were H		ses reported in May 2010.	2	2	1	2	2	1			
attributable C.Difficile toxin at Walsall Healthcare.	es to 4	Deep cleans have bee	en complete	d on ASU, and ward 9.	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar				
cases at Dudley and 3 cases at Wolverhampton.	ine compare			•	planneed for June 2018	2	1	1	1	1	1			
						Z I I I I								
MRSA:						2018/2019 — Target								
Data published one month in arrears shows there	were no case	s of	Continuing actions:							— Target	47			
MRSA recorded regionally for March 2018.			CDiff Joint monthly IP	C audits co	ntinue	•	2017	/2018		- 2016/20	17			
			Weekly C.diff ward rou	unds continu	ae	6 ¬								
Contractual status:					at Infection Control Committee.	5 -								
CDiff:				•	ses of C.Difficile, a checklist audit is	4 -								
The contract for 2018/19 invokes financial penaltie	es if the numb	er of			ol Team as part of routine practice	3 -								
avoidable cases during the year exceeds 18.			to ensure standards a			2								
MBOA			All acute C.diff cases I	nave a case	e review.						-			
MRSA:	en talaranan af	:		work for oo	re of peripheral vessuler devises	- 1 -					-			
The national contract for 2018/2019 stipulates zer MRSA cases. Consequence of breach is £10,000			continues throughout t		re of peripheral vascular devices	0 +		ω	<u>م</u> بر >					
incidence in the relevant month.	in respect of e	each			ence and Urology services to	- <	Apr May Jun	Jul Aug	Sep Oct Nov	Dec Jan	Feb Mar			
					ers. This will be monitored via the									
			NHS Safety Thermom				i							
					ntinue to follow up all positive MRSA	RSA Expected date to								
			- The Infection Control nurses continue to follow up all positive MRSA results and re-screen at 28 days post admission.			May 2018								
			IPCT continue to provide ward education and support where audits											
			have shown defictis in practice.											
						Lead Director Medical D								
National Contract	x	La	ocal Contract	x	Best Practice			co	UIN					

### **Pressure Ulcers**

### NHS Trust Pressure Ulcers - (category 2, 3 & 4's) - Avoidable per 1000 beddays Monthly Mar-18 YTD Change on Year End Year last month Forecast Standard Trajectory 0.48 Figures based on all avoidable pressure ulcers acquired within the Trust What is driving the reported underperformance? What actions have we taken to improve performance? **Contractual Financial Penalties** YTD f Performance results: Continuing actions: -Pressure Ulcers - Avoidable per 1000 bed days Figures have been updated to reflect the outcomes of RCAs, it is Ward/ Team Actions Taken for avoidable 3/4 & unstageable: 2017/2018 — Trajectory 2016/2017 usually expected that these are completed within 60 days of reporting All community incidents related to upgrade of equipment it seems du 1.00 and therefore greater reliance can be placed upon the earlier months to increasing demand of high specification air mattresses. This has 0.95 results been communicated to equipment provider and records will be kept to Community Hospital 0.90 monitor the situation. 0.85 Total Avoid Total Avoid Education 0.80 4 0 Short education sessions are being provided to ward staff in Cat 2 16 8 0.75 response to action plans following investigations. Short sessions are 0 0 0 0 Cat 3 0.70 Mar-18 planned for ward 15 and AMU. Other core sessions are planned for 0 Cat 4 0 0 0 0.65 the rest of the year. Competencies have now been agreed and Tissue 0.60 4 0 6 4 Unstage Viability are progressing with assessment of community wound care 0.55 0 19 7 6 Cat 2 link nurses, draft version has been developed for non registered 0.50 0 Cat 3 2 1 1 nurses. 0.45 \*Apr-18 Cat 4 0 0 0 0 Equipment 0.40 The use of air mattresses across the hospital site has reduced but 0.35 Unstage 6 3 7 1 still higher use than necessary has been witnesses. It appears staff 0.30 2 Cat 2 16 9 1 0.25 are still unclear of the correct process to condemn foam mattresses 0 Cat 3 1 0 1 0.20 with new mattresses left on corridors to be condemned and when \*May-18 0 Cat 4 0 0 0 0.15 checked they require cleaning only (at least 8 in past month). The 0.10 Unstage 3 0 4 0 company continue to provide support to embed process and provide 0.05 education. A business case has been resubmitted for an equipment Rate per 1000 Beddays 0.00 coordinator to support the processes Mar-18 0.48 \*Apr-18 0.72 \*May-18 0.20 Sep oct Dec Лау lun Inl Aug 201 Jan Feb Mar Apr Policy \*Figures for these months are still being validated - please note there Wound care and Leg ulcer management policies have been revised are X PU's for March still awaiting final validation but initial and sent for comment. discussions have already taken place with the wards involved. The original proposal is now being reviewed by the Senior Nursing Patient information There were 34 PU related incidents reported in March. Information leaflets have been ratified for wound care pressure ulcer Team The highest reported area of prevalence continues to be on patients prevention and leg ulcers. These leaflets, together with translated Jul Aug Apr Mav Jun Sept heels. There have been 8 incidents confirmed as avoidable in March. versions in top 3 languages are now available on the Tissue viability Contractual status: Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar intranet page to print. 2 year CQUIN for 2017-19 worth approx. £258K per year aimed at Wound Care Formulary Group Expected date to To be agreed improving the assessment of wounds. The Q2 report approved by The wound care formulary group continue to meet monthly with good neet standard WCCG. Improvement trajectories agreed for Q4. represention from both hospital and community staff to look at dressing products that will offer savings to the Trust without compromising the patient needs. The group is working with Black \_ead Director Director of Nursing Country Alliance and making effort to improve the timeliness of Local Contract National Contract х **Best Practice** COUIN

## BR May 2018 Trust Board v1 29/06/2018

Walsall Healthcare

Falls										Walsal		hcare	NHS		
								Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month			
Falls - Numb	er of Falls reported									85	174	•			
Falls - Rate p	per 1000 Bed Days							6.63		5.62		-			
What is driv	ing the reported underpo	erformance	?		What actions have we ta	aken to imp	rove performance?	No Conti	actual Fina	ncial Penalt	ies	YTD £			
Performan	ce results:				New actions:			Number of Falls reported							
5.62 falls pe	85 falls reported during er 1000 beddays for the o 5.32 in April but still a	e month wh	ich is a dec	line	- Training programme	use of bed	vith face to face training at ward rails. This training is evaluating well.	110 - 100 -	2018/2019	20	17/2018	2016/	′2017		
Based o	on Calendar Month	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18			II wards and is monitored via the								
	Total	95	89	85	ward review process.			80 -							
	MLTC	80	72	72	- All incidents relating	to falls are	ecorded within the Safeguard	70 -		$\sim$					
Count of	Surgery	14	15	11	system.										
Falls	WCCSS	1	0	2	0	Moving and handling training includes Falls scenarios and includes 50 - 40 - 40									
-	<b>Comm / Corporate</b> 0 2 0					held between the Corporate Senior	40	<u>&gt; c</u>	Jul Aug Sen	Oct Nov	່ນ <u>ເ</u>	d F			
-	Comm / Corporate         0         2         0           Other         0         0         0         0			,		prmation Team. This meeting	Apr	May Jun	Dec Jan	Feb Mar					
Rate per 10	000 beddays - All Falls	5.64	5.32	5.62	ensures there is a robu outstanding RCA's for	ust process falls and er	for tracking and chasing asures action plans are in place for	Rate per 1000 Bed Days							
•	00 beddays - Moderate Severe Falls	0.00	0.24	0.07	all avoidable incidents - Falls steering group of community and acute	continues w	ith good representation across both	<b>7</b> 7	018/2019 —	— Target –	2017/2	2018 20	016/2017		
May which falls. The hi Ward 17 (7 falls). There was patient suffer NHS Safety 0.71% of Fa reported on <b>Benchmar</b>	There were 13 reported incidents of patients falling more than once in May which is less than in April (17). In total these patients had 25 alls. The highest no.of falls were reported on Ward 04 (16 falls), Ward 17 (7 falls), Ward 01 (7 falls), Ward 03 (6 falls) & Ward 07 (6						6 5 4 3 2 1 0	May unL	Aug	Oct	Dec Jan	Feb_ Mar_			
which is en 1000 occup is 0.19 per	which is endorsed by the RCP. National figures for falls are 6.63 per 000 occupied bed days. Serious & Moderate Harm caused by falls s 0.19 per 1000 occupied bed days. Contractual status:					Expected meet stan		Achieved	in May 20	18					
	tual requirements apply	<i>י</i> .						Lead Dire	ctor	Director o	f Nursing				
	National Contract			Lo	ocal Contract	х	Best Practice			CQ	UIN				

### Trust-wide Safety Index

# Walsall Healthcare

Trust-wide Safety Index - % of Medication Incidents resulting in harm / p	otential harm			Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	Apr-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
This measure relates to the number of medication errors reported each m	onth and of those, how ma	ny resulted ir	harm / potential harm	12.00%		20.75%	20.75%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we t	taken to imp	rove performance?	No Contr	actual Fina	ncial Penalt	ies	YTD £	
<ul> <li>Performance results: There were 53 validated medication error incidents reported in April 2018. The Trust's overall profile remains red as we currently exceed the regional and national averages. An internal target of 12% has been agreed to bring the Trust in line with the regional position. Of the 53 incidents reported in April, 1 incident resulted in harm. <ul> <li>10 x low level 2</li> <li>1 x moderate level 3</li> <li>0 x severe level 4</li> </ul> The level 3 incident related to a patient requiring surgical intervento and admission to ITU. This incident did not arise in the Trust but in primary care and has been referred to Quality Concerns. Benchmarking: The most recent data published on NHS England website for percentage of harmful events is for April 2017 to September 2017. Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust performance for this period is 20.44% which is higher than the national figure of 11.21% and higher than the regional figure of 9.18%. Contractual status: No contractual requirements apply.</li></ul>	MMC. - Medicines Safety Li <i>i</i> example, pre-printed of administration policy a	A action plar drug charts f	-	20.00% 35.00% 30.00% 25.00% 20.00% 15.00% 10.00% 5.00% 0.00% Expected meet stan	date to date to		da to carto firmed		115/2016
National Contract	Local Contract		Best Practice	X		CQ	UIN		

### **Emergency Readmissions Within 30 Days**

What is driving the reported underperformance?       What actions have we taken to improve performance?       No Con         Performance results:       The percontage of emergency readmissions within 30 days of a discharge from hospital is reported one month in arrears.       - In depth analysis is to be undertaken during the coming months to review emergency readmissions to establish trends and identify patients with high number of admissions.       - In depth analysis is to be undertaken during the coming months to review emergency readmissions.         This metric measures the percentage of patients who were an emergency. The criteria excludes Well Babies, Obstetrics and patients referred to the Early Pregnancy Assessment Unit.       - The community services review all frequent admissions does methodology to determine the part ormance for April was 11.27% which is a decline compared to 12%.       - In ine with his, work will be developed to link the work currently being done in the community around frequent admissions to those who are readmitting within 30 days of a patients.       - In ine with this, work will be developed to link the work currently being done in the community around frequent admissions to those who are readmitting within 30 days to id a better understanding of why these patients are frequently being admitted.       11% -         10% -       - Approximately 25% of the readmissions were aged over 70 (an increase compared to 23% in March).       - Approximately 33% of the readmission and the readmission is 9 which is the same as March.       6% -
Performance results:       Continuing Actions:         The percentage of emergency readmissions within 30 days of a discharge from hospital is reported one month in arrears.       - In depth analysis is to be undertaken during the coming months to review emergency readmissions to establish trends and identify patients with high number of admissions.       - The community services review all frequent admissions known to their caseloads and have demonstrated a reduction in admissions over the past year. Following a revised methodology to determine the performance for April was 11.27% which is a decline compared to 20% in March 2018 and doesn't achieve the internal target of 10%.       - The community services review all frequent admissions to those whort to be undertaken in Month 6 to analyse trends and determine strands of work to be undertaken to review causation for key cohorts of patients.       13%         - In liewith this, work will be developed to link the work currently being done in the community around frequent admissions to those who are readmitting within 30 days to aid a better understanding of why these patients are frequently being admitted.       11%         - Approximately 25% of the readmissions were aged outer 30 (an ncrease compared to 24% in March).       - Approximately 25% of the readmissions were aged over 70 (an ncrease compared to 33% in March).       8%       -         The average number of days between the original admission and the e-admission is 9 which is the same as March.       7%       -
The percentage of emergency readmissions within 30 days of a discharge from hospital is reported one month in arrears.       - In depth analysis is to be undertaken during the coming months to review emergency readmissions to establish trends and identify patients with high number of admissions.       15%         This metric measures the percentage of patients who were an emergency. readmission within 30 days of a previous inpatient stay either elective or emergency). The criteria excludes Well Babies, Dostetrics and patients referred to the Early Pregnancy Assessment Jnit.       - The community services review all frequent admissions known to their caseloads and have demonstrated a reduction in admissions over the past year. Following a revised methodology to determine the performance for April was 11.27% which is a decline compared to 02.6% in March 2018 and doesn't achieve the internal target of 10%.       - In line with this, work will be developed to link the work currently being done in the community around frequent admissions to those who are readmitting within 30 days to aid a better understanding of why these patients are frequently being admitted.       11%         - Of the patients who were re-admitted in April:-       - Approximately 25% of the readmissions were aged under 30 (an ncrease compared to 24% in March).       9%       -         - Approximately 25% of the readmissions were aged over 70 (an ncrease compared to 33% in March).       - Reverage number of days between the original admission and the e-admission is 9 which is the same as March.       7%
For those patients discharged in the month who were an emergency readmission within 30 days, the average length of stay of the readmission was 4.3 which is a decrease compared to 4.8 in March.  Benchmarking: There are no formal national reports published for this metric.  Contractual status: No contractual target, however performance is reported monthly to commissioners.

### Electronic Discharges Summaries (EDS) completed within 48 hrs

Electronic Discharges Summaries (EDS) completed within 48 hrs				Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
Number of EDS completed within 48 hrs of the point of patient discharge				100.00%	Tajectory	92.29%	87.81%	<u>^</u>	Torecase
What is driving the reported underperformance?				No Contr	actual Fina	ncial Penalt	ties	YTD £	
<ul> <li>Performance results: This indicator measures the percentage of EDS completed within 44 hours of the point of patient discharge. Performance has improved significantly in May to 92.29% compared to 83.45% in April but is below the locally agreed target of 95%. Divisional performance for May 2018 was as follows:- <ul> <li><u>Surgery</u> 89.61% (84.68% in April)</li> <li><u>MLTC</u>: 94.17% (74.81% in April)</li> <li><u>WCCSS</u>: 92.73% (92.80% in April)</li> </ul> Continuous education and training of staff has contributed to the improved performance. Benchmarking: No national or regional benchmarking available for this measure. Contractual status: The NHS contract states when transferring or discharging a Service. User from an inpatient or daycase or accident and emergency service, the Provider must within 24 hours following that transfer or discharge issue a Discharge Summary to the Service User's GP and/or Referrer and to any third party provider, using an applicable Delivery Method. The Trust has a local agreement to monitor again 48 hours. No financial penalties apply for failure to achieve.</li></ul>	Director & the Director accountability for key of Performance & Informa ensure compliance aga clinical area on a mont <u>Continuing Actions:</u> - A review of the discha- summaries are sent ou - Quantative analysis ti performance will be sh the importance of accu - Clinical Coding Lead MAC demonstrating po on income via coding. reinforce the importance - Medical champions h be dedicated to workin and Safety agenda wh communication. The D will be responsible for t The Business Manag EDS on a daily basis w - The Organisational D education and develop will cover documentatio - The GMC facilitated 2 on documentation and - All clinical documents	of Nursing, quality metri ation depart ainst the ke thly basis. arge summ- ut and in a ti hat was pre- bared at the urate inform has presen toor quality in All the CDs ce of docum have been ic ng with all st ich includes bivisional Din ensuring EI yer and the l vith intensiv Developmen communication and EDS 2 sessions to communication and eDS	esented at MAC to review EDS Ground Round meeting to reinforce ation being recorded ted a qualitative analysis of EDS at nformation having a potential impact have been requested by the MD to nentation with their teams. dentified for all ward areas who will akeholders to deliver the Quality s documentation and rectors and the Clinical Directors DS are completed. MD are following up outstanding e communication. t (OD) are running a programme of ons for middle grade doctors, topics S. targeting all medical staff to focus		date to dard	Jur yur pute	jectory $\varphi^{e^{Q}} O^{C} \varphi^{C}$	2017/2	$\times$
National Contract X	Local Contract	X	Best Practice			CQ	UIN		

### Dementia Screening 75+

									241	NHS Trust	
Dementia Screening 75+ (Hospital)						Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	Apr-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
						90.00%		66.22%	66.22%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	,		What actions have we	taken to impi	ove performance?	No Contr	actual Finai	ncial Penalt	ies apply	YTD £	
Performance results (based on peer month The national dementia return continues in 201 of the standard contract for all acute Trusts. The eports on the number and proportion of patier admitted as an emergency for more than 72 he have been identified as potentially having dem appropriately assessed and who are referred of The target for all 3 requirements (screen, asse at 90%. During April 2018 the Trust failed to achieve the arget for patients aged 75 years and over with 56.22%. This is a decline compared to the rep 2018 (78.26%). In agreement with WCCG and the Trusts exect reporting methodology has changed to utilising rather than against the full cohort as it was not assessments for all applicable patients due to imitations. Benchmarking: As a national submission has not been made a bending the discussions regarding methodology benchmarking is available. Contractual status: No national penalties apply.	8/19 as a ree his data colle nts aged 75 a iours in Engla nentia, who a on to special ess and refer he 90% scree h performance ported result i cutive lead, th g an audit ap t possible to electronic sy since Novem	quirement ection and over and who are list service r) remains ening ce of in March he proach capture the ystem	explained the change portal). However at p although they acknow electronically. A briefi Nursing and discusse was scheduled with V Unfortunately the me aware of the situation which exist between the performance achieve <b>Continuing actions:</b> - The revised paper at clearer and easier to made available on stat - A revised flow chart screening process an any point during the p on the EDS.	e in methodol resent this havedged the o ing paper wa ed with fellow Valsall CCG eting had to l a and are invo the methodol ment for nationary store has been cin od emphasing patients stay	bol, which makes the process as been circulated to wards and es for wards to order. culated outlining the dementia that the screening can be done at in the hospital and must be noted ess of delirium and 6 CIT to reening process.	100% - 98% - 96% - 92% - 90% - 88% - 86% - 82% - 80% - 74% - 72% - 74% - 72% - 76% - 74% - 72% - 76% - 66% -	dard		Nov of the page	- Target - 2016/201	
National Contract	x		cal Contract	x	Best Practice			0	UIN		

### Friends & Family Test (All Services)

### NHS Trust Friends & Family Test - ED (% Recommended) Monthly May-18 YTD Change on Year End Year last month Forecast Standard Trajectory Friends & Family Test - Inpatient (% Recommended) 85.00% 76.00% 96.00% 95.00% -What is driving the reported underperformance? No Contractual Financial Penalties YTD F What actions have we taken to improve performance? Performance results: Inpatients: Friends & Family Test - ED (% Recommended) This page relates to all of the areas covered by the Friends & Family - Always Event® programme progressing well on AMU, after the intial feedback exercise with patients and carers to identify 2018/2019 — Target — 2017/2018 — 2016/2017 measure. improvement opportunties, a similar approach has been used to Measure May 100% Target Apr gather feedback from different groups of staff members. Next stage 95% Inpatient 96% 96% will see the Point of Care team co-developing with patients/carer the 95% Outpatient 96% 92% 92% vision statement and main aims for the AMU project. 90% FD - No change to status of MLTC, Surgery and WCCSS's efforts to 85% 79% 76% 85% secure funding for FFT ipads for their areas. Ipads would make FFT 97% 98% Community 97% more inclusive and help improve response rates. Requests pending 80% Maternity-Antenatal 95% 90% 91% with Trust Charities group. Maternity-Birth 96% 100% 90% 75% ED: Maternity-Postnatal Ward 92% 97% 91% - The Volunteer Service is actively promoting volunteering in ED 70% Maternity-Postnatal Community 97% 100% 94% which has boosted the number of ED volunteers to support improving Aug Sep oct Dec an eb lun lul 202 ٨ar Api Лау Posters have been displayed within areas informing patients about patient's experience of this area. the process to provide feedback on their care. Patients have the Outpatients: Friends & Family Test - Inpatient (% Recommended) option to opt out of the electronic method by either informing the staff - Team leaders promoting FFT to patients and discussing results within the area or responding to the text message issued which within their teams. Focus on improving the patient registration 2018/2019 — Target 2017/2018 2016/2017 information quality. Regular FFT data trends will now been included provides an opt out opportunity. 100% the new OP key performance indicators dashboard. 98% Benchmarking: Maternity: For ED, the latest benchmarking (April) ranks the Trust 122nd out of Local ward teams are being encouraged to increase use of ipads for 96% improving quality of feedback and promoting accessiblity. A maternity 128. 94% For Inpatients, the latest benchmarking (April) ranks the Trust 77th service app, similar to the paediatric app, is also being explored. 92% out of 130. Community: · Maintaining current level of support with Community Teams. 90% Contractual status: 88% NHS standard contract applies but no contractual financial penalties. Continuing actions: 86% - FFT results reports regularly presented at the PEG, TQE, TSC & Apr ⁄lay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Vov Dec Jan -eb ۸ar Trust Board. Increase use of 'Sound Bites' (audios of patient feedback) - FFT results available to key staff online and via printed weekly Expected date to To be agreed reports. neet standard ead Director Director of Nursing National Contract Х Local Contract **Best Practice** COUIN

Walsall Healthcare

### PDR Compliance

PDR Compliance			Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
			90.00%		82.42%	82.42%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we taken to i	mprove performance?	Contract	ual Financia	l Penalties	1	YTD £	
<ul> <li>Performance status: The appraisal rate at the end of May 2018 was 82.42%, an increase on April's 80.55%. This represents a rise of 1.87% month on month. 127 Band 7 &amp; above colleagues required an annual appraisal at the end of May 2018, resulting in a 78% compliance rate for this group. The majority of divisions experienced a rise in compliance levels over the past month, of between 1% and 10%. The Women's, Children's &amp; Clinical Support Services division has the highest level of compliance at 89.98%. Benchmarking: No national or regional benchmarking available for this measure. Contractual status: No contractual requirements apply.</li></ul>	the appraisal process. - The appraisal paperwork tha the new Trust Values & Behav in July 2018. Continuing Actions: - Training sessions for Apprais - The publication of HR KPI lesservices ranked in a meaningf Q2 18/19. - This approach to performance within other local organisations improvements evidenced whe	ague tables, with the performance of ul and engaging way, is being tabled for we management has been implemented	100% - 95% - 90% - 85% - 80% - 75% - 65% - 60% - 55% -	Idard	TBC (pen	arget	N)	Hay May

### Safeguarding Compliance

											NHS Irust	
							Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
Adult Safeguarding Training - Level 3	B Complianc	e					85%		80.55%			
Children Safeguarding Training - Lev	-						85%		87.10%		<b>^</b>	
What is driving the reported underp	erformance	?		What actions have we t	aken to imp	rove performance?	Contract	ual Financia	I Penalties		YTD £	
Performance results:					saver remir	ding staff of the importance of		Adult	s Safeguarding	g Level 3 Comp	liance	
There is a mandatory requirement Trust to routinely undertake Safeg (Adults and Children). Safeguardi	juarding Tra	aining Level	s 2 and 3	receive reports naming - To promote access to	g staff who a o training ar	or staff training compliance and to are non-compliant. Ind continue to advertise the differing ook, face to face or e-learning.	100%	2018/201	.9 ——	Target	2017/2	2018
Based on Calendar Month	Target	Apr-18	May-18			ard Performance Report.	80%					
PREVENT Level 1 & 2	85%	98.59%	98.29%			·	60% -					
PREVENT Level 3	85%	76.07%	77.51%	Continuing actions:			40% -					
Adult Safeguarding Level 2	85%	74.57%	79.13%			for Level 2 so that staff have a						
Adult Safeguarding Level 3	85%	78.06%	80.55%	choice of training meth		ace to face) automatically booked	20% -					
Children Safeguarding Level 2	85%	75.49%	76.74%	onto a training session			0%		<u> </u>			1 1
Children Safeguarding Level 3	85%	73.72%	87.10%			spaces to ensure adequate number	2	May Jun	Jul Aug	Sep Oct	Dec Jan	Feb Mar
<ul> <li>a high volume of staff requiring the time which brings pressures in terr duties to attend/complete training significant pressures</li> <li>a review of staff competencies in number of staff changing levels of impacted upon the compliance rate</li> <li>Benchmarking: No benchmarking data is available</li> <li>Contractual status: A Contract Performance Notice (C in April 2018.</li> </ul>	ms of relea at a time w a summer 2 competence res. e for these i	ising staff fro then the hos 017 resulted cy which ad metrics.	om their pital is under d in a versely	and therefore reviewed - Compliance levels fo	d on a regul r Safeguaro	led on the Corporate Risk Register ar basis. ling and Prevent Training are at the monthly Clinical Quality	100% 80% - 60% - 40% - 20% - 0%			Target	2017/2	Zo118
							Expected meet star Lead Dire	dard		Safeguardi 18	Level 3 - Ju ng Level 3	
National Contract		Х			X	Best Practice			CQ	UIN		

### Sickness Absence

Sickness Absence				Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
				3.39%		4.71%	4.86%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we	taken to impi	rove performance?	Contract	ual Financia	l Penalties		YTD £	
Performance status: Sickness levels improved in May with performance of 4.71% compared to 5.06% in April 2018 but did not achieve the target of 3.39%. This represents a fall of 0.17% compared to same period 2017/18. Monthly short-term sickness during May 2018 totalled an estimated	absence levels betwee and norovirus related rates. Continuing Actions	een Jan & Áp I illnesses ha	sis, with regards to fluctuates in or 18. This review identified that flu d a major impact upon sickness	7%	2017/20	18 —— 1	Farget 🗕		utturn
cost of £122k and long-term sickness totalled an estimated cost of £274k. There were 163 long-term episodes of sickness during May 2018. LTS cases extend to 6 months or more. The largest cause of absence during May 2018 was Anxiety/stress/depression/other psychiatric illnesses - 1640 FTE Days across 92 episode(s) including 54 long-term.	department offering v including access to a 5 - Walsall & Dudley M offering managers tra Management.	veekly Stress psychologist ental Health aining around s is also avail	Trust provide 1-1 training sessions; I Resilience and Stress lable to all staff; something which	5% -					
The second largest cause of short-term absence was Other musculoskeletal problems - 589 FTE Days across 45 episode(s) including 17 long-term. The sickness absence during the past 12 months stands at 5.30%, 1.91% above the Trust target. Benchmarking:	embed/promote heat	hy lifestyle be nue to suppo	ntinues to roll out schemes and enefits. ort attendance management and al Health on a case by case basis.	3% - 2% -				Ħ	
No national or regional benchmarking available for this measure. <u>Contractual status:</u> No contractual requirements apply.				1% -					
				Expected		March 20		Feb Mar	May
				meet star			f Human F	Resources	
National Contract X	Local Contract	Х	Best Practice			CQ	UIN		

### PDR Compliance

PDR Compliance			Year Standard	Monthly Trajectory	May-18	YTD	Change on last month	Year End Forecast
			90.00%		82.42%	82.42%	•	
What is driving the reported underperformance?	What actions have we taken to i	mprove performance?	Contract	ual Financia	l Penalties	1	YTD £	
<ul> <li>Performance status: The appraisal rate at the end of May 2018 was 82.42%, an increase on April's 80.55%. This represents a rise of 1.87% month on month. 127 Band 7 &amp; above colleagues required an annual appraisal at the end of May 2018, resulting in a 78% compliance rate for this group. The majority of divisions experienced a rise in compliance levels over the past month, of between 1% and 10%. The Women's, Children's &amp; Clinical Support Services division has the highest level of compliance at 89.98%. Benchmarking: No national or regional benchmarking available for this measure. Contractual status: No contractual requirements apply.</li></ul>	the appraisal process. - The appraisal paperwork tha the new Trust Values & Behav in July 2018. Continuing Actions: - Training sessions for Apprais - The publication of HR KPI lesservices ranked in a meaningf Q2 18/19. - This approach to performance within other local organisations improvements evidenced whe	ague tables, with the performance of ul and engaging way, is being tabled for we management has been implemented	100% - 95% - 90% - 85% - 80% - 75% - 65% - 60% - 55% -	Idard	TBC (pen	arget	N)	Hay May



# **CQUINs**



	Total year 1	Q1 - Confirmed	Q2 - Confirmed	Q3 - Confirmed	Q4 - Confirmed / TBC in amber	ELEMENTS / Progress
Walsall CCG			Risk I	Rating		
NHS Staff Health & Wellbeing Director of OD					£73,624	Introduction of Health & Wellbeing Initiative By QTR 4: Achieving a 5% point improvement in two of the three NHS annual staff survey questions on health and wellbeing, MSK and stress or a set percentage. The two questions do not have to be pre-selected before the staff survey results, with 50% of the value of this indicator relating to performance in one question and the remaining 50% of the value relating to performance in a second question. The 5% point improvement should be achieved over a period of 2 years, with the baseline survey being the 2015 staff survey. For 18/19 this requires a 10% increase from the 2015 baseline or achieving the minimum threshold. Sliding scale for payment applies per question for improvements over 3%. Question 9a: Does your organisation take positive action on health and well-being? Providers will be expected to achieve an improvement of 5% points in the answer "yes, definitely" compared to baseline 2015: 25.8%; Year 1 target 30.8% & Year 2 target 35.8%. Status: Results = 28% although this fails to achieve the national target, WCCG have agreed a partial payment of 48% to reflect the progress made on promoting health & well being. Local proposal agreed for var 2.33% or national average. (national average 2017 = 34%)
					£39,880	Question 9b:       : In the last 12 months have you experienced musculoskeletal problems (MSK) as a result of work activities? Providers will be expected to achieve an improvement of 5% points in the answer "no" compared to baseline staff survey results or achieve 85% of staff surveyed answering "no". Sliding scale for payment applies per question for improvements over 3%.         Baseline 2015: 75.45%; Year 1 target 80.45% & year 2 target 85%.         Status:       Results = 74% a decline resulting in no payment (no improvement ). Local proposal agreed for year 2 79% or national average. (national average 2017 = 74%)
					£39,880	Question 9c: During the last 12 months have you felt unwell as a result of work related stress? Providers will be expected to achieve an improvement of 5% points in the answer "no" compared to baseline staff survey results or achieve 75% of staff surveyed answering "no" Baseline 2015: 58.44%; Year 1 target 63.44% & year 2 target 68.44%. Status: Results = 58% a decline resulting in no payment (no improvement). Local proposal agreed for year 2 63% or national average. (national average 2017 = 64%)
	£460,151				£19,173	Healthy food for NHS staff, Visitors & Patients By <u>QTR 4:</u> WCH will be expected to build on the 2016/17 CQUIN by: Firstly, maintaining the 4 changes that were required in the 2016/17 CQUIN. a.) The banning of price promotions on sugary drinks and foods high in fat, sugar or salt (HFSS). Status: Achieved
					£19,173	<ul> <li>b.) The banning of advertisements on NHS premises of HFSS;</li> <li>Status: Achieved</li> </ul>
					£19,173	c.) The banning of HFSS from checkouts; Status: Achieved
					£19,173	d.) Ensuring that healthy options are available at any point including for those staff working night shifts. Status: Letters issued between the Trust and food providers committing to keep the changes, a paper is being prepared to go to board summarising progress made to date. Achieved
					£25,564	Secondly, introducing three new changes to food and drink provision. a.) 70% of drinks lines stocked must be sugar free (less than 5 grams of sugar per 100ml). In addition to the usual definition of SSBs it also includes energy drinks, fruit juices (with added sugar content of over 5g) and milk based drinks (with sugar content of over 10grams per 100ml). <b>Status</b> : Audit conducted 8th March, results = 70% achieved 2018/19 - target increases to 80%.
					£25,564	b.) 60% of confectionery and sweets do not exceed 250 kcal. Status: Audit conducted 8th March, results = 64% achieved. 2018/19 - target increases to 80%.
					£25,564	<ul> <li>c.) At least 60% of pre-packed sandwiches and other savoury pre-packed meals (wraps, salads, pasta salads) available contain 400kcal (1680 kJ) or less per serving and do not exceed 5.0g saturated fat per 100g</li> <li>Status: Audit conducted 8th March, results = 67% achieved.</li> <li>2018/19 increases to 75%.</li> </ul>
					£153,384	Improve uptake of flu vaccinations for front line staff QTR 4: Year 1 - Achieving an uptake of flu vaccinations by frontline clinical staff of 70% by February 28th 2018. Sliding scale for payment applies. year 2 increases to 75%. <u>Status:</u> Results = 70.7% Achieved. 2018/19 - target 75% by February 2019.
Sub totals	£460,151	£0	£0	£0	£460,151	



people with mental health needs who present to A&E       E25,769							
Institution         East 1         Description         Description <thdescripic in="" state="" td="" the="" thes<="" thescripic=""><td>Improving services for</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Improving services for people with mental health needs who present to A&amp;E</td></thdescripic>	Improving services for						Improving services for people with mental health needs who present to A&E
present to A&E         pace / reg         benefit from assessment, new, and care jaturing with specialismental health staff. Record the compare in monitorial and interval work in the sum of the fact with a set of the staff. Record the compare in monitorial and interval work in the sum of the staff. Record the compare in the staff. Record the staff. Record the staff. Record the staff. Record the compare in the staff. Record the							
COD         LE25.769         Loss         Le25.769         Loss         Le25.769         Loss         Le25.769         Loss         Le25.769         Loss         Le25.769         Loss         Le25.769         Le25.769 <thle23.779< th="">         Le25.769         <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></thle23.779<>							
COD         Interfactory of the information of the control and present requirement requirement requirement and present requirement	present to A&E		005 700				
Set Instance         Set Instance<			£25,769				
Image: second	COO						
Subject         E257,885.00         E257,789         Close of the subject of							Status: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved. Baseline: there are 13 patients who fulfil the criteria with a
Subject         Exproprintely in AE HES dataset. Submission deadline 20th September extension granted II 20th Characterization of the regarding people antholing ASE. The cohort has been reduced own 10 patients (15 hiltering audit or faces 17 cohorts 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).             Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 1017 (sippage on the data							corresponding 197 ED attendances in 2016/17.
Subject         Exproprintely in AE HES dataset. Submission deadline 20th September extension granted II 20th Characterization of the regarding people antholing ASE. The cohort has been reduced own 10 patients (15 hiltering audit or faces 17 cohorts 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).             Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).                Hard Section 2017 (sippage on the data).              Hard Section 1017 (sippage on the data							<b>QTR 2:</b> To work with DWMHPT to identify whether the presentations of the identified cohort were coded
Setue         Circuber.         Setue							
Result         Result <thresult< th=""> <thresult< th=""> <thresult< td="" th<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thresult<></thresult<></thresult<>							
Set bills         E25,769				£25 769			
Seture ref         Community         Status Draft arrangements to review progress against COUIN and associated array arra							
Line basis         Line basis <thline basis<="" th="">         Line basis         Line bab</thline>							
Service development plane.         Service development plane.           Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirme         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirme           E257.685.00         E257.685.00         E257.685         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirme           E257.685.00         E257.685         E257.685         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirme           Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed           Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed           Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed           Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed         Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed           Status: Drat arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed         Status: Drat arrangements and the strit status arrangement arrangements and the status arrangements a							
End bits         Status: Dark arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirme to identified control frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders and set in identified control frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders and set in identified control frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to identify our frequent attenders; A system is in place to iteration is in the identified control in the identified control is identify our include enhancements to: - Primary care ments is - Primary care ments be include enhancements to: - Primary care ments be - Primary care ments beam downers and continent - Primary care ments beam downers be and continent - Primary care ments beam downers be and continent - Primary care ments beam downers be anoprimine - Primary care ments beam at the primary at -							QTR 2: Establish joint governance arrangements to review progress against CQUIN and associated
Sub otable         C257,885.00         C257,693         Came plans (co-produced with bare have system partners as appropriate/necessary to ansure that: - Came plans (co-produced with the plans and output in the first person) are in place to identify new frequent attenders in the request that care plans are built in place to identify new frequent attenders in the request that care plans are built in place to identify new frequent attenders in the request that care plans are shared with other key system partners as request that care plans are plans are shared with other key system partners as request that care plans are plans are shared with other key system partners as request that care plans are plans are shared with other key system partners as request that care plans are plans are shared with other key system partners as request that care plans are plans are plans are shared with other key system partners request that care plans are plans are plans are shared with other key system partners are request that care plans are plans areqplans areqplans are plans are plans areqplans are plans are plan							service development plans.
F257,85.00         E25,769         E25,769         E25,769         FC are plans (co-produced win the plans and started are. A system is in place to identify not request rationes and present plans are shared with other key system parners           Status: Draft arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal government porcess to be confirmed to the confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: Status: Draft arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal government porcess to be confirmed to the support of the support of the system parners as necessary/appropriate, agree service development plan to support the substance of the support of the system parners as necessary/appropriate, agree service development plan to support the substance of the support of the system parners substance of the support of the system parners and the services including in other local gradient and wolverses to be confirmed contracting and the services and community-based crisis mental health services in the acute hospital:           Laison mental health services in the acute hospital:         Community mental health services and community-based crisis mental health ser							Status: Draft arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal governance process to be confirmed
F257,85.00         E25,769         E25,769         E25,769         FC are plans (co-produced win the plans and started are. A system is in place to identify not request rationes and present plans are shared with other key system parners           Status: Draft arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal government porcess to be confirmed to the confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: Status: Draft arrangements shared and agreed in principal, formal government porcess to be confirmed to the support of the support of the system parners as necessary/appropriate, agree service development plan to support the substance of the support of the system parners as necessary/appropriate, agree service development plan to support the substance of the support of the system parners substance of the support of the system parners and the services including in other local gradient and wolverses to be confirmed contracting and the services and community-based crisis mental health services in the acute hospital:           Laison mental health services in the acute hospital:         Community mental health services and community-based crisis mental health ser							
Less         Less <thless< th="">         Less         Less         <thl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thl<></thless<>							
Seb totals         E257,685.00         E257,685.00 <the103,074< th=""> <the25,7690< th="">         E1</the25,7690<></the103,074<>							
E257.685.00         E257.685.00         International control of the patient's permission.         Contrepatient permission.         Control of the pat				625 760			
Status:         Continued         Status:         Continued barrent status         Continued barrent barrent status         Continued barrent barrent status         Continued barrent barrent status         Continued barrent barrent barrent barrent status         Continued barrent barrent barrent barrent status         Continued barrent barent barent barrent barrent barrent barrent barrent barent		£257 695 00		225,769			
Sub totals         E257,685         E103,074         E25,760         E103,074         E25,7		2201,000.00					
Sub totals         E257,885         E257,885         E103,07         E112,15 might pluster							
Sub totals         £257,685.00         £25,769         F103,074         £25,769         F103,074         £25,769         F103,074         F25,769         F10							
Sub totals         E257,685         E103,074         F25,769         F103,074         F25,769         F103,074         F25,769         F103,074         F25,769         F103,074         F103,074         F25,769         F103,074							
Sub totals         £257,695         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         E25,769         E103,074         E25,769         E103,074         E25,769         £103,074         E25,769         E103,0							
Sub Intals         £257,885         £257,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074         £25,769         £103,074 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>							
Sub totals       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074							
Sub-briat         £257,685.00         £257,685         £103,074         £25,769         £10				£51,537			
Line         Line <thline< th="">         Line         Line         <thl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thl<></thline<>							
Sub totals         E257,685         E257,685         E128,843							
Sub bitals         E257,685         E257,685         E128,843							
Improving the assessment of would be assessment of would be applied to be able that and a set of the able able to be abl							Confirmed by WCCG Achieved
A bit							QTR 3: Jointly review progress against data quality improvement plan and all confirm that systems are in
Sub totals       £257,69       £257,69       £257,69       Status: A3 submitted. Monthly audits continue, no coding issues identified to date. Joint meetings will DWMHPT continue. Baseline recalculated to 10 patients (now includes 3 replacement patients following 3 from original cohort being discharged from the MH services). New baseline total attendances = 132.         Sub totals       £257,685.00       £257,69       £103,074       £257,69       £103,074       £257,69         Sub totals       £257,685.00       £257,69       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         Sub totals       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         Sub totals       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         Sub totals       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         DoN       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         £257,685       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769         £257,685       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       <							place to ensure that coding of MH need via A&E HES data submissions is complete and accurate, to
Status: Ó a submitted. Monthly audits continue, no coding issues identified to det. Joint meetings will be interesting to movinclude 3 replacement patients (now includes 3 replacement)         Sub totals       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       E25,769       £103,074       Exect a full wound asesesment of wounds in the number of patient							allow confidence that Q4 submissions are complete and accurate. Assurances provided to CCGs
Sub totals       £257,685.00       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £25,769					£25,769		accordingly.
Sub totals       £257,685.00       £257,685       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       E25,769       £103,074       E103,074							Status: Q3 submitted. Monthly audits continue, no coding issues identified to date. Joint meetings with
Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       Status: Achieved 57.6% reduction. (56 total attendances)         Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       Status: Achieved 57.6% reduction. (56 total attendances)         Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         DoN       DoN       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         DoN       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         £257,685       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         £257,685       £257,685       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         £257,685       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074         £257,685       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769							DWMHPT continue. Baseline recalculated to 10 patients (now includes 3 replacement patients following
Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074							
Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £26,769       £103,074       E103,074         Improving the assessment of wounds         DoN       £257,685       £103,074       £26,769       £103,074       Improving the assessment of wounds         £257,685       £257,685       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       Improving the assessment of wounds         DoN       £257,685       £128,843       £128,843       £257,685       £128,843       QTR 2: By 30 November 2017; Completion of Clinical audit to provide a baseline figure for the number of patients with chronic wounds that have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided for commissioner.       Status: Audit tab been acceived a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided for compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed.         Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.       £128,843       £128,843       £128,843       Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Coll By an ay 2018; Repeat clinical audit to demonstrate an improvement in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Sitating scale applies.       Status: Achieved 79% compliance. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>QTR 4: 20% reduction in A&amp;E attendances of those within the selected cohort of frequent attenders in</td>							QTR 4: 20% reduction in A&E attendances of those within the selected cohort of frequent attenders in
Sub tables       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £104,070       £104,070       £104,070       £104,070       £104,070 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Sub totals       £257,685.00       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074       £25,769       £103,074 <b>E</b> 25,769       £103,074 <b>E</b> 25,76							
Improving the assessment of wounds       Aims to increase the number of wounds which have failed to heal after 4 weeks that receive a full wound assessment         DoN       QTR 1: Establish clinical audit plan. Status: Audit template designed, shared and agreed with WCCG.         QTR 2: By 30 November 2017: Completion of Clinical audit to provide a baseline figure for the number of patients with chronic wounds that have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         QTR 4: By 31 May 2018: Repeat clinical audit to demonstrate an improvement in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         2018/19: year 2: Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%         year 2: Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%						£103,074	Status: Achieved 57.6% reduction. (56 total attendances)
assessment of wounds       Aims to increase the number of wounds which have failed to heal after 4 weeks that receive a full wound assessment         DoN       Principal       Aims to increase the number of wounds which have failed to heal after 4 weeks that receive a full wound assessment         DoN       F257,685       Principal       Aims to increase the number of wounds which have failed to heal after 4 weeks that receive a full wound assessment         F257,685       F128,843       Aims to increase the number of wounds which have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided for commissioner. Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Aims to increase the number of patients with chronic wounds which have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Sliding scale applies. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Contract       Contract of the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Sliding scale applies. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Contract       Vear 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%		£257,685.00	£25,769	£103,074	£25,769	£103,074	
wounds       DoN       Assessment       OTR 1: Establish clinical audit plan. Status: Audit template designed, shared and agreed with WCCG.         DoN       £257,685       F128,843       Image: Status in the plane designed, shared and agreed with WCCG.         Status: Audit template designed, shared and agreed with WCCG.       Image: Status in the plane designed, shared and agreed with WCCG.         Status: Audit template designed, shared and agreed with WCCG.       Image: Status in the plane with choice wounds that have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided for commissioner.         Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed.         Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%.         Status: Audit has been completed, status in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%.         Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Status: Achieved the nationally set target - 60%							
DoN       Image: Constraint of the constrain							
DoN       E257,685       Image: Construction of Constructing Constructing Construction of Constructing Construction of Conste	wounds						
£257,685       E128,843       QTR 2: By 30 November 2017: Completion of Clinical audit to provide a baseline figure for the number of patients with chronic wounds that have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided for commissioner. Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         QTR 4: By 31 May 2018: Repeat clinical audit to demonstrate an improvement in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Q18/19: year 2: Q2 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%							
£257,685       £257,685       £257,685       for patients with chronic wounds that have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement plan with trajectory to be provided for commissioner. Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full assessment. Full audit report and improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Image: transmit in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Status: Achieve the nationally set target - 60%	DoN						
£257,685       £257,685       plan with trajectory to be provided for commissioner. Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: Constraint of the provided for commissioner.       Constraint of the provided for commissioner.         Image: Constraint of the provided for commissioner.       Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed.         Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.       Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Status: Status: Achieved 79% compliance.       Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         Status: Achieved 79% compliance.       2018/19: year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%							
List, 1000       E128,843       Status: Audit has been completed, compliance rate is 39.33%, an improvement trajectory of 55% has been agreed. Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Image: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved and the confirmed by WCCG Achieve and the confirmed by WCCG Achie							
Image: heap of the second s		£257,685					
End       £128,843       Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.         Rest: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.       QTR 4: By 31 May 2018: Repeat clinical audit to demonstrate an improvement in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Sliding scale applies. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         2018/19: year 2 : Q2 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%         2018/19: year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%							
Clicklost       QTR 4: By 31 May 2018: Repeat clinical audit to demonstrate an improvement in the number of patients with chronic wound who have received a full wound assessment. Target is 55%. Sliding scale applies. Status: Achieved 79% compliance.         2018/19: year 2 : Q2 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%         year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%							
Image: state stat				£128,843			
Sliding scale applies.     Status: Achieved 79% compliance.       2018/19: year 2 : Q2 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%       year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%							
Siliciting scale applies.     Status: Achieved 79% compliance.       Status: Achieved 79% compliance.     2018/19: year 2 : Q2 Achieve the nationally set target - 60%       year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%     Year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%						£128,843	
Image: Constraint of the imag							
year 2 : Q4 Achieve the nationally set target - 80%							
							IVEGIZ, SA ACHIEVE LIE HAUUHAUV SEL LAIGEL OV 70



NHS e-Referrals D of S&T		£64,421				NHS e-Referrals: relates to GP referrals to consultant-led 1st outpatient services only and the availabilit of services and appointments on the NHS e-Referral Service. All providers to publish ALL such services and make ALL of their First Outpatient Appointment slots available on e-RS by 31 March 2018 <u>GTR 1:</u> Providers should supply a plan to deliver Q2, Q3 and Q4 targets to include: A definitive list of all services/clinics accepting 1st O/P referrals and details of the NHS e-RS services A trajectory to reduce Appointment Slot Issues to a level of 4%, or less, over Q2, Q3 and Q4. <u>Status:</u> plan submitted to WCCQ. Baseline 39% of clinics published, ASI rate 0.83. Project team established, fortnightly meetings scheduled. ASI rate target of 4% or less challenged with WCCQ & NH:
	£257,685		£64,421			Digital. Digital. Digital. Date 2: 80% of Referrals to 1st O/P Services able to be received through e-RS. Evidence that slot polling ranges for directly bookable services match or exceed waits for paper referral - details of slot polling ranges (as recorded on EBSX05) and Appointment Slot Issues by service reducing to 4% or less in line with the agreed trajectory set in 01. Status: Q2 submitted, 85% of specialities are now mapped to the DOS. ASI rates achieved 62.45% in September. (July 74% and August 70%). Risk: Targets; 80% available slots & 70% ASI rate.: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved
				£32,211		<u>QTR3</u> : As Qtr. 2 except 90% of Referrals to 1st O/P Services & achieve ASI issues in line with agreed trajectory (36%) <u>Status: Q3 Submitted:</u> Services published to the DOS (based on the Q1 listed services as agreed with WCCG) is 90%, this achieves the 90% target. ASI rates continue to reduce, December rate was 0.414 against an original trajectory of 0.36, a request was formally made to WCCG & NHS E to revise Q3 targe
				£32,211	£64,421	to 0.5 and Q4 target to 0.2. WCCG acknowledge the significant progress and have agreed a partial payment for Q3. (50% of available monies) Q4: Target 100% of Referrals to 1st O/P Services & achieve 0.04 or less ASI issues. <u>Status:</u> The Trust failed to publish all the services to the DOS. ASI rate for March 2018 reduced to 0.272 however did not achieve the 0.04 national target or the 0.2 requested local target.
3ub totals Offering advice and guidance D of S&T	£257,685	£64,421 £64,421	£64,421	£64,421	£64,421	Offering advice and guidance. The scheme requires providers to set up and operate A&G services for non-urgent GP referrals, allowing GPs to access consultant advice prior to referring patients in to secondary care. A&G support should be provided either through the ERS platform or local solutions where systems agree this offers a better alternative. Volume of GP referrals for A&G implementation. Agree tradeology and the second se
	£257,685		£64,421			<u>QTR 2: 31 October 2017;</u> A&G services mobilised for first agreed tranche of specialties in line with implementation plan and trajectory. Local quality standard for provision of A&G finalised and a Baseline data for main indicator provided <u>Status</u> : Project team established, fortnightly meetings scheduled. Consultant Connect currently provide 10.97% (Gen. surgery, gastro, urology, diabetics and endocrinology). plans to be agreed when WCCG decommission this service to transfer these services over to ERS. <u>Risk: 20</u> submitted <u>Confirmed by WCCG Achieved</u> .
				£64,421		QTR 3: 31 January 2018; A&G services operational for first agreed tranche of specialties, Quality standards for provision of A&G met. Data for main indicators provided and Timetable, implementation plan and trajectory agreed for rollout of A&G services to cover a group of specialties responsible for at least 75% of GP referrals by Q4 2018/19 <u>Status; Q3 submitted</u> During Q3 activity was recorded using Consultant Connect providing evidence that A&G is operational. Q3 Achieved
					£64,421	<u>QTR 4: 31 May 2018</u> : A&G services operational for specialties covering at least 35% of total GP referrals by start of Q4 and sustained across the quarter, Quality standards for provision of A&G met an Data for main indicator provided Status: Q4 failed to achieve.
Sub totals Personalised care and support planning DoN	£257,685	£64,421	£64,421	£64,421	£64,421	Personalised care and support planning: to introduce the requirement of high quality personalised care and support planning and of sept 17). Submission of a plan to ensure care & support planning is recorded by any details of the section of the s
						Status: Agreed with WCCG definition of long term conditions. Plan created, Linking into the Total Mobile b. Plan produced but recording system not in place = 50% of proportion of CQUIN value c. Plan produced and recording system put in place = 100% of proportion of CQUIN value
	£257,685		£64,421			Risk: none. Confirmed by WCCG Achieved. <u><b>QTR 3</b></u> : identify the number of patients as having multiple LTCs and who will be prioritised for personalised care and support planning (establishment of cohort) compared to the total number of patients served Q3 submitted to WCCG. There were 241 patients identified (100% of the community matrons caseload
				£38,653	£77,306	<u>OTR 4at To</u> confirm what proportion of relevant staff have undertaken training in personalised care and support planning. <u>Status</u> : <i>S</i> .5% of staff trained Confirmed by WCCG Achieved <u>OTR 4b.</u> To confirm the number of patients identified for the cohort who have one or more LTCs and have been assessed as having a low activation level <u>Status</u> : <u>Confirmed by WCCG Achieved</u> . There
					£77,306 £154,611	have been assessed as having a low activation level <u>Status</u> : <u>Commete by wood</u> Achieved. There were 8 patients who scored zero who now require personalised care plans.
Sub totals Preventing ill health by risky behaviours – alcohol and tobacco DoN	£257,685	£69,023	£64,421	£38,653	£154,611	Preventing ill health by risky behaviours – alcohol and tobacco GTR 1: each element worth 33% of Q1 a) completing an information systems audit; b) training staff to deliver brief advice, c) collect baseline data (on elements a) to e) )
			£3,451	£3,451	£3,451	Risk: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved Tobacco screening: Percentage of unique adult patients who are screened for smoking status AND whose results are recorded Q2 Confirmed Achieved Q3 Confirmed Achieved . Q4 target = 90%
			£13,805	£13,805	£13,805	Achieved 97% Percentage of unique patients who smoke AND are given very brief advice Q2 Confirmed Achieved Q3: Achieved. Q4 target 80%. Achieved 88%.
	£276,091		£17,256	£17,256	£17,256	Percentage of unique patients who are smokers AND are offered referral to stop smoking services AND offered stop smoking medication. Q2 Confirmed Achieved. Q3 achieved. Q4 target 60%. Achieved 88%
			£17,256	£17,256	£17,256	Percentage of unique adult patients who are screened for drinking risk levels AND whose results are recorded in local data systems Q2 Confirmed Achieved Q3 achieved. Q4 target 90%. Achieved 91%.
			£17,256	£17,256	£17,256	Percentage of unique patients who drink alcohol above lower-risk levels AND are given brief advice OR offered a specialist referral. <b>Status:</b> Q2 submitted and expected to achieve. Monthly audits continue (10 patients per ward ) close monitoring of compliance and follow up with wards who are not performing the audit in full or have low compliance. Meeting arranged with WCCG. Q4 target 85%. Achieved 100%.



Reducing the impact of serious infections (Antimicrobial Resistance and Sepsis) MD						Timely identification of sepsis in emergency departments. The percentage of patients who met the criteria for sepsis screening and were screened for sepsis The indicator applies to adults and child patients arriving in hospital as emergency admissions A minimum of 50 records per month after exclusions for ED. 90% Target. Silding scale 50-89% = 10%. Status: The audit methodology of NEWs scores continues not to identify the full required number of patients and continues to be time consuming. A centralised database is being created during Q3 to support the audit process.
		£8,053	£8,053	£8,053	£8,053	Risk: Q1 achieved 95.33%. Q2 achieved 94.85% Q3: 95.77% Achieved. Q4 achieved 93.59%
		£8,053	£8,053	£3,221 £4,832	£8,053	Timely identification of sepsis in acute inpatient settings The percentage of patients who met the criteria for sepsis screening and were screened for sepsis. The indicator applies to all patients on acute in-patient wards. A minimum of 50 records per month after exclusions for Inpatients. 90% Target. Sliding scale 50-89% = 10%. Status: as ED. Risk: Q1 achieved 90%. Q2 achieved 90.91%. Q3: 88.73%, partial achievement 10%. Q4 achieved 90.48%.
		£3,221	£3,221	£3,221	£8,053	Timely treatment for sepsis in emergency departments. The percentage of patients who were found to have sepsis in sample 2a and received IV antibiotics within 1 hour. Applies to adults and child patients arriving in hospital as emergency admissions. 90% Target. Sliding scale 50-89% = 10% <u>Status:</u> Actions taken; additional teaching, grand round presentation, raising awareness through care groups, wards and mandatory training.
		£4,832	£4,832	£4,832		Risk: Q1 86.21% partial achievement 10%. Q2 88.57% partial achievement 10%. Q3: 89.34% partial achievement 10%. Q4 achieved 96.43%
		£3,221	£3,221	£3,221	£3,221	Timely treatment for sepsis in acute inpatient settings. The percentage of patients who were found to have sepsis in sample 2a and received IV antibiotics within 1 hour. The indicator applies to adults and child patients on acute in-patient wards. 90% Target. Silding scale 50-89% = 10%
		£4,832	£4,832	£4,832	£4,832	<u>Risk:</u> Q1 53.57% partial achievement 10%. Q2 63.27% partial achievement 10% <b>Q3 61.54% partial</b> achievement 10%. Q4 partial achievement 67.31%
	£257,685	£16,105				Percentage of antibiolic prescriptions documented and reviewed by a competent clinician. within 72 hours Review to show, Stop, IV to oral switch, OPAT (Outpatient Parenteral Antibiotic Therapy), Continue with neurophysic of the stop of the switch of the stop of the s
			£16,105			Perform an empiric review for at least 50% of cases in the sample. Local audit of a minimum of 30 patients diagnosed with sepsis. Audit data should be submitted to PHE via an online submission portal. Risk: Q2 achieved.
				£16,105		Perform an empiric review for at least 75% of cases in the sample. Local audit of a minimum of 30 patients diagnosed with sepsis. Audit data should be submitted to PHE via an online submission portal. Risk Q3 Submitted. 98.51% compliance.
					£16.105	Perform an empiric review for at least 90% of cases in the sample. Local audit of a minimum of 30 patients diagnosed with sepsis. Audit data submitted to PHE via an online submission portal. Q4 =
					£16,105	Reduction in antibiotic consumption per 1,000 admissions 1. Total antibiotic usage (for both in-patients and our-patients) per 1,000 admissions: Target 2% reduction for those trusts with 2016 consumption indicators above 2013/14 median value. Status: All data has been submitted to PHE, awaiting validation
					£21,474	Status: All data has been submitted to PHE, awaiting validation Reduction in antibiotic consumption per 1.000 admissions 2. Total usage (for both in-patients and out-patients) of carbapenem per 1,000 admissions. Target 1% reduction for those trusts with 2016 consumption indicators above 2013/14 median value Status: All data has been submitted to PHE, awaiting validation
					£21,474	Reduction in antibiotic consumption per 1,000 admissions 3. Total usage (for both in-patients and out-patients) of piperacillin-tazobactam per 1,000 admissions. Target 2% reduction for those trusts with 2016 consumption indicators above 2013/14 median value
Sub totals	£257.685	£48.317	£48.317	£48.317	£21,474 £112,738	Status: All data has been submitted to PHE, awaiting validation
Supporting Proactive and Safe Discharge – Acute Providers COO (a&c) D of S&T (b)			£184,060			Actions to map existing discharge pathways, roll-out new protocols, collect baseline/trajectories. <b>Q2:</b> I) Map and streamline existing discharge pathways across acute, community and NHS-care home providers, and roll-out protocols in partnership across local whole-systems. I) Develop and agree with commissioner a plan, baseline and trajectories which reflect expected impact ii) Develop and agree with commissioner a plan, baseline and trajectories which reflect expected impact agree what proportion of the part b indicator for each year will be delivered by the acute provider and what proportion will be delivered by the community provider. Achievement of part b will require collaboration between acute and community providers <b>Status: Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.</b>
		£69,023				Emergency Care Data Set (ECDS) To have demonstrable and credible planning by the end of Quarter 1, in order to commence timely submission of data from 1st October 2017 Q1: Type 1 or 2 A&E provider has demonstrable and credible planning in place to make the required preparations (e.g. by upgrading IT systems and training staff) so that the Emergency Care Data Set (ECDS) can be collected and returned from 1st October 2017. <u>Status:</u> plan submitted pending WCCG decision on payment. <b>Risk:</b> Confirmed by WCCG Achieved.
	£460,151			Q3 moved into Q4 as agreed with WCCG	£11,504	Q3: Go live with ECDS. Status: Due to the delay with the Lorenzo upgrade by the system provider it was not possible to achieve the Q3 requirements, following our request WCCG have agreed to move the CQUIN requirements from Q3 into Q4, project plan is progressing, initial data flows have commenced, 50% payment for going live - subject to confirmation this has been achieved.
					£2,301	Q3: Submitting data at least weekly <b>Status:</b> as above, initial data flows have commenced work continues to achieve a weekly flow.
					£4,602	Q4: 95% of patients have both a valid Chief Complaint . Chief complaint should be any value from the ECDS Chief Complaint code set (SNOMED CT). T <b>arget:</b> Sliding scale for payment: <90% = zero, 90-95% = 50%, >95% = 100%. Q4: 86.91% failed to achieve.
					£4,602	O4: 95% of patients have a Diagnosis (unless that patient is streamed to another service) Diagnosis should be any value from the ECDS diagnosis code set (SNOMED CT). T <b>arget:</b> Sliding scale for payment: <90% = zero, 90-95% = 50%, >95% = 100%. O4: 56.07% failed to achieve.
					£184,060	Increasing proportion of patients admitted via non-elective route discharged from acute hospitals to their usual place of residence within 7 days of admission by 2.5% points from baseline (Q3 and Q4 2016/17) Baseline = 47.84%. Due to the increased usage of "discharge to assess beds" it is unclear how to calculate the percentage. Extension granted by WCCG for this submission to obtain further information.
Sub totals	£460,151	£69.023	£184.060		£207.068	



Page 43

Commissioners Paediatric Networked						Bandiatria Networked Care pop BICU Contros
Paediatric Networked Care – non-PICU Centres						Paediatric Networked Care – non-PICU Centres Part 1: Local acute hospitals will be required to work with their regional PICU provider in providing fully completed PCCMDS data over a six month period August to December 2017 (request to extend to January) in order for the lead provider to submit a summary report by February 2018. Conduct a self
coo						assessment and submit data to PICU - due mid October. Status: Monthly audit data being submitted to BCH. Potential to utilise Lorenzo to record data is curren being considered.
	£15,151		£15,151			Partake in the lead PICU provider's review of referring acute hospitals against the Paediatric Intensive
-	£11,363				£11,363	Care (PICS) standards in order for the lead PICU provider to submit a report. Ongoing participation with West Midlands Paediatric Critical Care Network meeting, including
	£11,363				£11,363	representation at meetings and implementation of clinical protocols as agreed by the Network. Risk: expected to achieve Confirmed by NHS E achieved.
Sub totals	£37,878	0 <u>3</u>	£15,151	£0	£22,727	
GE3: Hospital						<u>GE3: Hospital Medicines Optimisation</u>
Medicines Optimisation						<u>Trigger1:</u> Adoption of best value generic/ biologic products in 90% of new patients within one quarter guidance being made available. Adoption of best value generic/ biologic products in 80% of applicable existing patients within one year
MD	£25,221	£6,305	£3,153	£3.153	£3.153	of being made available (except if standard treatment course is < 6 months <u>Risk:</u> Achieved
	-	20,305				Adoption of best value generic/ biologic products in 80% of applicable existing patients within one year of being made available (except if standard treatment course is < 6 months <b>Risk:</b> Achieved
-			£3,153	£3,153	£3,153	Trigger2: Improving drugs MDS data quality to include dm+d as drug code in line with ISB 0052 by Ju
						2017 or in line with agreed pharmacy system upgrade as well as all other mandatory fields All hospitals submit HCD data in agreed MDS format fully, accurately populated on a monthly basis and bottom line matches value for drugs on ACM
	010 000			00.400	00.400	Status Q4 expected to achieve.
-	£12,993			£6,496	£6,496	Trigger3: Increase use of cost effective dispensing routes for outpatient medicines:- Implementation
						agreed transition plan for increasing use of cost effective dispensing routes for outpatient medicines (plan to be developed by drug category to take into account patient population). Discussion between NHSE and Director of Pharmacy during January 2018 - Trust position on wholly-
	£25.221	£2.293			£22,928	owned subsidiary approved at WHT Quarterly CRM. Proposed financial arrangement (i.e. via WOS) provides greater long term benefit to NHSE compared to Homecare <u>Risk: Q1 achieved. Q4 awaiting NHS E decision</u>
						Trigger4: Improving data quality associated with outcome databases (SACT and IVIg) :- All hospitals submit required outcomes data (SACT, IVIg) in agreed format fully, accurately populated i agreed timescales. Implementation of agreed transition plan for increasing data quality.
						Status: plan to be approved. Require clarity from NHSE re: transition objectives. SACT plan to be agreed by service and submitted during Q3. <b>Risk: Q1 Q2 &amp; Q3 achieved</b> . Q3 IVIG supplementary information received showing 100% - achieved
	£12,993	£1,529	£1,911	£5,732	£3,821	SACT potential risk.
Sub totals WC5 Neonatal	£76,427	£10,127	£8,216	£18,533	£39,551	WC5 Neonatal Community Outreach
Community Outreach DoN						<u>Trigger1:</u> All units to present their 2016/17 average occupancy rates for their funded cots and patient flow data. National Definitions on discharge criteria for outreach care, to be developed by neonatal intensive care CRG. All Units to present to their ODNs their current discharge definitions and criteria fo
	£9,470		£9,470			outreach support. (ODNs will assess and analyse the difference between their current state definitions and criteria and the National Definitions for babies that fall into the criteria for outreach support.)
	£18,939			£18,939		<b>Trigger2</b> : Providers that have presented information to their ODNs outlining the number of babies that would have been discharged (linked to the new criteria) and the impact that this would have had on occupancy rates. To work with NICU to scope the additional support required to provide an outreach service in line with the National Definitions and discharge criteria. Plan adopted to create outreach units and target reduction in occupancy levels agreed. Status: Q3 submitted. Options appraisal submitted.
-	£18,939			£18,939		<b><u>Trigger3</u></b> : Providers (with support from ODNs) to recruit outreach teams to support all parts of the network to comply with national occupancy rate standards
	£9,470		60.470	648.000	£9,470	Q4 confirmed by NHS E achieved.
ub totals	£37,878 £152,183	<u>£0</u> £10,127	£9,470 £32,837	£18,939 £37,473	£9,470 £71,747	
IHS England – Public Jental	Health					
West Midlands Secondary Care Dental Contract		£17,481				An initial audit shall be completed by 30 June 2017 and a report of the audit prepared and available for discussion with NHSE by 21 July 2017 Status: Audit complete, summary report to be compiled.
coo	£34,962.00			-		Risk: Achieved confirmed NHS E. Subject to any issues being identified during the audit, a plan to be shared by the end of Quarter 2 to
	-				£17,481	address/correct these by 30 Sept 2017
Sub totals	£34,962.00	£17,481	£0	£0	£17,481 £17,481	Achieved confirmed NHS E.
otal Schemes	£2,929,648	£368,581	£759,417	£348,076	1,453,578	





# Glossary


# **KPI** Monitoring - Acronyms

#### Α

- ACP Advanced Clinical Practitioners
- AEC Ambulatory Emergency Care
- AHP Allied Health Professional
- Always Event® those aspects of the patient and family experience that should always occur when patients interact with healthcare professionals and the delivery system
- AMU Acute Medical Unit
- AP Annual Plan

#### в

- BCA Black Country Alliance
- BR Board Report

#### С

- CCG/WCCG Walsall Clinical Commissioning Group
- CGM Care Group Managers
- CHC Continuing Healthcare
- CIP Cost Improvement Plan
- COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- CPN Contract Performance Notice
- CQN Contract Query Notice
- CQR Clinical Quality Review
- CQUIN Commissioning for Quality and Innovation
- CSW Clinical Support Worker

#### D

- D&V Diarrhoea and Vomiting
- DDN Divisional Director of Nursing
- DoC Duty of Candour
- DQ Data Quality
- DQT Divisional Quality Team
- DST Decision Support Tool
- DWMHPT Dudley and Walsall Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust

#### Е

- EACU Emergency Ambulatory Care Unit
- ECIST Emergency Care Intensive Support Team
- ED Emergency Department
- EDS Electronic Discharge Summaries
- EPAU Early Pregnancy Assessment Unit
- ESR Electronic Staff Record
- EWS Early Warning Score

#### F

- FEP Frail Elderly Pathway
- FES Frail Elderly Service

#### G

- GAU Gynaecology Assessment Unit
- GP General Practitioner

#### н

- HALO Hospital Ambulance Liaison Officer
- HAT Hospital Acquired Thrombosis
- HCAI Healthcare Associated Infection
- HDU High Dependency Unit
- HED Healthcare Evaluation Data
- HofE Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust
- HR Human Resources
- HSCIC Health & Social Care Information Centre
- HSMR Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratio

#### 1

- ICS Intermediate Care Service
- ICT Intermediate Care Team
- IP Inpatient
- IST Intensive Support Team
- IT Information Technology
- ITU Intensive Care Unit
- IVM Interactive Voice Message

#### κ

KPI – Key Performance Indicator

#### L

- L&D Learning and Development
- LAC Looked After Children
- LCA Local Capping Applies
- LeDeR Learning Disabilities Mortality Review
- LiA Listening into Action
- LTS Long Term Sickness
- LoS Length of Stay

#### Μ

- MD Medical Director
- MDT Multi Disciplinary Team
- MFS Morse Fall Scale
- MHRA Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
- MLTC Medicine & Long Term Conditions
- MRSA Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus
- MSG Medicines Safety Group
- MSO Medication Safety Officer
- MST Medicines Safety Thermometer
- MUST Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool

# Becoming your partners for first class integrated care



BR May 2018 Trust Board v1 29/06/2018 Page 46

# **KPI** Monitoring - Acronyms

#### Ν

- NAIF National Audit of Inpatient Falls
- NCEPOD National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death
- NHS National Health Service
- NHSE NHS England
- NHSI NHS Improvement
- NHSIP NHS Improvement Plan
- NOF Neck of Femur
- NPSAS National Patient Safety Alerting System
- NTDA/TDA National Trust Development Authority

#### ο

- OD Organisational Development
- OH Occupational Health
- ORMIS Operating Room Management Information System

#### Ρ

- PE Patient Experience
- PEG Patient Experience Group
- PFIC Performance, Finance & Investment Committee
- PICO Problem, Intervention, Comparative Treatment, Outcome
- PTL Patient Tracking List
- PU Pressure Ulcers

#### R

- RAP Remedial Action Plan
- RATT Rapid Assessment Treatment Team
- RCA Root Cause Analysis
- RCN Royal College of Nursing
- RCP Royal College of Physicians
- RMC Risk Management Committee
- RTT Referral to Treatment
- RWT The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust

#### s

- SAFER Senior review All patients will have an expected discharge date Flow of patients - Early discharge – Review
- SAU Surgical Assessment Unit
- SDS Swift Discharge Suite
- SHMI Summary Hospital Mortality Indicator
- SINAP Stroke Improvement National Audit Programme
- SNAG Senior Nurse Advisory Group
- SRG Strategic Resilience Group
- SSU Short Stay Unit
- STP Sustainability and Transformation Plans
- STS Short Term Sickness
- SWBH Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust

т

- TACC Theatres and Critical Care
- T&O Trauma & Orthopaedics
- TCE Trust Clinical Executive
- TDA/NTDA Trust Development Authority
- TQE Trust Quality Executive
- TSC Trust Safety Committee
- TVN Tissue Viability Nurse
- TV Tissue Viability

#### U

- UCC Urgent Care Centre
- UCP Urgent Care Provider
- UHB University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust
- UTI Urinary Tract Infection

#### V

- VAF Vacancy Approval Form
- VIP Visual Infusion Phlebitis
- VTE Venous Thromboembolism

#### W

- WCCG/CCG Walsall Clinical Commissioning Group
- WCCSS Women's, Children's & Clinical Support Services
- WHT Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust
- WiC Walk in Centre
- WLI Waiting List Initiatives
- WMAS West Midlands Ambulance Service
- WTE Whole Time Equivalent





MEETING OF THE TRUST BO	ARD										
General Ultrasound (Non ol	bstetrics)		AGENDA ITEM: 16								
Report Author and Job Title:	Demetri Wade	e, Professional	Re	sponsible	Philip Thomas-Hands,						
	Lead for Imag	ing Services	Dir	ector:	Chi	ef Operating Officer					
Action Required	Approval	Decision	1	Assurance and	d Info	ormation					
	Х			To receive and		To receive					
				discuss							
Recommendation	Peformance and Finance Investment Committee recommend mer the Trust Board to: Approve option 6a - Install additional ultrasound scanner in OPDC associated workforce. Equipment acquired on a 5 year lease prog										
	<ul> <li>Reduction in clinical risk as detailed below</li> <li>Enables appropriate prioritisation of inpatients due to dedicated capacity increase to see this patient group alongside outpatient and GPs.</li> <li>Achieve inpatient KPI of 24 hour turnaround. Current average v is 38 hours, 23% patients are waiting more than 24 hours.</li> <li>Better management and increased prevention of acute kidney injury through early diagnosis and appropriate triage.</li> </ul>										
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	Risk 690 - Phi Ultrasound Ma resolution qua examinations. by Medical Ph rules and requ This risk will b Risk 176 - The retention of So a general and This risk will b the workforce	achine in Imagi lity, which com All equipment ysics QA as ur ires immediate e mitigated thr ere are signification obstetric ultras e mitigated by	ng ( ipro is c nsui e ac oug ant i hich sour incr n lir	dept and addition mises diagnosis oming to or past table for use, no tion to replace. h the additional issues surroundi will impact on the nd service.	nal U for fi t end t me instal ing es he at	onosite Micromax ISS in NNU of poor ine detail of life and identified eting current IPCC Il of new equipment. stablishment and bility to provide both					

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care

Safe, high quality care

Care at home

G.

150 Partners



Walsall Healthcare



**NHS** Trust

Resource implications	Costs:								
	<ul> <li>Additional staffing costs - £293k</li> <li>Non-pay costs - £63k including 5 year lease, equipment maintenance and consumables.</li> <li>Capital costs - £33k refurbishment required to bring additional room in to use.</li> <li>Additional replacement equipment following failed quality assurance testing and end of life cycle.</li> </ul>								
	Benefit:								
	<ul> <li>2567 bed day reduction (£612k). 1367 due to increased capacity reducing length of stay, 1200 due to preventable Acute Kidney Injury.</li> <li>Income based on additional activity with an assumed operating level of 105% (£100k). This will be delivered as greater sonographer capacity will increase productivity of scanning lists.</li> </ul>								
	Cost Avoidance:								
	<ul> <li>WLI spend reduction (£16k)</li> <li>Agency spend reduction (£50k)</li> </ul>								
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	Implementation of the business case will provide better access to ultrasound for all patient groups and ensure equality across the service.								
Operational Objectives 2018/19	Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programmeX								
	Develop the culture of the organisation to ensure X mature decision making and clinical leadership								
	Improve our financial health through our robust X improvement programme								
	Develop the clinical service strategy focused on X service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other Trusts								

**REF: W014** 

# **Business Case for:**

# General Ultrasound (Non obstetrics)

Version: 15

Prepared by:

Peter Cogings – Consultant Sonographer

Jo Lydon – Divisional Director of Clinical Support Services

Demetri Wade - Professional Lead for Imaging Services and Clinical Measurement Unit

Authorisation	Date	Next Step/Action
Business	22 May	
Development	2017	
Divisional	22 May	Amendments to be made – then forward to Executive
Committee	2017	Committee for approval
Divisional	1	Supported for progression
Amendments	December	
	2017	
Exec	30 January	High level agreement with amendments to benefit realisation
Committee	2018	in financial modelling
Exec		
Committee		
PFIC	27 June	Supported by the Committee to be taken forward to Trust
	2018	Board on Thursday 05 July 2018.
Board		
Other		



# 1: Describe the problem/opportunity (not the solution).

#### <u>Overview</u>

The non-obstetric ultrasound service provides support to outpatient, A&E and Inpatient care pathways, and is an integral diagnostic procedure for a large number of clinical specialities. GP direct access is also supported.

There are four issues that this business case aims to address:

- 1. Insufficient ultrasound capacity to meet the needs of service users, compromising quality of care provided and ability to achieve National targets.
- 2. Increased risk of repetitive strain injury placed on Sonographers and Radiologists who are undertaking excessive numbers of scans in order to attempt to mitigate the risk of insufficient capacity
- 3. Failure to perform ultrasound scans in accordance with NICE recommended times scales e.g. Acute Kidney Injury
- 4. Clinical quality and fitness for purpose of one of the ultrasound machines.

The core service is delivered in two separate locations;-

- 1. West Wing there are two scan rooms, one which accommodates outpatients and the other which should be for inpatients only.
- 2. Outpatient and Diagnostic Treatment Centre (OPDCC) there is one room which only accommodates outpatients.

Due to the increased demand and pressures on physical capacity there is a need for urgent and specialist outpatient ultrasound scans to be accommodated in the inpatient scan room, in order to avoid 18week and cancer breeches. In turn this reduces the availability of ultrasound for inpatients leading to excessive waiting times. In addition GP direct access referral to scan performance is poor. Additional capacity is also provided by the breast imaging machine which is not ideal with regard to its location.

It is well recognised that the risk of repetitive strain injury in Sonographers is great. The numbers of patients scanned in the three rooms, particularly the inpatient room, exceeds recommended numbers, compromising staff health and wellbeing as a result.

The mitigation is further compromised by the fact that one of the ultrasound scanners in West Wing is no longer suitable for fine detail examinations. The image quality is poor and there is a significant risk of misdiagnosis as a result. Therefore, such examinations have to be accommodated on the remaining scanners.

The modification detailed above has been in place for a long period of time in order to accommodate demand on the service without the need for investment. Work has taken place to review booking templates, appropriateness of scan requests and also to develop a Sonographer lead 7 day service in order to maximise the use of resources available. However, despite this over the last 12 months capacity issues have become more of an issue which has been exacerbated by concerns relating to clinical quality which have been identified via audit.

There is therefore a need to increase capacity for inpatient, outpatient, A&E and GP referrals for non-obstetric ultrasound so that performance can be improved and also protect the health and wellbeing of staff.

### National/local drivers:

The challenges faced are:-

- 1. The need to reduce length of stay and increase flow throughout the hospital.
  - Examinations are not always performed in a timely manner with only 75% of inpatient scans performed within 24hours (average April – August 17) extending length of stay
- 2. National waiting times
  - 18 Week Referral to Treatment on average (April August 17) 71% of outpatients have their ultrasound



#### scan performed within 4 weeks. 6 week breaches are only narrowly avoided.

3. National guidelines

For assessment of some acute conditions a maximum access time for non-obstetric ultrasound is stipulated. The service is failing to meet the target timelines on various pathways particularly Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) – failing 60% of patients with reference to the 24 hour target. This is reflected in risk 1117 on the Imaging Risk Register.

#### 4. Increasing demand

- Demand has increased resulting in reduced timeliness of scans being undertaken with compromised performance across all pathways, this is from the Trust and GPs
- Demand is predicted to be 44,000 examinations in 17/18 compared to 41,398 in 16/17 and 39,655 in 14/15
- The split in demand is approximately 19% in-patients, 42% outpatient, 1% AE and 38% GP referrals.
- It is assumed that 85% of demand converts to activity based on review of referrals (average over last 9 years)
- The shortfall in capacity is therefore 18,400 examinations per annum.
- This equates to 118 scanning hours per week or 3.6 WTE (including 18% relief for annual leave and sickness)
- 5. Risk of Occupational repetitive strain injury
  - In an effort to accommodate all of the above points, the health and safety of Sonographers / Radiologists is being compromised increasing the risk of occupational repetitive strain injury.
- 6. Image quality resolution of one existing machine
  - The quality of the inpatient scanner is poor and although within its accepted lifespan, the resolution and fine detail is below requirements resulting in some images produced being undiagnostic leading to compromised diagnosis.

As a result the non-obstetric ultrasound service provided is not meeting the needs of its patients or staff in terms of safety, quality and timeliness

#### Current status:

Current state in relation to the four issues that this business case aims to address is detailed below:-

Insufficient ultrasound capacity to meet the needs of service users, compromising quality of care provided and ability to achieve National targets.

Imaging has three Ultrasound machines used for non-obstetric ultrasound scanning.

Room 1 – Imaging A (main room) Room 2 – Imaging A (Annexe) Room 3– OPDCC

Only one of these examination rooms can accommodate inpatients in beds due to structural limitations. This reduces flexibility in relation to these patients. Some additional capacity is provided in the breast imaging unit.

In total the scanners give a capacity of 19,000 examinations per annum. The three main rooms routinely see activity over and above this level because the 20 minute examination time proposed by the Society of Radiographers is not met. The



actual activity in 2016/17 was 30,233 patients. This 60% over the acceptable capacity. This compares to demand of 41,000 examinations, which is estimated to be 44,000 in 2017/18. This gap between activity and demand is increasing resulting in a backlog and increasing patient waiting times which are currently 6 weeks. The graph below visually details this trend.



The table below details current performance for access to ultrasound from inpatient, outpatient and GP pathways.

LOCAL PERFORMANCE TARGET							
	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17
	373	415	373	383	378	342	357
In patient - 90% US performed within 24 hours of referral	80%	75%	69%	73%	76%	78%	75%
	701	804	816	777	822	701	851
Out patient - 90% US performed within 4 weeks of referral	72%	72%	69%	72%	71%	71%	72%
	203	223	284	250	237	189	213
GP - 90% US performed within 4 weeks of referral	23%	20%	27%	26%	22%	21%	22%

This performance is despite regular WLIs. There has been a minimum of 1 WLI per week since 2010. This has increased periodically. This equates to a minimum spend of £22,000 per annum. Option 6 would reduce the reliance on WLI as the additional consultant sonographer would reduce the need for radiologist support.

Risk 1117 on the imaging risk register relates to this capacity shortfall.

Increased risk of repetitive strain injury placed on Sonographers and Radiologists who are undertaking excessive numbers of scans in order to attempt to mitigate the risk of insufficient capacity

The duty of care that the Trust has towards its staff is being compromised by the volumes of work being undertaken by the team. The excessive numbers of scans the Sonographers / Radiologist perform puts them under an unreasonable and unsustainable pressure. This is not safe or acceptable nor is it sustainable, presenting a real and on-going risk to staff and patients. On a daily basis ultrasound scans are being performed well in excess of capacity placing patients and staff at risk.

It is well documented that scanning carries a risk of repetitive strain injury (RSI) involving neck/shoulder/wrist.

The Society of Radiographers and the British Society of Medical Ultrasound 2015 state in their Guidelines for Professional Ultrasound Practice that:



"A sonographer has a professional responsibility to ensure that the time allocated for an examination is sufficient for it to be carried out and reported on competently and for critical and urgent findings to be dealt with appropriately. This is vital for safe patient management."

The Society and College of Radiographers advise that a minimum of 20 minutes per examination is allocated. The capacity assumptions for this case are based on 20 minute appointments. As stated above the ultrasound team are regularly underperforming against this guideline. This is reflected on the imaging risk register – risk 197.

The risk of injury to staff may lead to limitations of what the present service can provide and significant risk of compensation claims. To date no reports of musculo-skeletal injury are reported by members of the imaging team, but this is increasingly likely if the current pattern of working continues.

Brown and Baker (2004) found that 20% of Sonographers reportedly left the profession due to persistent discomfort.

The Society of Radiographers recently published a civil case where an ex-sonographer was awarded almost £230K for her career ending with repetitive strain injury.

A review of literature has revealed the following pertinent points:-

- Of the total population surveyed, an average of 84% experienced pain or discomfort since starting work as a sonographer
- The average length of time a sonographer is in the profession before experiencing pain is 5 years
- The current career ending injury rate in the profession is 20% and more than 73% of workers compensation claims for sonographers are accepted

In order to mitigate these risks as far as reasonably practicable sonographers are referred to occupational health for assessment on an annual basis. Varied booking of scans and rotation of staff is carried out to avoid repetition of the same type of scans. By varying work undertaken within a session, the risk of RSI injury is minimised.

#### **Benchmarking**

Imaging took part in National benchmarking in relation to 2016/2017 out turn position, allowing comparison with peers. Unfortunately this report does not allow for comparison of ultrasound.

Local comparison with the peer group would indicate that Walsall Healthcare is an outlier in terms of activity performed relative to capacity. New Cross, Stoke, Cannock and Burton all state that appointment times of 20-40minutes are rigidly adhered to and that no over booking of lists is permitted.

#### Failure to perform ultrasound scans in accordance with NICE recommended times scales e.g. Acute Kidney Injury

NICE guidelines stipulate an ultrasound scan for Acute Kidney Injury should be performed within 24hrs from admission.

NICE state that AKI is common, causes considerable harm, is financially costly but its course and development is modifiable. It is associated with many conditions and has proven statistical significance in increased mortality, length of stay and re-admission rates. It is therefore considered that improved management of these cases with earlier scanning (within 24 hours of hospital admission).

An audit was performed in September 2017 to assess performance against this standard. The performance below references the period time of request to time of scan. The limitation of the audit was that time of admission was not known. However it can be seen that although numbers are small access to ultrasound is inadequate and NICE guidelines are not being met.



April August 2017	April	May	June	July	August	Ave
Average wait for USS in hrs	26hrs	30hrs	28hrs	23hrs	22hrs	25.8
Patients with AKI	48	30	56	57	23	42.8
Patients scanned <24hrs	31	12	22	33	13	22.2
Patients scanned >24hrs	17	18	34	24	10	20.6

This will be leading to delayed diagnosis of renal tract obstruction and in identifying patients with AKI, leading to increased length of stay. Nationally patients with AKI stay in hospital for 5 days longer, on average than those without. Indications are that 20% of all admissions go on to have AKI and of these one third are preventable if diagnosed early. This can only be carried out with additional capacity.

Clinical quality and fitness for purpose of one of the ultrasound machines.

The equipment in room 1 (inpatient ultrasound room) has poor resolution quality, which compromises diagnosis for fine detail examinations. This is reflected in risk 690 on the Imaging risk register. As of May 2017 it is not being used for fine detail imaging. These patients are being accommodated in other rooms, creating backlog and extended waiting times.

# 2: Proposed Solution and Strategic Link

Tick as appropriate: Safe/Quality Care? ⊠ Care at Home? □ Partners? □ Value Colleagues? ⊠ Resources? ⊠

Option 6 is the preferred option - Install a further 2 new scanners with associated workforce and refurbishment of clinical area.

A sonographer training programme has successfully developed new staff over the past two years and recruitment of qualified staff has proved positive with vacancies being filled. Therefore recruitment of the additional workforce identified is considered to be achievable. If posts were not filled substantively, limited agency staff would be utilised in order to maintain services, however this would be operated within budget.

Option 6a in the detailed costing provides optimal service continuity and removes the requirement of up front capital costs for equipment.

# 3: Options Considered (preferably a minimum of three including "do nothing" and "do the minimum").

It is considered that the issues outlined above could be addressed by either capping activity or increasing capacity. Each option considers each risk with partial and full mitigation options.

Option 1- Do nothing



Option 2 – Maintain capacity and cap outpatient and GP activity with no replacement of equipment

Option 3 - Replace existing ultrasound scanner, maintain current capacity with no cap on activity

Option 4 - Replace existing equipment and cap outpatient and GP activity

**Option 5** – Install additional ultrasound scanner in OPDCC, with associated workforce and partial cap on outpatient and GP activity.

**Option 6** - Install a further 2 new scanners with associated workforce and refurbishment of clinical area.

**Option 7** - Install additional ultrasound scanner in OPDCC with associated workforce with no cap on activity.

Option 6 is the preferred option.

# **Option 1- Do nothing**

Benefit:- None

<u>Risk</u>:- The outlined risks remain- this option does not address any of the risks or issues identified.

<u>Financial impact</u>:- Potential risk of personal injury claim from staff in the event of injury. Risk of diagnostic breaches and negative impact on length of stay.

This is not a viable option and is not supported.

### Option 2 - Maintain capacity and cap outpatient and GP activity with no replacement of equipment

<u>Benefit</u>:-This option would see no change to capacity but a capping of outpatient and GP activity to ensure that scans were being performed appropriately for both patients and staff. Both outpatient and GP activity would be reduced to bring total activity to 19,000 examinations, a level which could be accommodated safely within the capacity available. This would be maintained whilst capacity remained constant.

No investment in equipment or workforce would be required.

<u>Risk</u>:-This option addresses the risk associated with insufficient capacity for demand and the risk of injury placed on staff. It ensures a better service to those that fall within the cap. It does not address the quality concerns relating to the existing ultrasound machine. Furthermore it creates a financial risk for the Trust due to the loss of income. It places a risk on outpatient and direct access pathways and an alternative ultrasound service would need to be sourced, meaning that patients outside of the cap receive a different service.

<u>Financial Impact</u>:- There would be an associated reduction in outpatient unbundled tariff and GP direct access income relating to 11,000 examinations per annum, equivalent to £535m

### Option 3 - Replace existing ultrasound scanner, maintain current capacity with no cap on activity

<u>Benefit</u>- This option would see a replacement of the ultrasound scanner which is of poor quality in room 1, Imaging A. This would address the quality issue of poor resolution referenced in risk 690. It would not address the issues



associates with capacity.

<u>Risk</u>;- With no cap on activity the risks to patients and staff would still remain and current poor performance would remain.

<u>Financial Impact</u>- Cost of replacement ultrasound scanner (Lease or capital purchase). Potential risk of personal injury claim from staff in the event of injury. Risk of diagnostic breeches and negative impact on length of stay.

This is not a viable option and is not supported.

### Option 4 - Replace existing equipment and cap outpatient and GP activity

<u>Benefit</u>:- This option would see the delivery of a safe service. The equipment in room 1 would be replaced but no additional capacity would be provided. In order to ensure the safety of patients and staff was promoted, outpatient and GP activity would be capped and reduced by 19,00 examinations per annum.

<u>Risk</u>:- This option addresses the risk associated with insufficient capacity for demand and the risk of injury placed on staff. It ensures a better service to those that fall within the cap. It also addresses the quality concerns relating to the existing ultrasound machine. Furthermore it creates a financial risk for the Trust due to the loss of income. It places a risk on outpatient and direct access pathways and an alternative ultrasound service would need to be sourced, meaning that patients outside of the cap receive a different service.

<u>Financial Impact</u>:- Cost of replacement ultrasound scanner (Lease or capital purchase) plus there would be an associated reduction in outpatient unbundled tariff and GP direct access income relating to 11,000 examinations per annum.

# Option 5 – Install additional ultrasound scanner in OPDCC, with associated workforce and partial cap on outpatient and GP activity.

#### Option 6 - Install a further 2 new scanners with associated workforce and refurbishment of clinical area.

<u>Benefit</u>:- 2 new ultrasound scanners would be purchased (Lease or capital purchase). A scanner would be purchased and placed in an empty room in OPDCC. A second scanner would be placed in a refurbished room in Imaging A. This would have the benefit of creating 2 new rooms of capacity.

The refurbished room would become a large inpatient examination room, close by the inpatient waiting area. Whilst the existing inpatient room would become an outpatient facility, running back to back with the existing imaging A outpatient room. Another room would offer outpatient and GP capacity in OPDCC. Separation of inpatient and outpatient capacity will improve productivity by allowing greater activity throughput for direct access and outpatients.

Capacity would increase by a further 6000 examinations taking capacity to 31,000 examinations.

The increased capacity would allow all in patient ultrasound examinations to be performed within 24hours. In regard to inpatient demand, 72% converts to activity of which 23% is currently not performed within 24 hours. It is assumed that if this 23% had a length of stay reduction of 1 day it would release capacity of 1,367 bed days.

Furthermore, the additional capacity would allow an improved service for those patients with or with suspected AKI. Of the 600 AKI referrals currently received per annum, only 50% are seen within 24 hours. This could be increased to 100% meaning that a further 300 patients could be seen sooner, and 100 of these could have their AKI avoided.

The Consultant Sonographers will work in conjunction with colleagues across other specialities to ensure a robust pathway is in place to identify and scan patients presenting with indicative symptoms. This will form the basis of scanning straight from ED to avoid admission.

For the purpose of this case it is assumed that a further 600 per annum will be identified (this is conservative), and therefore a further 200 of these cases will be preventable and will have their length of stay reduced by 4 days.



The total length of stay reduction therefore would be 2,567, a financial saving of £612k. <u>Risk</u> – All risks mitigated. No loss of income and quality / safety would immediately improve.

<u>Financial Implications</u>:- 2 x Ultrasound scanners, room refurbishment costs, 1 x Band 8a, 2.6 x Band 7 Sonographers, 3.6 x Band 2 Clinical Support Workers. The 8a Sonographer will be a Consultant Practitioner, instead of a Consultant Radiologist, undertaking more complex examinations and future proofing the service.

# Option 7 - Install additional ultrasound scanner in OPDCC, with associated workforce and no cap on outpatient and GP activity.

<u>Benefit</u>:- This option sees an additional new ultrasound scanner installed to accommodate outpatient and GP patients. This increases capacity and addresses the quality issues with the imaging A scanner. Capacity would increase by 6000 appointments making capacity 25,000. This is still insufficient for current levels of demand. This represents a capacity increase of 24%. Assuming the same percentage reduction in inpatient waiting times and that this would reduce length of stay of those inpatients by up to 50%, approximately 1100 bed days would be released – a financial saving of £262k.

<u>Risk</u>:-There would be a no cap on activity meaning that there would be an expectation that over 30,000 examinations would be performed, nearly 30% above capacity. This would result in appointment times of approximately 16minutes. This would increase the risk to staff of repetitive strain injury and also provide a reduced quality service to patients, potentially leading to mis-diagnosis. However, it is noted that the quality of service for patients and staff would be improved from the current position. This option does not address the issue of demand exceeding capacity and further future investment would be required as a second phase to address risk.

<u>Financial Impact-</u>Cost of additional ultrasound scanner (Lease or capital purchase). 1.8 x Band 7 Sonographer and 1.8 x Band 2 Clinical Support Worker to staff the additional room. This would provide an additional 54.5 hours of capacity per week. Current income levels would be maintained.



#### 5: Finances.

Detailed financial modelling of each option can be found in the appendices of this paper. The below table shows a summary of the financial comparison of the options.

	1	2	2 Option 3				Option 4			Option 5			Option 6			Option 7		
Financial Impact £000	Status Quo	Cap Activity to	Replace Equipment but no cap on activity			Replace equipment and cap at 19,000			Increase capacity with additional machine cap at capacity			Increase capacity with two additional machines			As per option 5 but no cap imposed on activity			
	200	19,000	5 yr Iease	7 yr lease	Cap Purch	5 yr Iease		Cap Purch	5 yr lease	7 yr lease	Cap Purch					7 yr Iease	Capital Purch	
Staffing Costs	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	142	142	142	293	293	293	142	142	142	
Non Pay Costs	0	0	14	11	11	14	11	11	32	29	29	63	58	58	32	29	29	
Income	0	535	0	0	0	535	535	535	255	255	255	-612	-612	-612	-262	-262	-262	
Total Recurrent Impact	0	535	14	11	11	549	547	547	429	426	426	-256	-261	-261	-89	-92	-92	
Non Recurrent (Inc Capital)	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	3	3	83	33	33	194	3	3	83	
Replacement Equipment - see appendix 4 based on 5 year lease inc. maintenance	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	

This is recurrent yearly spend and non-recurrent in year 1.

Staffing costs take into account the additional sonography and clinical support staff to operate additional ultrasound machine(s) as well as estates support for bringing additional rooms into use.

Non pay costs include equipment lease/depreciation and maintenance costs as well as an assumption of a small increase in consumable usage.

The income impact varies between options. Options 2, 4 and 5 assume activity is capped at capacity (either 19,000 or 25,000 scans). Options 1, 3 and 7 assume that current levels of activity remain constant regardless of capacity; however these options do not meet the Society and College of Radiographer guidance on examination times.

Option 6 shows an income benefit due to the additional capacity being sufficient to meet demand which would allow all inpatient scans to be completed within the target 24hours. As previously mentioned 23% scans do not currently meet this target – if these patients' length of stay were to reduce by 1 day this would release 1,367 bed days.

As mentioned earlier there is a further length of stay benefit for patients with Acute Kidney Injury. There are currently approx. 600 referrals per year for AKI of which only half are scanned with 24 hours. If the remaining half could be scanned within 24 hours roughly one third of cases would be preventable. In addition to this the increased ultrasound capacity would allow a more proactive approach to managing AKI as twice as many patients could be scanned leading to a further 200 preventable cases of AKI. Based on length of stay reduction of 4 days this would release a further 1,200 bed days.

The total length of stay reduction would therefore be 2,567, a financial saving of £612k.

Option 7 increases capacity by 24%, assuming the same percentage reduction in inpatient waiting times and that this would reduce length of stay of those inpatients by up to 50%, approximately 1100 bed days would be released – a financial saving of  $\pounds$ 262k.

Following a recent QA assessment all of the existing ultrasound equipment has been deemed not fit-for-purpose and will



need to be replaced, see Appendix 4 for details. The cost of replacing this equipment under a 5 year lease has been included in the table above, this is inclusive of maintenance costs. If these were to be replaced on capital purchase the cost would be approx. £558k with annual maintenance of approx. £79k.

Income based on additional activity with an assumed operating level of 105% (£100k). This will be delivered as greater sonographer capacity will increase productivity of scanning lists.

# 6: Implementation Plan.

Action	Responsibility	V	Anticipated Completion Date	7			
Set up working group for implementation		s/Julie Hannon	November 2017	-			
Refurbish Room Imaging A	Jane Longde	n	Q3 - 4				
Recruitment of Portering and cleaning Services	Jane Longde		Q3 - 4				
Procurement/leasing : Equipment	David Smith		Q3 - 4				
Staffing : Recruitment	Julie Hannon	Peter Cogings	Q3 - 4	]			
Review of benefits realisation - annually	Peter Coging	s/Julie Hannon	September 2019				
				_			
7: Risks and Mitigations.		Γ					
Unable to recruit to posts		Students in training are due to qualify imminently. It the service is operating to appropriate standards and reasonable capacity, it is quite likely that staff who have recently left the service may be willing to return.					
Risks to staff/patient wellbeing du replacement programme	ring	The activity will be reduced to match capacity; where necessary activity will be outsourced to partner organisations. Risks will be monitored at DQC.					
Inability to meet RTT targets		The staffing and equipment resource will provide safe capacity to meet the required levels of activity, with little or no need for WLIs and the use of agency/bank staff.					



## 8: Benefits Realisation

Benefit	Measurement and Controls
Improved patient experience	Patient surveys, complaints and comments as reported through
	Divisional Quality Committee.
	Downgrade or closure of risk on Risk Register
Improved staff morale	Staff survey (national, Trust and service surveys). Absence
	reports, IPDRs. Complaints and compliments received.
Waiting lists will be reduced	CRIS will be used to match activity and capacity.
0	Patient feedback will be reported at DQC
	Achievement against RTT targets
	Reduction costs of WLIs as reported by divisional finance team.
Improvement in patient flow	Improvement in request to exam times. Reduction in length of
	stay as monitored by Performance Team/divisional dashboard.
Better use of resources	Refurbishing the empty room will provide a better patient
	experience (no mix of inpatient and outpatients), evidenced
	through comments/complaints, surveys. In addition we will be
	able to make limited use of existing equipment for specific and
	agreed inpatient activity in support of urgent diagnosis - the
	impact will be measured through divisional dashboard - request to
	exam time, RTT and patient outcomes.
Financial Impact	Reduction in Agency Staffing. (2015-16 £300k) – as reported via
	HR and divisional dashboard.
	Reduction in Consultant WLIs due to diagnostic backlogs –
	reported by Trust Performance Team and reviewed at Quality
	Committee
	Reduction in Sonographer Bank costs : as reported by HR and
	divisional dashboard.
	Reduction in the cost of repeat examinations having to be made
	due to poor quality of diagnostics - evidenced via consultant and
	staff feedback/surveys, patient comments and complaints and
	reviewed at Divisional Quality Committee.

#### 9: Impact on other services

Refer to Section 2.9 of the Guidance: Indicate which of the support services you have spoken to. .Briefly describe the impact of this option on support services, confirm who you have spoken to and that they agree with your assessment of the impact on their services.

Estates:  $\square$  Clinical support services:  $\square$  IM&T:  $\square$  Procurement:  $\square$  Corporate services (e.g. HR please specify)

We have worked closely with our colleagues in Estates and procurement and linked to IM&T to ensure we have taken into account all of their considerations.

Estates have previously looked at making unused room in imaging A fit for use as an ultrasound room. IT and PACs already installed though we need to check if live. Need PC and 'phone. Procurement support the leasing option of equipment.

# 10: Recommendations:

Refer to section 2.10 of the Guidance: Clarify what are you asking the approving committee to do ?

The Committee is asked to CONSIDER & APPROVE Option 6



To be completed and attached to any procedural document when submitted to the appropriate committee for consideration and approval.

# Service Overview & Improvement Action Plan: Equality Analysis Form

Title: Business Case for: General Ultrasound equipment	What are the intended outcomes of this work?
and staffing.	To meet the safety and quality standards advocated by
	national bodies, and in Trust strategic objectives
Who will be affected? Service Users	Evidence: Patient Feedback

ANALYSIS SUMMARY: considering the above evidence, please summarise the impact of the work based on the									
Public Sector equality duty outco	omes against the 9 Protected cl	paracteristics							
Public									
Sector									
Duty									
Protected Characteristics									
(highlight as appropriate									
AGE / DISABILITY/	Patients with mobility								
RACE	issues or in wheelchairs, will								
	be able to access the								
	appropriate diagnostic room								
SEX (Gender)/									
GENDER REASSIGNMENT									
RELIGION or BELIEF/									
SEXUAL ORIENTATION									
PREGNANCY & MATERNITY									
MARRIAGE & CIVIL									
PARTNERSHIP									
What is the overall impact?									

Name of person completing analysis	Peter Cogings	Date Completed	1 June 2016
Name of responsible Director	Russell Caldicott		
Signature			



# Appendix 1 – Financial analysis

Financial Metrics Summary - Evaluated over 7 year period

	<b>Option 1</b> - Status Quo	Option 2 - Cap activity at current capacity	Option 3 -	Replace 1 U	S Machine	Option 4 - Replace 1 US Machine and Cap to capacity Partial Cap on Activity			and Reutili US Mach	Replace 1 U se, Purchase ine to further Inc Additiona	additional increase	<b>Option 7</b> - Replace 1 US Machine and Reutilise, Purchase additional US Machine to further increase capacity (Inc Additional Staffing) No Cap					
	Does not addressAddress es capacity orAddresses es but does not address capacity issue																
nts	equipme nt safety issues	not equipme nt safety	7yr Lease	5yr Lease	Cap Purch	7yr Lease	5yr Lease	Cap Purch	7yr Lease	5yr Lease	Cap Purch	7yr Lease	5yr Lease	Cap Purch	7yr Lease	5yr Lease	Cap Purch
Net Cash Flow	£00	(£3,748)	(£43)	(£54)	(£89)	(£3,790)	(£3,802)	(£3,837)	(£2,972)	(£2,990)	(£2,972)	(£2,475)	(£2,497)	(£2,475)	(£1,189)	(£1,207)	(£1,188)
NPV at 3.5%	£00	(£3,274)	(£37)	(£48)	(£84)	(£3,310)	(£3,321)	(£3,357)	(£2,595)	(£2,612)	(£2,605)	(£2,165)	(£2,185)	(£2,185)	(£1,038)	(£1,054)	(£1,048)
<b>Total Recurrent Benefits</b>	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£4,286	£4,286	£4,286	£1,836	£1,836	£1,836
Total Recurrent Costs	£00	(£3,748)	(£47)	(£59)	(£47)	(£3,795)	(£3,807)	(£3,795)	(£2,982)	(£3,000)	(£2,981)	(£2,457)	(£2,479)	(£2,457)	(£1,195)	(£1,214)	(£1,195)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	£00	(£3,748)	(£47)	(£59)	(£47)	(£3,795)	(£3,807)	(£3,795)	(£2,982)	(£3,000)	(£2,981)	£1,829	£1,807	£1,829	£641	£623	£641
Total Non-Recurrent Benefit	£00	£00	£05	£05	£05	£05	£05	£05	£10	£10	£10	£15	£15	£15	£10	£10	£10
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	£00	(£1)	(£1)	(£1)	(£3)	(£3)	(£3)	(£3)	(£3)	(£3)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	£00	£00	£05	£05	£05	£05	£05	£05	£09	£09	£09	£12	£12	£12	£07	£07	£07
Capital Costs	£00	£00	£00	(£12)	(£47)	£00	(£12)	(£47)	£00	(£20)	(£80)	(£30)	(£70)	(£191)	£00	(£20)	(£80)

£ in £1,000s

Numbers in parenthesis are negative numbers

# Appendix 2 – Detailed Costings

# Option 2 – Status Quo

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction									0.0
Non Pay cost reduction									0.0
									0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Directorate Costs									0.0
Imaging Staff Maintenance									0.0 0.0
Mantonalioo									0.0
									0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates									
Estates Staff Depreciation (lease)									0.0 0.0
Interest (lease)									0.0
Income Loss		(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(3747.8)
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(3747.8)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(3747.8)
					. ,				
Non-Recurrent Income (+ve) Warranty									0.0
Warranty									0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Warranty	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch									0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch									0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve,	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia Benefits and Gains	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 <sup>*</sup> 0.0 0.0 (535.4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia Benefits and Gains Costs	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0 0.0 ation) 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia Benefits and Gains Costs NET Cumulative Net	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 ° 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia Benefits and Gains Costs NET	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve, 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 ° 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) 0.0 (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (535.4) (535.4) (535.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0



#### **Option 3a – Replace Equipment, no cap on activity – 5 year lease**

<u> Option 3a – Replace Equipm</u>									
£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction									0.0 0.0
,									0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Directorate Costs Imaging Staff									0.0
Maintenance		(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(32.4
									0.0 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates Estates Staff									0.0
Depreciation (lease)		(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(5.9)	(5.9)	(45.3
Interest (lease)	ſ	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)			(13.6
Income Loss									0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(91.3
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(91.3
Non-Recurrent Income (+ve)									
Warranty		4.6							4.6
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)									
Ultrasound Couch									0.0 0.0
									0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				_					0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
<i>Capital Costs (include non-recovera</i> Refurbishment	ble VAT) (-ve	e)							0.0
Equipment							(11.8)		(11.8
									0.0
									0.0
Total Capital Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(11.8)	0.0	(11.8
Total Costs	0.0	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(14.1)	(22.3)	(10.5)	(103.0)
CASH IMPACT									
Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET	0.0	(14.1) <b>(9.4)</b>	(14.1) (14.1)	(14.1) (14.1)	(14.1) (14.1)	(14.1) (14.1)	(16.4) <b>(16.4)</b>	(4.6) (4.6)	(91.3 <b>(86.6</b>
Cumulative Net	0.0	(9.4)	(14.1)	(37.5)	(51.6)	(65.6)	(82.0)	(86.6)	(86.6
NPV									
At 3.5%	0.0	(9.1)	(13.1)	(12.7)	(12.2)	(11.8)	(13.3)	(3.6)	(75.9)



#### **Option 3b - Replace Equipment, no cap on activity – 7 year lease**

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve) Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction									0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs Imaging Staff Maintenance		(4.6) <b>*</b>	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	0.0 (32.4 0.0 0.0
<b>Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)</b> Support directorates Estates Staff Depreciation (lease) nterest (lease)		(6.7) (0.0)	(6.7) (0.0)	(6.7) (0.0)	(6.7) (0.0)	(6.7) (0.0)		(6.7) (0.0)	0.0 (47.0 (0.1
ncome Loss	0.0	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)*	0.( (79.5
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(79.5
<b>Von-Recurrent Income (+ve)</b> Narranty	1	4.6							4.6
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
<b>Von-Recurrent costs (-ve)</b> Jltrasound Couch									0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
<b>Capital Costs (include non-recoveral</b> Refurbishment Equipment	ble VAT) (-v	e)							0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Capital Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Costs	0.0	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(11.4)	(79.
CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains Costs (Inc Depn - lease) IET	0.0 0.0 <b>0.0</b>	4.6 (11.4) (6.7)	0.0 (11.4) <b>(11.4)</b> (18.1)	0.0 (11.4) (11.4) (29.5)	0.0 (11.4) (11.4) (40.8)	0.0 (11.4) (11.4) (52.2)	0.0 (11.4) (11.4) (63.5)	0.0 (11.4) (11.4)	4. (79.) <b>(74.</b> )
Cumulative Net NPV At 3.5%	0.0	(6.7)	(18.1)	(29.5)	(40.8)	(52.2)	(63.5)	(74.9)	(74.) (65.)
		IC E)	(10 6)	(10.2)	(0,0)	(9.6)	(9.2)	(8 0)	165



# Option 3c - Replace Equipment, no cap on activity – Capital Purchase

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction									0.0 0.0
Norr by cost reduction									0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs									
Imaging Staff			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		( , n F	( , n <b>F</b>		<i>( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (</i>	0.0
Maintenance		(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(32.4) 0.0
									0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates Estates Staff		_	_	_	_	_			0.0
Depreciation Interest		(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(47.0) 0.0
Income Loss									0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(79.4)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(11.3)	(79.4)
Non-Recurrent Income (+ve)									
Warranty		4.6							4.6
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)									
Ultrasound Couch									0.0
									0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	0.0 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 4.6
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera	0.0	4.6							
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	0.0 able VAT) (-v	4.6							4.6 0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera	0.0	4.6							4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0)	4.6 e)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment	0.0 able VAT) (-v	4.6							4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0)	4.6 e)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0)	4.6 e) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 0.0 (47.0)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci Benefits and Gains	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) ation) 0.0	4.6 e) 0.0 (11.3) 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 (47.0) (126.4) 4.6
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) ation)	4.6 e) 0.0 (11.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 (47.0) (47.0) (126.4)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci Benefits and Gains Costs (Excl Depreciation)	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) ation) 0.0 (47.0)	4.6 e) 0.0 (11.3) 4.6 (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3)	0.0 * 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3)	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 (47.0) (126.4) 4.6 (126.4)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment         Total Science       Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs       Total Costs         CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci Benefits and Gains Costs (Excl Depreciation)       NET         Cumulative Net       NPV	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) ation) 0.0 (47.0) (47.0) (47.0)	4.6 e) 0.0 (11.3) 4.6 (11.3) (6.7) (53.7)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (65.1)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (76.4)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (87.7)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (99.1)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (110.4)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (11.3) (121.8)	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 (47.0) (126.4) (126.4) (121.8) (121.8)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci Benefits and Gains Costs (Excl Depreciation) NET Cumulative Net	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) ation) 0.0 (47.0) (47.0)	4.6 e) 0.0 (11.3) 4.6 (11.3) (6.7)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3)	0.0 ° 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3)	0.0 * 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3)	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 (47.0) (126.4) (126.4) (121.8)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment         Total Schwarz (Structure Costs)       Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs       CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci Benefits and Gains Costs (Excl Depreciation)         NET       Cumulative Net         NPV       NPV	0.0 able VAT) (-v (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) (47.0) (47.0)	4.6 e) 0.0 (11.3) 4.6 (11.3) (6.7) (53.7) (6.5)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (65.1) (10.6)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (76.4)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (11.3) (87.7) (9.9)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (99.1)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (11.3) (110.4) (9.2)	0.0 0.0 (11.3) (11.3) (11.3) (121.8)	4.6 0.0 (47.0) 0.0 (47.0) (126.4) (126.4) (121.8) (121.8)

### Option 4a – Replace equipment and cap activity – 5 year lease

	ent and o	-							
£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction									0.0 0.0
									0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Directorate Costs Imaging Staff									0.0
Maintenance		(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(32.4)
									0.0 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates									
Estates Staff Depreciation (lease)		(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(5.9)	(5.9)	0.0 (45.3)
Interest (lease)		(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(13.6)
Income Loss		(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(3747.8)
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(549.5)	(549.5)	(549.5)	(549.5)	(549.5)	(545.9)	(545.9)	(3839.1)
Not Do cumo at Contollo a ofito	0.0			• •					
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(549.5)	(549.5)	(549.5)	(549.5)	(549.5)	(545.9)	(545.9)	(3839.1)
Non-Recurrent Income (+ve) Warranty		4.6							4.6
Wairanty		4.0							4.0
Total Non-Recurrent Income									
	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 *	0.0	
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0 *	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0
<i>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)</i> Ultrasound Couch									0.0 0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverate Refurbishment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoverated	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverate Refurbishment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverate Refurbishment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoverate Refurbishment Equipment	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve	0.0 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoverate Refurbishment Equipment	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve	0.0 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverate Refurbishment Equipment         Equipment         Total Capital Costs	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve 0.0	0.0 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 • 0.0 • (11.8) (11.8)	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 0.0 (11.8) (11.8)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         Capital Costs         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve 0.0 0.0	0.0 4.6 2) 0.0 (549.5) 4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 • 0.0 • 0.0 • 0.0 (549.5)	0.0 • 0.0 • (11.8) (11.8) (557.7) 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) (3850.8) 4.6
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         Capital Costs         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Capital Costs         Costs	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve 0.0	0.0 4.6 2) 0.0 (549.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5)	0.0 • 0.0 • (11.8) (11.8) (557.7)	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) (3850.8)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment         Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve 0.0 0.0	0.0 4.6 2) 0.0 (549.5) 4.6 (549.5)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5)	0.0 • 0.0 • (11.8) (11.8) (557.7) 0.0 (551.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (545.9)	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) (3850.8) (3850.8) 4.6 (3839.1)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         Capital Costs (include non-recoverated Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Costs         CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)         NET	0.0 0.0 ble VAT) (-ve 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 4.6 2) 0.0 (549.5) 4.6 (549.5) (544.8)	0.0 0.0 (549.5) (549.5) (549.5)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5) (549.5) (549.5)	0.0 0.0 (549.5) (549.5) (549.5)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (549.5) 0.0 (549.5) (549.5)	0.0 • 0.0 • (11.8) (11.8) (557.7) 0.0 (551.8) (551.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (545.9) (540.0) (540.0)	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) 0.0 (11.8) (3850.8) (3850.8) (3850.8) (3830.1) (3834.5)



#### **Option 4b – Replace equipment and cap activity – 7 year lease**

FinAncial EBNEFITS / GAINS         0 </th <th><u>Option 4b – Replace equipm</u></th> <th>ent and c</th> <th>ap activit</th> <th><u>y – 7 yea</u></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	<u>Option 4b – Replace equipm</u>	ent and c	ap activit	<u>y – 7 yea</u>						
Recurrent Banefits (vo) Non Pay cost reduction         0<	£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
Length of Sity reduction         0.0 <td>FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Non Pay cost reduction         0.0	Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits         0.0 <td>Non Pay cost reduction</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Non Pay cost reduction									
Total Recurrent Benefits         0.0 <td></td>										
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)           Recurrent Costs (ve)           Directorate Costs           Maintenance           (4.6)         (4	Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Recurrent Costs (-ve)         One Costs         (4.6)         (4		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Directorate Costs Maintenance (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (4.6) (0.0 (3.2 4) (3.2 4) (										
Maintenance       (4.6)	Directorate Costs									
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)         0.0         0.0           Support directorates         6.7         (6.7)	Imaging Staff Maintenance		(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	0.0 (32.4)
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)         Support directorates         0.0	Mantenance		(4.0)	(4.0)	(4.0)	(4.0)	(4.0)	(4.0)	(4.0)	0.0
Support directorates       0.0         Estates Staff       0.0         Oppeciation (lease)       (6.7)										0.0
Depreciation (lease)         (6.7) </td <td>Other Recurrent Costs (-ve) Support directorates</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Other Recurrent Costs (-ve) Support directorates									
Interest (lease)       (0,0) <td>Estates Staff</td> <td></td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>(6.7)</td> <td>0.0</td>	Estates Staff		(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	0.0
Income Loss       (535.4)       (546.8) <td> ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(47.0) (0.1)</td>	,									(47.0) (0.1)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits         0.0         (546.8)         (546.8	Income Loss									(3747.8)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits         0.0         (546.8)         (546.8	Total Beautrant Costa	0.0	(EAC 0)	(E46.9)	(EAC 9)	(EAC 9)	(E4C 9)	(546.9)		(2027.2)
Non-Recurrent Income (+ve)         Marranty         4.6         4.6           Total Non-Recurrent Income         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         4.6           Non-Recurrent lncome         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         4.6           Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         0.0		0.0								
Warranty       4.6       4.6         Total Non-Recurrent Income       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)       Ultrasound Couch       0.0	Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(3827.3)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         0.0 <td>Non-Recurrent Income (+ve) Warranty</td> <td></td> <td>4.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4.6</td>	Non-Recurrent Income (+ve) Warranty		4.6							4.6
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         0.0 <td>Total Non-Recurrent Income</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>4.6</td>	Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Ultrasound Couch         0.0           Total Non-Recurrent Costs         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         0.0         4.6         0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Non-Recurrent Costs         0.0 <td>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>	Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch									0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs         0.0         4.6         0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>										0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         4.6           Capital Costs (include non-recoverable VAT) (-ve)         Refurbishment         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0										0.0
Capital Costs (include non-recoverable VAT) (-ve)           Refurbishment         0.0           Equipment         0.0           Total Capital Costs         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Capital Costs         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Capital Costs         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           Total Capital Costs         0.0         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (3827.3)           CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains         0.0         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (3827.3)           NET         0.0         (542.1)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (3827.3)           NET         0.0         (542.1)         (1088.9)         (1635.7)         (2182.4)         (2729.2)         (3276.0)         (3822.7)           NPV         NPV         NPV         NPV         NPV         NPV         NPV         NP         NP <td< td=""><td>Total Non-Recurrent Costs</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></td<>	Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Refurbishment       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Equipment       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Capital Costs       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Capital Costs       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Costs       0.0       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         CASH IMPACT       Benefits and Gains       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)       0.0       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         NET       0.0       (542.1)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         Cumulative Net       0.0       (542.1)       (1088.9)       (1635.7)       (2182.4)       (2729.2)       (3276.0)       (3822.7)         NPV       NPV       NPV       NPV       NP	Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Refurbishment       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Equipment       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Capital Costs       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Capital Costs       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         Total Costs       0.0       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         CASH IMPACT       Benefits and Gains       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)       0.0       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         NET       0.0       (542.1)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         Cumulative Net       0.0       (542.1)       (1088.9)       (1635.7)       (2182.4)       (2729.2)       (3276.0)       (3822.7)         NPV       NPV       NPV       NPV       NP	Capital Costs (include non-recovera	ble VAT) (-v	e)							
Total Capital Costs       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0<	Refurbishment		<i>.</i>							0.0
Total Capital Costs       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0<	Equipment									
Total Costs       0.0       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (546.8)       (3827.3)         CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)       0.0       (546.8) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)       0.0       (546.8)       (54	Total Capital Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CASH IMPACT         Benefits and Gains       0.0       4.6       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       4.6         Costs (Inc Depn - lease)       0.0       (546.8)       (54										
Benefits and Gains         0.0         4.6         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         4.6           Costs (Inc Depn - lease)         0.0         (546.8)	Total Costs	0.0	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(3827.3)
Costs (Inc Depn - lease)         0.0         (546.8) <td>CASH IMPACT</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>4.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td>	CASH IMPACT	0.0	4.0							10
NET         0.0         (542.1)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (546.8)         (3822.7)           Cumulative Net         0.0         (542.1)         (1088.9)         (1635.7)         (2182.4)         (2729.2)         (3276.0)         (3822.7)           NPV	Costs (Inc Depn - lease)									4.6 (3827.3)
NPV	NET	0.0	(542.1)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(546.8)	(3822.7)
	Cumulative Net	0.0	(542.1)	(1088.9)	(1635.7)	(2182.4)	(2729.2)	(3276.0)	(3822.7)	(3822.7)
	NPV At 3.5%	0.0	(523.8)	(510.4)	(493.1)	(476.5)	(460.4)	(444.8)	(429.8)	(3338.7)
		0.0	(0_0.0)	(0.0.1)	(	(	(100.1)	(	(	()



# Option 4c – Replace equipment and cap activity – Capital Purchase

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									TOTAL
Recurrent Benefits (+ve) _ength of Stay reduction									0.0
Non Pay cost reduction									0.0 0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Directorate Costs maging Staff									0.0
Maintenance		(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(32.4
									0.0 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates Estates Staff									0.0
Depreciation		(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(6.7)	(47.0
Interest Income Loss		(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	(535.4)	0.0 (3747.8
		(0000)	()	()	(00000)	()	()	(/	(
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(3827.2
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(3827.2
Non-Recurrent Income (+ve)									
Warranty		4.6							4.6
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)									
Jltrasound Couch									0.0
									0.0 0.0
									0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6
Capital Costs (include non-recovera	blo VAT) (-vo)								
Refurbishment									0.0
Equipment	(47.0)								(47.0 0.0
									0.0
Total Capital Costs	(47.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(47.0
Total Costs	(47.0)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(3874.2
CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia				_	_				
Benefits and Gains Costs (Excl Depreciation)	0.0 (47.0)	4.6 (546.7)	0.0 (546.7)	0.0 (546.7)	0.0 (546.7)	0.0 (546.7)	0.0 (546.7)	0.0 (546.7)	4.6 (3874.2
NET	(47.0)	(542.1)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(546.7)	(3869.0
Cumulative Net	(47.0)	(589.1)	(1135.9)	(1682.6)	(2229.4)	(2776.1)	(3322.8)	(3869.6)	(3869.0
		(E00.0)		(402.4)			(444.0)		(2205
At 3.5%	(47.0)	(523.8)	(510.4)	(493.1)	(476.5)	(460.3)	(444.8)	(429.7)	(3385.6 -
Becoming your partners for fire	st class inte	grated ca	are		Safe, high quality care	at home	ers Value colleagues	Resources	

## **Option 5a – Increase capacity with 1 additional machine – 5 year lease**

<u> Option 5a – Increase capacit</u>	-								
£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve) Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss									0.0 0.0 0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs									
Imaging Staff		(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(908.9)
Maintenance		(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(99.7)
Consumables		(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(29.8) 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates Estates Staff		(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(83.9)
Depreciation (lease)		(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(10.0)	(10.0)	(77.4)
Interest (lease)		(2.8)	(2.8) (255.2)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(255.2)	(255.2)	(13.9)
Income Loss		(255.2)	(200.2)	(255.2)	(255.2)	(255.2)	(255.2)	(255.2)	(1786.3)
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(429.8)	(429.8)	(429.8)	(429.8)	(429.8)	(425.5)	(425.5)	(2999.8)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(429.8)	(429.8)	(429.8)	(429.8)	(429.8)	(425.5)	(425.5)	(2999.8)
Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)									
Warranty		9.9							9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch	_	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5)
<b>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)</b> Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware	<b>F</b> (0.5)								0.0 (0.5) 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(0.5) (0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0							0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0
Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoverate)	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) ble VAT) (-ve	0.0 9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) ble VAT) (-ve	0.0 9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 (20.1)	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0 (20.1)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (-ve 0.0	0.0 9.9 ) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1)	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0 (20.1)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (-ve 0.0	0.0 9.9 ) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1)	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0 (20.1)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease)	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0 9.9 ) 0.0 (429.8) 9.9 (429.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) 0.0 (429.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) 0.0 (429.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) 0.0 (429.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) 0.0 (429.8)	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (445.6) 0.0 (435.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (425.5) 0.0 (415.5)	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (3020.4) 9.9 (3000.3)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease)	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0 9.9 ) 0.0 (429.8) 9.9	0.0	0.0 • 0.0 • 0.0 (429.8) 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) 0.0	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (445.6) 0.0	0.0	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 (0.5) 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (20.1) (3020.4) (3020.4) 9.9 (3000.3) (2990.4)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET Cumulative Net	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0 9.9 ) 0.0 (429.8) (429.8) (429.8) (419.9)	0.0 0.0 (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 • 0.0 • (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 • 0.0 • 0.0 • (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (445.6) (435.6) (435.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (425.5) (415.5) (415.5)	0.0 (0.5) 0.0 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (3020.4) (3020.4) 9.9 (3000.3) (2990.4)
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET	(0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	0.0 9.9 ) 0.0 (429.8) (429.8) (429.8) (419.9)	0.0 0.0 (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 • 0.0 • (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 • 0.0 • 0.0 • (429.8) (429.8) (429.8)	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (445.6) (435.6) (435.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (425.5) (415.5) (415.5)	(0.5) 0.0 9.4 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (3020.4)



# Option 5b – Increase capacity with 1 additional machine – 7 year lease

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss									0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs Imaging Staff Maintenance Consumables		(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(908.9) (92.4) (29.8)
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									0.0
Support directorates Estates Staff Depreciation (lease) Interest (lease) Income Loss		(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0) (255.2)	(83.9) (80.3) (0.2) (1786.3)
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(2981.7)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(2981.7)
<b>Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)</b> Warranty		9.9							9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
<b>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)</b> Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware	(0.5)								0.0 (0.5) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.5)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(0.5)	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4
Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment									0.0
Equipment									0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Capital Costs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Costs	(0.5)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(426.0)	(2982.2)
CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET Cumulative Net	0.0 (0.5) (0.5) (0.5)	9.9 (426.0) (416.1) (416.6)	0.0 (426.0) (426.0) (842.5)	0.0 (426.0) (426.0) (1268.5)	0.0 (426.0) <b>(426.0)</b> (1694.4)	0.0 (426.0) (426.0) (2120.4)	0.0 (426.0) (426.0) (2546.4)	0.0 (426.0) (426.0) (2972.3)	9.9 (2982.2) <b>(2972.3)</b> (2972.3)
NPV At 3.5%	(0.5)	(402.0)	(397.6)	(384.2)	(371.2)	(358.6)	(346.5)	(334.8)	(2595.5)



# Option 5c - - Increase capacity with 1 additional machine - Capital Purchase

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve) Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss									0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs Imaging Staff Maintenance Consumables	*	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) <sup>F</sup> (13.2) <sup>F</sup> (4.3) <sup>F</sup>	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(908.9) (92.4) (29.8) 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve) Support directorates Estates Staff Depreciation Interest Income Loss	•	(12.0) <b>*</b> (11.5) <b>*</b> (255.2) <b>*</b>	(12.0) (11.5) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (255.2)	(12.0) <b>*</b> (11.5) <b>*</b> (255.2) <b>*</b>	(12.0) (11.5) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (255.2)	(12.0) (11.5) (255.2)	(83.9) (80.3) 0.0 (1786.3)
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(2981.4)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(2981.4)
	0.0	(425.9)	(423.9)	(423.9)	(423.9)	(423.9)	(423.9)	(423.9)	(2901.4)
<b>Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)</b> Warranty	,	9.9							9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
<b>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)</b> Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware	<b>F</b> (0.5)								0.0 (0.5) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(0.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.5)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(0.5)	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4
<i>Capital Costs (include non-recovera</i> Refurbishment Equipment	ble VAT) (-ve) * (80.3)								0.0 (80.3) 0.0 0.0
Total Capital Costs	(80.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(80.3)
Total Costs	(80.8)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(425.9)	(3062.2)
CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia Benefits and Gains (Excl LOS) Costs (Excl Depreciation) NET Cumulative Net	ation) 0.0 (80.8) (80.8) (80.8)	9.9 (414.5) (404.6) (485.3)	0.0 (414.5) (414.5) (899.8)	0.0 (414.5) (414.5) (1314.2)	0.0 (414.5) <b>(414.5)</b> (1728.7)	0.0 (414.5) <b>(414.5)</b> (2143.2)	0.0 (414.5) (414.5) (2557.6)	0.0 (414.5) (414.5) (2972.1)	9.9 (2981.9) (2972.1) (2972.1)
NPV At 3.5%	(80.8)	(390.9)	(386.9)	(373.8)	(361.2)	(349.0)	(337.2)	(325.8)	<mark>(2605.4)</mark>



# Option 6a – Increase capacity with 2 additional machines – 5 year lease

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss		612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	4285.8 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	4285.8
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs Imaging Staff Maintenance		(269.2) (26.4)	(269.2) (26.4)	(269.2) (26.4)	(269.2) (26.4)	(269.2) (26.4)	(269.2) (26.4)	(269.2) (26.4)	(1884.2) (184.8)
Consumables		(8.5)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(104.0) (59.5) 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									0.0
Support directorates Estates Staff		(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(167.7)
Depreciation (lease)		(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(154.8)
Interest (lease)		(5.6)	(5.6)	(5.6)	(5.6)	(5.6)			(27.8) 0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(356.5)	(356.5)	(356.5)	(356.5)	(356.5)	(348.1)	(348.1)	(2478.8)
			· · ·	· · ·					
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	255.7	255.7	255.7	255.7	255.7	264.2	264.2	1807.0
Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve) Warranty	۲	15.1							15.1
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)									
Ultrasound Couch	(2.0)								(2.0)
Computer Hardware	(1.0)								(1.0) 0.0
									0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(3.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(3.0)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(3.0)	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1
Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment	ble VAT) (-ve)								
	, , ,								(
Equipment	(30.0)						(40.1)		(30.0) (40.1) 0.0 0.0
Equipment	(30.0)		0.0	0.0	0.0		(40.1)	0.0	(40.1) 0.0 0.0
	, , ,	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(40.1)	0.0	(40.1) 0.0
Equipment	(30.0)		0.0	0.0	0.0		(40.1)	0.0	(40.1) 0.0 0.0
Equipment Total Capital Costs	(30.0)	0.0				0.0	(40.1)		(40.1) 0.0 0.0 (70.1)
Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	(30.0) (30.0) (33.0) 0.0	0.0 (356.5) 15.1	(356.5)	(356.5)	(356.5)	0.0 (356.5) 0.0	(40.1) (40.1) (388.2) 0.0	(348.1)	(40.1) 0.0 0.0 (70.1) (2552.0) 15.1
Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease)	(30.0) (30.0) (33.0) 0.0 (33.0)	0.0 (356.5) 15.1 (356.5)	(356.5) 0.0 (356.5)	(356.5) 0.0 (356.5)	(356.5) 0.0 (356.5)	0.0 (356.5) 0.0 (356.5)	(40.1) (40.1) (388.2) 0.0 (368.2)	(348.1) 0.0 (328.0)	(40.1) 0.0 0.0 (70.1) (2552.0) 15.1 (2511.8)
Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	(30.0) (30.0) (33.0) 0.0	0.0 (356.5) 15.1	(356.5)	(356.5)	(356.5)	0.0 (356.5) 0.0	(40.1) (40.1) (388.2) 0.0	(348.1)	(40.1) 0.0 0.0 (70.1) (2552.0) 15.1
Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET	(30.0) (30.0) (33.0) (33.0) (33.0) (33.0)	0.0 (356.5) 15.1 (356.5) (341.4)	(356.5) 0.0 (356.5) (356.5)	(356.5) 0.0 (356.5) (356.5)	(356.5) 0.0 (356.5) (356.5)	0.0 (356.5) 0.0 (356.5) (356.5)	(40.1) (40.1) (388.2) 0.0 (368.2) (368.2)	(348.1) 0.0 (328.0) (328.0)	(40.1) 0.0 (70.1) (2552.0) 15.1 (2511.8) (2496.7)



# Option 6b – Increase capacity with 2 additional machines – 7 year lease

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction		612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	4285.8 0.0
Income Loss									0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	4285.8
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs									
Imaging Staff		(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(1884.2)
Maintenance Consumables		(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(184.8) (59.5)
Consumables		(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve) Support directorates									
Estates Staff		(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(24.0)	(167.7)
Depreciation (lease) Interest (lease)		(22.9) (0.1)	(22.9) (0.1)	(22.9) (0.1)	(22.9) (0.1)	(22.9) (0.1)	(22.9) (0.1)	(22.9) (0.1)	(160.5) (0.5)
· · /									0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(2457.2)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	261.2	261.2	261.2	261.2	261.2	261.2	261.2	1828.6
Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)									
Warranty		15.1							15.1
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)									
Ultrasound Couch	(2.0)								(2.0)
Computer Hardware	(1.0)								(1.0) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(3.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(3.0)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(3.0)	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1
Capital Costs (include non-recovera	ble VAT) (-ve)								
Refurbishment	(30.0)	,							(30.0)
Equipment									0.0
									0.0
Total Capital Costs	(30.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(30.0)
Total Costs	(33.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(2490.2)
CASH IMPACT									
Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	0.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1
Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET	(33.0) (33.0)	(351.0) (335.9)	(351.0) (351.0)	(351.0) (351.0)	(351.0) (351.0)	(351.0) ( <b>351.0)</b>	(351.0) (351.0)	(351.0) (351.0)	(2490.2) (2475.1)
Cumulative Net	(33.0)	(368.9)	(719.9)	(1071.0)	(1422.0)	(1773.0)	(2124.1)	(2475.1)	(2475.1)
NPV		10-1-1	10			10	10	10	10
At 3.5%	(33.0)	(324.5)	(327.7)	(316.6)	(305.9)	(295.6)	(285.6)	(275.9)	(2164.8)
Becoming your partners for fir	st class inte	grated ca	re		the state of the s	at home Partne	ers Value colleagues	Resources	

# Option 6c – Increase capacity with 2 additional machines – Capital Purchase

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	
	Tear o	Tear I	Teal 2	Tear S	Teal 4	Tear 5	Teal o		TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve) Length of Stay reduction		612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	4285.8
Non Pay cost reduction		012.0	012.0	012.0	012.0	012.0	012.0	012.0	0.0
Income Loss									0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	612.3	4285.8
	0.0	01210	0.2.0	0.2.0	0.2.0	0.2.0	01210	0.210	.20010
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs									
Imaging Staff		(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(269.2)	(1884.2)
Maintenance Consumables		(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(26.4) (8.5)	(184.8) (59.5)
Consumables		(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.0)	0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates		(04.0)	(04.0)	(04.0)	(04.0)	(04.0)	(04.0)	(04.0)	(407.7)
Estates Staff Depreciation		(24.0) (22.9)	(24.0) (22.9)	(24.0) (22.9)	(24.0) (22.9)	(24.0) (22.9)	(24.0) (22.9)	(24.0) (22.9)	(167.7) (160.5)
Interest		( - /	( - )	( - /	( - /	( - )	( - )	( - <i>y</i>	0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(2456.7)
	0.0	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(2400.7)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	261.3	261.3	261.3	261.3	261.3	261.3	261.3	1829.1
Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)		45.4							45.4
Warranty		15.1							15.1
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1
Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)									
Ultrasound Couch	(2.0)								(2.0)
Computer Hardware	(1.0)								(1.0) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(3.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(3.0)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(3.0)	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1
Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	(30.0)	,							(30.0)
Equipment	(160.5)								(160.5)
									0.0 0.0
Total Capital Costs	(190.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(190.5)
Total Costs	(193.5)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(351.0)	(2650.3)
		(00110)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(001.0)	(00110)	(001.0)	()
CASH IMPACT (to exclude depreci Benefits and Gains (Excl LOS)	ation)	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1
	(193.5)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(2489.7)
Costs (Excl Depreciation)	( /		(220 0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(328.0)	(2474.6)
NET	(193.5)	(312.9)	<b>(328.0)</b>						
NET Cumulative Net		<b>(312.9)</b> (506.4)	(834.4)	(1162.5)	(1490.5)	(1818.6)	(2146.6)	(2474.6)	(2474.6)
NET Cumulative Net NPV	(193.5) (193.5)	(506.4)	(834.4)	(1162.5)	(1490.5)	(1818.6)	(2146.6)	(2474.6)	(2474.6)
NET Cumulative Net	(193.5)								



# Option 7a - Increase capacity with 1 additional machine – 5 year lease (No cap on activity)

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss		262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	1836.5 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	1836.5
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Directorate Costs		(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(908.9)
Maintenance		(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(14.2)	(99.7)
Consumables		(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(29.8) 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates Estates Staff		(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(12.0)	(83.9)
Depreciation (lease)		(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(10.0)	(10.0)	(77.4)
Interest (lease) Income Loss		(2.8)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(2.8)			(13.9) 0.0
									0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(174.6)	(174.6)	(174.6)	(174.6)	(174.6)	(170.4)	(170.4)	(1213.5)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	87.8	87.8	87.8	87.8	87.8	92.0	92.0	622.9
Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)									
Non Acouncil Benefit (+VC)									
Warranty	· · · · ·	9.9							9.9
Warranty Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	9.9 9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch	(2.0)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0)
Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch	(2.0)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income Non-Recurrent costs (-ve) Ultrasound Couch	(2.0)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0)
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0)
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0							9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0)
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveration)	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1)
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment         Equipment	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) ble VAT) (-ve	9.9 0.0 9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment         Equipment	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) ble VAT) (-ve	9.9 0.0 9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment         Equipment         Total Capital Costs	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 0.0 9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 0.0 (20.1)
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment         Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Costs         CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 • 0.0 • 9.9 • ) 0.0 (174.6) 9.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (190.4) 0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (1236.6) 9.9
Total Non-Recurrent lncome         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment         Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Costs         CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease)	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 • 0.0 • 9.9 • ) 0.0 (174.6) 9.9 (174.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0 (174.6)	0.0 ° 0.0 ° 0.0 (174.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0 (174.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0 (174.6)	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (190.4) 0.0 (180.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (170.4) 0.0 (160.3)	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (1236.6) 9.9 (1216.5)
Total Non-Recurrent Income         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment         Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Costs         CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 • 0.0 • 9.9 • ) 0.0 (174.6) 9.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) 0.0	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (190.4) 0.0	0.0	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (1236.6) 9.9
Total Non-Recurrent lncome         Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)         Ultrasound Couch         Computer Hardware         Total Non-Recurrent Costs         Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits         Capital Costs (include non-recoveral Refurbishment Equipment         Total Capital Costs         Total Capital Costs         CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease)         NET	(2.0) (1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 * 0.0 * 9.9 * ) (174.6) (174.6) (164.7)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) (174.6) (174.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) (174.6) (174.6) (174.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) (174.6) (174.6) (174.6)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (174.6) (174.6) (174.6) (174.6)	0.0 0.0 (20.1) (20.1) (190.4) (180.4) (180.4)	0.0 0.0 0.0 (170.4) 0.0 (160.3) (160.3)	9.9 (2.0) (1.0) 0.0 (3.0) 6.9 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) 0.0 (20.1) (1236.6) (1216.5) (1206.7)



# Option 7b - Increase capacity with 1 additional machine- 7 year lease (No cap on activity)

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve)									
Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss		262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	1836.5 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	1836.5
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs Imaging Staff		(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(129.8)	(908.9)
Maintenance Consumables		(13.2) (4.3)	(13.2) (4.3)	(13.2) (4.3)	(13.2) (4.3)	(13.2) (4.3)	(13.2) (4.3)	(13.2) (4.3)	(92.4) (29.8) 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve) Support directorates									
Estates Staff Depreciation (lease) Interest (lease) Income Loss		(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(12.0) (11.5) (0.0)	(83.9) (80.3) (0.2) 0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(170.8)	(170.8)	(170.8)	(170.8)	(170.8)	(170.8)	(170.8)	(1195.4)
		<u>,</u>		\$ F				· · · ·	
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	641.1
<b>Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)</b> Warranty		9.9							9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
Non Boourroot operation (									(2.0)
<i>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)</i> Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware	(2.0) (1.0)								(1.0) 0.0
Ultrasound Couch		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware	(1.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(1.0)	9.9		_					0.0 (3.0)
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(1.0)	9.9		_					0.0 (3.0)
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment	(1.0)	9.9		_					0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment	(1.0) (3.0) (3.0) able VAT) (-ve	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs	(1.0) (3.0) (3.0) able VAT) (-ve 0.0	9.9 <b>*</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS)	(1.0) (3.0) (3.0) able VAT) (-ve 0.0 (3.0) 0.0	9.9 <b>*</b> ) 0.0 (170.8) 9.9	0.0	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (1198.4) 9.9
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT	(1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 ) (170.8) (170.8) (170.8) (160.9)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) (170.8) (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (1198.4) (1198.4) (1188.5)
Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware Total Non-Recurrent Costs Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits Capital Costs (include non-recovera Refurbishment Equipment Total Capital Costs Total Capital Costs CASH IMPACT Benefits and Gains (excl LOS) Costs (Inc Depn - lease) NET	(1.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0) (3.0)	9.9 ) 0.0 (170.8) 9.9 (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) 0.0 (170.8)	0.0 0.0 (170.8) (170.8)	0.0 (3.0) 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (1198.4) (1198.4)



# Option 7c - Increase capacity with 1 additional machine – Capital Purchase (No cap on activity)

£ in 1000s	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	TOTAL
FINANCIAL BENEFITS / GAINS									
Recurrent Benefits (+ve) Length of Stay reduction Non Pay cost reduction Income Loss		262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4 *	262.4	262.4	262.4	1836.5 0.0 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Benefits	0.0	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	262.4	1836.5
COST ITEMS inflows / (outflows)									
Recurrent Costs (-ve) Directorate Costs Imaging Staff Maintenance Consumables		(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(129.8) (13.2) (4.3)	(908.9) (92.4) (29.8) 0.0
Other Recurrent Costs (-ve)									
Support directorates Estates Staff Depreciation Interest Income Loss		(12.0) * (11.5) *	(12.0) <b>*</b> (11.5) <b>*</b>	(12.0) (11.5)	(12.0) * (11.5) *	(12.0) (11.5)	(12.0) (11.5)	(12.0) (11.5)	(83.9) (80.3) 0.0 0.0
Total Recurrent Costs	0.0	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(1195.2)
Net Recurrent Costs/benefits	0.0	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	641.3
Non-Recurrent Benefit (+ve)									
Warranty		9.9							9.9
Total Non-Recurrent Income	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
<b>Non-Recurrent costs (-ve)</b> Ultrasound Couch Computer Hardware	(2.0) (1.0)								(2.0) (1.0) 0.0
Total Non-Recurrent Costs	(3.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(3.0)
Net Non-Recurrent Costs/benefits	(3.0)	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9
Capital Costs (include non-recoveral									
Refurbishment Equipment	(80.3)								0.0 (80.3) 0.0 0.0
Total Capital Costs	(80.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(80.3)
Total Costs	(83.3)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(170.7)	(1278.4)
CASH IMPACT (to exclude deprecia Benefits and Gains (Excl LOS)		9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
	0.0 (83.3)			(159.3)	(159.3)	(159.3)	(159.3)	(159.3)	(1198.2)
Costs (Excl Depreciation) NET Cumulative Net	(83.3) (83.3) (83.3)	(159.3) (149.4) (232.7)	(159.3) (159.3) (391.9)	(159.3) (159.3) (551.2)	(159.3) (159.3) (710.5)	(159.3) (159.3) (869.7)	(159.3) (159.3) (1029.0)	(159.3) (159.3) (1188.3)	(1198.2) (1188.3) (1188.3)



# Appendix 3 – Further Options Evaluation

ULTRASOUND BUSINESS	CASE - further financial evaluation Optio	ns 6 & 7		
	No of Scans			
Existing Ultrasound Capacity		based on curre	nt staffing at 20m	nins per scan
Current Ultrasound Activity				pertating 11000 scans above recommended level
Current Inpatient Activity	5,944	0	0	
Operating Level		based on recor	nmended patier	nt safe level
Average wait for inpatient scan	38	hours		
		Option 6	Option 7	Notes
No of Machines	Additional machines	2	1	
		No of Scans	No of Scans	
Capacity and Demand	Additional Capacity	12,000	6.000	
	Capacity Increase	63%	32%	
	New Operating Level	99%		Assumes no acitivity growth therefore, Option 6 is opertaing at safe level
	Improvement	62%	39%	
		£'000	£'000	
Financial Analysis (£000)	Total Cost	390	176	Based on 5 Year Lease Option
	Total Benefit - LOS Reduction	-612	-262	Based on bed day cost of £238.50 at 2567 beddays saved (see below)
	Net Benefit	-223	-86	
		Beddays	Beddays	
Bed Day Reduction	Due to increased capacity	1,367	1,100	Assumes reduced waiting time for inpatient scan will reduced overall LOS
	Preventable AKI	1,200		300 preventable cases per year - LOS reduction of 4 days per case
	Total	2,567	1,100	
Breakeven position	Income required to offset recurrent investment	-390	-176	
	Required bedday reduction	1,633	738	Number of inpatients required to reduce LOS by 1 day in order to cover cost of investmer
		27%	12%	% of inpatients required to reduce LOS by 1 day in order to cover cost of investment
NOTES:				
,	ing above safe capacity ie recommended appo	pintment of 20mir	ns per patient ha	s reduced to 14mins
	scan is 38 hours, with 9% of patients waiting 3da			
				ity. Option 7 increases current capacity but does not meet demand at a safe operating lev
4. Reduction in Length of st	ay dependant upon;			
- Assumes patients are dischar	ged earlier with no other factors impacting on dis	scharge		
<ul> <li>Assumes current discharge per</li> </ul>	erformance remains static			
	s impacting on discharge remain constant			
	due to reduction in LOS is dependant on increa arge 1,613 or 755 patients 1 day earlier in order f			ty or reduction in bed capacity



### Appendix 4

April 2018: All the ultrasound equipment in the Imaging Department failed its NHS QA assessment (See below).

# QA Results

	Ultrasound WMH			
		Older than	Pass/Fail	
Machine	Imaging Department	5yrs	QA	Comment
1	Logic E9 : DTC Room 1	YES	FAIL	Required ASAP
2	HD15 : Annex	YES	FAIL	Scrapped May 2018 : Short Rental replacement in place Aug 2018
3	Sonosite : Main Dept	YES	FAIL	Scrapped May 2018 : Rented replacement to be sourced ASAP
4	IU22 : Main Dept	YES	FAIL	Scrapped June 2018 : Rented replacement to be sourced ASAP
5	Logic E9 : Breast Imaging	YES	FAIL	Required ASAP
6	Siemens Antares : Main Dept	YES	FAIL	Scrapped May 2018 : Rented replacement to be sourced ASAP

All machines are over 5 years old and have failed their QA and now require immediate replacement.

There is additional ultrasound equipment that requires replacing outside of the scope of this business case that will need to be addressed separately.


**NHS Trust** 

MEETING OF THE PUBLIC TRUST BOARD – 5 <sup>th</sup> July 2018						
Performance Finance and Investment Committee Highlight Report         AGENDA ITEM: 17						
Report Author and Job	John Dunn, Committee		Responsible	Rus	Russell Caldicott,	
Title:	Chair		Director:	Dire	Director of Finance &	
				Per	formance	
Action Required	Approval	Decision	Assurance a	nd In	d Information	
			To receive a	nd To receive		
			discuss X			
Recommendation	Mombors of	the Truct Ree	rd are asked to re		the report for	
Recommendation			ny key information			
					lacal	
Does this report mitigate						
risk included in the BAF or						
Trust Risk Registers? please outline						
Resource implications	There is no r	esource impli	cations associate	d with	n this report.	
-						
Legal and Equality and	There are no legal or equality & diversity implications associated					
Diversity implications	with this pap	er.				
2018/19 Objectives	Continue our journey on patient safety and X					
	clinical quality through a comprehensive					
	improvement programme					
	Develop the culture of the organisation to X					
	ensure mature decision making and clinical					
	leadership Improve our financial health through our X					
	Improve our financial health through our X robust improvement programme					
	Develop the clinical service strategy focused X					
	on service integration in Walsall & in					
	collaboration with other Trusts					

Safe, high quality care

Care at home

6

1981 Partners





#### Finance, Performance and Investment Committee Highlight Report

#### 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of the report is to highlight the key issues from the meeting held on 27 June 2018 together with the approved minutes of the meeting held on 30 May 2018.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

The Committee reports to the Trust Board each month following its meeting. The Board receives the approved minutes from the previous Committee meeting and a highlight report on the key issues raised at the most recent meeting.

#### 3. DETAILS

The meeting was quorate, and the following items were discussed:

<u>Presentation - The Model Hospital (Benchmark Review) - James Cook NHSI</u> A comprehensive presentation positioning Walsall against best in class Trust performance for all front line and back office functions. The presentation highlighted areas for improvement and consolidation. The presentation would be shared with Care Groups and NHSI offered support in how to use the data in way to enhance patient services.

#### May 2018 Financial performance

Performance was slightly adverse to plan mainly due to temporary staffing spend and CIP delivery. Work is currently underway to refine the financial plan with a clear focus on reducing the overall run-rate to bring performance back to plan and to focus on the profile of CIP delivery in Q2 and Q3. The full year plan currently has a unacceptable level of risk and it was agreed to produce a recovery plan that fully mitigates this. Details of the financial recovery plan will be available within the next two weeks and will be the subject of review at an extraordinary PFIC meeting.

#### Cost Improvement Programme

The current status of the plan was discussed in detail, whilst in month performance had substantially improved and was slightly adverse, considerable work was still underway to underpin full delivery. Currently the level of risk for delivery of the £13 m requirement was £5m. Work was continuing to convert the list of initiatives into firm plans.

#### CIP - Outpatient Initiative Review

Current progress was reviewed and the level of improvement achieved over the last 2years. The plan was well structured and demonstrated a clear linkage to the improvement work stream initiated last year within the FIP programme. Weekly performance was expected to improve over the next few weeks.

Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust

#### **Temporary Staffing**

Nursing agency and bank usage was adverse to plan for month 2 whilst May position has improved, and it was agreed to update the committee on further actions to address the position as part of the financial recovery plan.

<u>Constitutional standards operational report</u> A strong performance for the month, highlights: Cancer standards A&E performance in advance of plan RTT performance on plan

#### Investment Appraisal

The committee made recommendations on the following items: Ultrasound Business Case - recommendation to proceed for board approval Gastroenterology Business Case - approval given Walsall Together Consultancy Commission - recommendation to proceed for board approval Estates Strategy - recommendation to proceed for board approval Estates Refurbishment costs - approval given

Post Implementation Review Change to Nurse Bank Pay Rate - project implementation met project timelines, benefits partially met, further action under consideration.

<u>Committee Terms of Reference</u> The revised TOR were agreed

#### 4. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Board is recommended to DISCUSS the content of the report and raise any questions in relation to the assurance provided.

**Report Author:** John Dunn, Committee Chair PFIC **Date of report:** 29 June 2018

APPENDICES Minutes



#### MINUTES OF THE PERFORMANCE FINANCE AND INVESTMENT COMMITTEE HELD ON WEDNESDAY 30<sup>th</sup> MAY 2018 AT 2.00 P.M. IN MEETING SUITE A, MLCC

Present:	Mr J Dunn Mr S Heer Mr R Beeken Mr R Caldicott Mr A Khan Mrs K Blackwell Mrs J White	Non-executive Director (Chair of Committee) Non-executive Director Chief Executive Director of Finance and Performance Medical Director Acting Nurse Director Interim Trust Secretary
In Attendance:	Mr M Dodd Mr N Turner Mrs A Winyard Mr Q Zada Mrs C Dawes	Divisional Operations Director - MLTC Divisional Director – Surgery (up to Item 005/18) Divisional Operations Director – Surgery (Item 005/18 only) PMO Director Executive Assistant (Minutes)
Apologies:	Mr D Fradgley Mrs L Ludgrove Mr P Thomas-Hands	Director of Strategy & Improvement Interim Director of Human Resources and Organisational Development Chief Operating Officer

The Chair welcomed everyone and opened the meeting. Apologies were noted and the meeting was declared quorate. Mr Dodd was in attendance on behalf of Mr Thomas-Hands.

#### ACTION

- 001/18 Quorum
   The meeting was declared quorate in line with Item 5 of the Committee Terms of Reference; The Committee will be deemed quorate for the transaction of business when the two non-executive directors, the Director of Finance and Performance, the Chief Operating Officer and one other Executive Director are in attendance

   002/18 Declarations of Interest
   There were no declarations of interest.
- 003/18 Minutes of the Meetings held on 21<sup>st</sup> February 2018 and Extraordinary

#### **Resolution:**

The minutes of the meetings held on 21<sup>st</sup> February 2018 and Extraordinary meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> March 2018 were approved as an accurate record.

#### 004/18 Matters Arising and Action Sheet

meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> March 2018

The Committee received the status of the following actions: 095/17 Intermediate Care Business Case: report to June meeting. 24/1/18 KPMG Close Out report to be presented at a separate meeting in September. 28/3/18 People Profile: Agreed not a report for this committee. 28/3/18 CIP Programme: Provide schedule of programme owners attendance at meetings 28/3/18 Change to Bank rates: Report to be presented at June meeting.

#### Resolution:

#### The Committee received and noted the status on the actions.

Mrs Winyard joined the meeting at this point.

#### 005/18 Divisional Presentation – Division of Surgery

The Chair welcomed Mr Turner and Mrs Winyard who gave a presentation on the key performance and financial position for the Division of Surgery during Quarter 1.

It was highlighted overspends on nurse and medial staffing, together with CIP under delivery were they key drivers of the overspend position.

The chair acknowledged the improvement in the key performance indicators and highlighted there was a degree of variability in the control of processes and asked what the issues were. Mrs Winyard responded advising there had been a significant culture change and some processes were new and as these become embedded the process would become smoother and performance would improve. The key would be to have a daily co-ordinator overseeing the theatre processes and dealing with any issues and there was a plan to address this.

There was a discussion about the theatre utilisation, in particular when theatres were not being used and it was explained that some cases where more complex than others and it was about getting the best model to give improvement. Mr Khan suggested trialling having a second anaesthetist available to increase the number of cases.

There was a discussion about the centralisation of medical staffing resource in connection with rotas and work was being undertaken to improve the weekly analysis; however it was acknowledged trends were going in the right direction.

The Chair thanked Mr Turner and Mrs Winyard and summarised by noting there had been a big improvement which would give assurance to the Trust Board and focus was on delivery in quarter two.

Mr Turner and Mrs Winyard left the meeting at this point.

#### 006/18 Financial Performance - 2018/2019 Month 1 Report

The Director of Finance and Performance outlined the 2018/2019 Financial position for Month 1 and highlighted the following:

- The Trust was reporting a deficit of £2,386k against a deficit plan of £2,483k, resulting in a favourable YTD deficit of £97k.
- The contracted income showed a favourable variance to plan of £86k. The Trust had agreed a contract with Walsall CCG commissioner

which provided for financial certainty on Emergency Inpatients, Rehabilitation and contract fines & penalties. The remainder of contracts with commissioners were on a cost & volume basis providing opportunity to deliver efficiencies through increased income.

- Expenditure was overspent by £154k YTD. The main area of overspending was non-pay relating to non-delivery of CIP in month. Pay was breakeven, despite overspending within Medical (£115k overspend) and Nursing (£440k overspend) to support the additional capacity open in April. These overspends were offset by underspends in other pay groups and phasing of pay reserves for developments
- The Trust's Annual Cost Improvement Programme requirement was £13m.
- The CIP plan for M1 was £763k (5.9% of the target) and actual delivery wass £168k (1.3% of target), resulting in an under achievement of £595k YTD. In addition, of this total £91k was delivered nonrecurrently, placing increased pressure on future financial sustainability.
- The Trust's planned cash holding in accordance with borrowing requirements was £1m. The actual cash holding was £1.004m.
- The Trust's agreed borrowing for 2018/19 was £18.6m, reflecting the deficit plan. The interest payable on the increased borrowing adds to the future savings requirement. The level of interest currently payable on borrowing to date and to service the current financial plan was circa £2.3m payable in 2018/19.
- The year to date capital expenditure was £0.5m, with the main spends relating to ICCU (£0.3m), Estates Lifecycle (£0.07m), Medical Equipment (£0.03m) and Community Mobile technology (£0.05m).
- Total expenditure on temporary workforce was £1.914m (April 2018) representing a £91k reduction on the March total. Agency increased by £227k to £754k, Bank reduced by £285k to £526k and Locum reduced by £32k to £635k.

The Director of Finance and Performance commented the key risks were CIP delivery and temporary workforce expenditure, both of which would show improvement in May.

#### **Questions and Comments**

The Chair commented about the finance performance Amber rating at month 1 as he felt this was a generous assumption of the position.

The Chief Executive explained the escalation on bed numbers had not started to run down until late April and annual leave had impacted on efficiencies and was expecting an improvement in May. There was a discussion on the ED capital scheme which had been included in a list of capital schemes being assessed by the local STP. It was requested this was further discussed at the Private Board in June.

The Medical Director questioned the under-performance within the divisions noting the elective and outpatient activity was down and WCCSS division were under performing and asked how this would be improved. The Director of Finance & Performance agreed to look into this with the divisions.

Mr Heer questioned the varying CIP targets within the report and asked for consistency in reporting. It was agreed a CIP delivery total of £13m would be used in future reports.

RC

RC

RC

#### Resolution:

#### The Committee:

• Received and noted the content of the Month 1 Finance Report.

#### 007/18 Improvement Programme Update

Mr Zada presented the Cost Improvement Programme update for month 1 and the following key messages were noted:

- The Cost Improvement Programme for 2018/19 was £13m. The month 1 delivery was low due to income data awaiting validation
- Divisions were working to close the gap and complete PIDs for each scheme. Significant risk was highlighted in Medicine Division based on plans to date.
- Meetings arranged with the Chief Executive, Executive Leads, Director of Finance and PMO for all schemes. Mid-point reviews were being initiated.
- Activity in Outpatients and Theatres to be accelerated; however recent performance had demonstrated momentum.
- Work was underway with the divisions to bring forward delivery to reduce the risk of falling within Quarter 4.

There was discussion about the wording used to report progress as it was felt that until an idea had been processed and ratified through the various stages it was not considered a "plan" and should be reported as such. Mr Zada agreed to amend future reports to reflect the comments of the committee.

There was discussion about the establishment of the PMO as it was felt that more pace and robust processes were necessary to ensure adequate resource capacity and capability was available for delivery of the target. Mr Zada explained that current members of the PMO were currently going through a Management of Change process. The committee offered any assistance necessary to support the process. QZ

The Chair summarised by noting programmes were being underpinned but there was a degree of risk. Work was underway to re-profile the delivery to in Q2/Q3 to reduce the risk of back loading at the end of the year.

#### **Resolution:**

The Committee:

- Received and noted the content of the Cost Improvement Programme Update
- Noted the report would be amended to reflect discussions on terminology

#### 008/18 NHSI Control Total

The Director of Finance & Performance advised the Trust had received a revised offer of a control total for the 2018/19 financial year and explained the purpose of the report was to confirm the components of the revised offer, assess the benefits and pitfalls from accepting such an offer and seek confirmation of members views in reaching a consensus view that can form a recommendation to Trust Board.

It was acknowledged the paper was easy to understand to enable the committee to discuss and give their views. Members debated the information presented and all agreed with the decision to recommend acceptance of the control total to the Trust Board and to seek to mitigate the financial risk.

#### **Resolution:**

The Committee:

- Received and noted the content of the report
- Agreed to recommend acceptance of the Control Total to the Trust Board
- Noted the agreement to seek to mitigate the financial risk

#### 009/18 Temporary Staffing

The Director of Finance and Performance presented an overview of the temporary staffing report and the following highlights were noted:

- The Trust had been set a ceiling for agency expenditure by NHSI of £6.5m, representing a 1% reduction on the 2017/18 actual expenditure.
- The month 1 expenditure was £754k, against a plan of £422k. Both Medical and Nursing expenditure exceeded the phase plan.
- Nursing temporary workforce reduced against March total, however expenditure remained high due to capacity pressures in April. (Highest since January 2018).
- Temporary staffing reduced to £1.9m for April (March £2m) Total expenditure on nurse temporary staffing reduced to £898k for April
- Work was underway to mitigate the £2.5m run rate risk for June report

The committee questioned the effectiveness of grip and control processes as they did not appear to be working at present and what assurance would be given that the June performance would improve. It was noted the agreed actions had not been captured within the report and these would be included for clarity in the next report.

The chair summarised discussion by noting the disappointing start to the year and there were actions agreed to mitigate the run rate risk for the June report.

#### **Resolution:**

The Committee:

- Received and noted the content of the report
- Noted actions had been agreed to mitigate the run rate risk

#### 010/18 Constitutional Standards Operational Update

Mr Dodd gave an overview of the Constitutional Standards relating to Emergency Department, Elective Access and Cancer. The key messages were highlighted as:

Emergency/Urgent Care:

- April performance had increased to 87.22% against a target of 83%.
- Medically Fit for Discharge (MFFD) list is reducing.
- April saw continued high levels of ambulances to ED (90+ ambulance arrivals on 14 days in month).
- Admissions per day had decreased from 89 in March to 86 in April.
- There were no 12 hour breaches.

Elective Access:

- Performance in April was 85.89% against a trajectory of 84.2%, which was a continued improvement against the March performance of 84.74%.
- The outpatient workstream continues to embed improvements on booking utilisation, reduction in DNA rates and using core capacity to see cancer referrals, whilst keeping WLI clinics to a minimum.
- The trajectory assumed delivery without WLI activity.
- The clinic booking utilisation target of 90% was achieved by all divisions in April
- There were 4 breaches in March.

Cancer:

• All national cancer measures achieved in March. Initial un-validated performance for April shows achievement of all cancer measures.

#### **Diagnostics:**

April performance was 99.05% thus achieving the 99% target.

#### Questions and comments:

The Chair summarised by noting the improved performance and commitment within A & E given the challenges and to concentrate now on improving performance produce a sustainable trend.

#### **Resolution:**

#### The Committee:

• Received and noted the content of the Constitutional Standards Operational Update.

# 011/18 Performance and Quality Report by Exception

The Performance and Quality Report was taken as read.

The Director of Finance asked the committee to note the penalties on performance and highlighted that key metrics were discussed at the Quality & Safety Committee.

#### Resolution:

#### The Committee:

• Received and noted the content of the report.

#### 012/18 NHSI Resource Review

The Director of Finance gave a verbal update reporting that weekly CQC preparation meetings were taking place and a self-assessment run by regional teams would be undertaken with key indicators for CQC Reviews. Self-assessments would be based on Model Hospital.

It was noted Mr James Cook, Regional Productivity Director for East & West Midlands NHSI would be attending to present at the next meeting.

#### **Resolution:**

The Committee:

- Received and noted the content of the report.
- Noted the Regional Productivity Director for East & West Midlands NHSI would be attending the next meeting

#### 013/18 Gastroenterology Business Case

Mr Dodd gave an overview of Phase 2 of the Gastroenterology Business Case for the recruitment of specialist nurses and a pharmacist advising (Phase1 was previously approved) and explained there was a demand for the service as historically there had been underinvestment in specialist Gastroenterology nurse support and consultants resulting in below national performance standards and provision of care for patients, however it required prior agreement with the CCG.

The business case proposed a new workforce model requiring the recruitment of 3.3 wte specialist nurses and a 0.5 wte pharmacist.

The committee were in support from a clinical and patient perspective but agreed not to approve the business case until CCG agreement had been received.

#### **Resolution:**

The Committee:

- Received and noted the content of the Gastroenterology Business Case
- Rejected the business case on the basis that CCG agreement had not been received

#### 014/18 Pharmacy Subco Business Case

The Director of Finance and Performance gave an overview of the Business Case for the establishment of a subsidiary pharmacy to deliver outpatient dispensing services, thus addressing the current delays in the prescription and fulfilment of inpatient discharge medication and improving the customer experience and performance on patient discharges.

The committee raised concern on the ethics and ability to have a subsidiary pharmacy within the organisation if not a Foundation Trust and agreed to have an offline discussion to understand the structure. A meeting to be arranged to explore how to form a legal entity and the Director of Finance agreed to carry out the preparatory work for executive approval.

#### Resolution:

The Committee:

- Received and noted the content of the business case
- Agreed to have offline discussion on the legality
- Noted the Director of Finance would prepare details for executive approval

#### 015/18 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business discussed.

#### 016/18 Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Committee would be held on of Wednesday, 27<sup>th</sup> June 2018 at 2p.m. in Room 10, Manor Learning and Conference Centre, Walsall Manor Hospital.

**NHS Trust** 

MEETING OF THE TRUST BOARD – 5 <sup>th</sup> July 2018							
Partnership Update – Public Trust Board					AGENDA ITEM: 16		
Report Author and Job Title:		aren Fradgley – Responsible rector of Strategy & Director: nprovement		•	Daren Fradgley – Director of Strategy & Improvement		
Action Required	Approval	Decision	1	Assurance and Information X			
				To receive and discuss	d To receive		
Recommendation	Members of the Trust Board are asked to: Receive the report and the information within.						
Does this report mitigate	BAF No. 12	That the ove	rall	strategy does r	not deliver required		
risk included in the BAF or	changes res	ulting in servi	ces	that are not affo	ordable to the Local		
Trust Risk Registers?	Health Econo	omy.					
please outline	BAF No. 15	If the Trust of	loes	s not agree a su	litable alliance		
	approach wit	h Local Healt	h E	conomy partner	rs it will be unable to		
	deliver a sustainable integrated care model.						
Resource implications	Resource implications for both Strategic Partnerships will be						
	mapped out clearly in a programme plan for the Trust Board						
Legal and Equality and	To Be confirmed as part of the programme plans						
Diversity implications							
Operational Objectives	Continue our journey on patient safety and X						
2018/19	clinical quality through a comprehensive						
	improvement programme						
	Develop the culture of the organisation to						
	ensure mature decision making and clinical						
	leadership						
	Improve our financial health through our						
	robust improvement programme						
	Develop the clinical service strategy focused X						
	on service integration in Walsall & in						
	collaboration	with other Tr	ust				
Becoming your partners for first class integrated care	Safe, high quality care	Care at home		Partners	Value colleagues Resources		



#### PARTNERSHIP UPDATE July 2018

#### 1. Introduction

In this month's report I will refer to numerous items of work that have been undertaken over the last month with partners. You will see from the information within the report that progress in collaboration with our partners is being made in multiple areas.

#### 2. Visit to Rotherham

During the work undertaken on the Walsall Together Case for Change, several reference sites were used and recommended by KPMG. One of these sites was the borough of Rotherham which shares an almost identical demographic and provider and commissioner landscape. It is worth noting that Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust as an integrated provider of an almost identical size to the Trust is one of our peer sites in the model hospital and NHS reference groupings.

Members from Walsall Council and the Trust were hosted by partners in Rotherham earlier this month and openly shared their progress and lessons learnt on the integration journey. The similarities between the challenges we face and the solutions we are proposing are so similar that you could read across some of our documents and mistake once for the other. In fact, Rotherham has 7 locality teams – identical to ourselves and their approach to place based care through a Together Programme is identical to the Walsall's.

Rotherham have made more progress in areas such as care coordination (single point of access) and communication than Walsall currently have and lessons can be learnt here. More impressively however, is the IT integration they have achieved internally where capacity and patient flow can be seen moving across acute beds all the way through nursing homes and back into their own home under the care of their locality teams.

In contrast Rotherham haven't made the progress we have with the future provider models and intermediate care. To this end we have a mutual interest to openly share progress and to some extent look at planning as a peer group to test out our future models of care. The team has also expressed their interest in coming to see what we have achieved in Walsall. A real opportunity exists to explore how we can replicate the IT approach within our system with their support and guidance. I have agreed to coordinate regular communication and sharing sessions for our mutual interest which is mirrored across providers and the CCG's.

#### 3. Walsall Together - Planning

Following the commitment of all partners last month to jointly fund the next steps of the Walsall Together Partnership, coordination has commenced to build a programme plan and consider which of the current meetings can be converted into a programme board. Further to this piece of work and ultimately preparation of a full business case, scoping is underway to establish



robust governance process for each organisation to consider and establish appropriate decision making that this complimentary to current Trust governance arrangements. This will be essential for the next stage of the programme and to assure that appropriate steps are taken to consult and approve in the correct order. Board members will be kept fully advised and briefed as this work progresses.

#### 4. Walsall Together – Clinical / Practitioner Leadership Forum

Part of the programme planning mentioned above is a firm commitment from all partners that the next stage of clinical pathways and operational models are shaped by our front-line teams in the order in which the current challenges are face. To this end, a leadership forum has been established that met for the first time two weeks ago.

There was broad agreement in the room that our operational leaders have a willingness to shape the next part of our integration work, aligning the current pathways and removing the barriers that exist between organisations. It was expressed that pathways are often appropriate, but referral times and methods of communication are the issues that needed to be tackled together. It is anticipated with a concerted effort to remove unnecessary duplication of effort we can achieve a better outcome for our population in a shorter timeframe than currently.

There was a strong and universal view shared that a single record view of the patient / care record should be as much a priority as the pathway work itself and whilst it was accepted that different systems would remain in place across the borough, this should not prevent the integration of this information into a single view. The request to share information across systems and data to inform of patient flows and capacity is now being considered a material part of the next steps.

#### 5. Single Care Record – End of life pathway

Towards the end of last year, the CCG with the support of the Walsall Together Partnership Board managed to secure some digital funding to commence a view of a shared record approach. This approach is in line with the request outlined in the previous section of this report.

Scoping work has been undertaken and all partners have agreed that this should be piloted against the national requirement for a single end of life register and patient record. In relation to Walsall Together, this is one of the patient groups that will be within the scope of phase one of the programme and most beneficial of integrated care for patients at the end of their lives.

The first project board met last week to scope out a deployment programme that will ultimately see this record delivered in Q4 of this year. As you can appreciate, this is a hugely complex piece of work, but has been achieved in other areas of the country and therefore those models are being used as templates for the Walsall deployment. This work will also provide the foundation for a wide whole system shared care record shortly afterwards which will need scoping and funding appropriately.

#### 6. Integrated Care System development (formally STP)

A significant amount of work is going on in this area with numerous members of the Executive, Clinical and Technical leadership teams meeting weekly across the Black Country to work



through development plans with the support of NHSe. This is covered in the CEO report this month and therefore not duplicated here.

### 7. Primary Care Tender outcome for APMS practices

Board members will be aware that the Trust had submitted a joint bid with partners from Walsall Council, Mental Health and led by Modality for the APMS practices within Walsall. The tender relates to the practices noted below and is for a period of 5 years extendable to 10 years.

Lot	Practices	Actual List Size at 1/10/2017
1	Wharf Family Practice	3,542
	Sai Practice	3,750
	Manor Medical	2,913
2	Harden Family Practice	2,738
	Coalpool Family Practice	4,123
	Blakenhall Family Practice	5,844
3	Keys Family Practice	4,833
4	Collingwood Family Practice	5,105

I am pleased to announce that the Partnership was success in winning the Tender across all four lots. This is an amazing achievement for the all lead by Modality and signals a new phase in the Trusts operations across the borough. The successful nature of the bid was around the strength of the integrated working and our collective approach to putting the patient at the core of our place-based thinking. The Trust will be able to support the operation of these practices by linking primary and secondary care clinicians together with community colleagues so that they are able to wrap around the communities that they serve.

As planning for deployment progresses board members will be kept current through board committees.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Board is asked to **NOTE** the information within this report.

**Report Author:** Daren Fradgley – Director of Strategy & Improvement **Date of report:** 27<sup>th</sup> June 2018



MEETING OF THE TRUST BO	ARD							
Change of Vision Statement						AGENDA ITEM: 19		
Report Author and Job Title:	Roseanne Crossey, Head Responsible		Dar	Daren Fradgley,				
	of Business	Development	Dire	ctor:	Dire	ector of Strategy and		
	and Planning	l				rovement		
Action Required	Approval Decision		A	ssurance and	d Info	I Information		
	X		т	To receive and		To receive		
			d	liscuss				
Recommendation	Members of the Trust Board are asked to approve the change of the Trust's Vision statement from: <i>Becoming your Partners for First-Class Integrated Care</i> to: <i>Caring for Walsall Together.</i>							
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	N/A							
Resource implications	Communications plan and engagement of stakeholders. Rebranding of Trust literature and signage.							
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	There are no legal or equality & diversity implications associated with this paper."							
Operational Objectives 2018/19	Continue our journey on patient safety and         clinical quality through a comprehensive         improvement programme         Develop the culture of the organisation to ensure         mature decision making and clinical leadership         Improve our financial health through our robust         improvement programme         Develop the clinical service strategy focused on         service integration in Walsall & in collaboration         with other Trusts							

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care Safe, high quality care

Care at home

6

Partners



Resourc



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2016/17 the Trust adopted the vision statement of *Becoming Your Partners for First-Class Integrated Care*. It is underpinned by five strategic objectives as depicted below:



Figure 1: Trust's Current Vision Statement and Strategic Objectives.

More recently the Trust has undertaken an engagement exercise to reset its values, which will be released in July. During this refresh we took the opportunity to revisit the existing vision statement, which has had mixed reviews and has been described as too complex and not memorable.

Developments in our partnering relationships confirm that our existing strategic objectives remain relevant to the Trust, and whilst the strategy requires additional work most notably by a supporting delivery plan, it remains fit for purpose.

The current vision statement draws together the ambition for high quality care delivered in partnership. It is felt that these components should not be lost in the refresh of the statement, but merely simplified.

Following a variety of views and discussions with, staff and other internal and external stakeholders, the Executive team feel that this is the right time to refresh our vision statement only.

The proposed new vision statement is:



#### Caring for Walsall Together.

It is the general consensus that the revised statement addresses the issues of memorability, and strategic intent, and importantly aligns with our determined ambition to be the host organisation of the Walsall Together Partnership.

The proposed change of vision statement has been discussed and endorsed by the Executive Committee and, subject to Board approval, will be implemented with immediate effect.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The Board is asked to **approve** the new vision statement.

Daren Fradgley 27<sup>th</sup> June 2018



MEETING OF THE PUBLIC	TRUST BOA	<b>RD –</b> Thursda	ay 5 <sup>t</sup>	<sup>h</sup> July 2018			
Charitable Funds Committee – Highlight Report					AGENDA ITEM: 21		
Report Author and Job	Jackie White	Jackie White Response			Vic	ky Harris	
Title:	Trust Secretary		Dir	ector:	Non-Executive		
					Director		
Action Required	Approval	Decision	Assurance and Information			formation X	
				To receive and		To receive X	
				discuss			
Recommendation	Members of	the Trust Boa	rd a	re asked to:			
	Discuss the content of the report and raise any questions in relation to the assurance provided						
Does this report mitigate risk included in the BAF or Trust Risk Registers? please outline	There are no risk implications associated with this report						
Resource implications	There are no resource implications associated with this report						
Legal and Equality and Diversity implications	There are no legal or equality & diversity implications associated with this paper						
Operational Objectives 2018/19	Continue our journey on patient safety and clinical quality through a comprehensive improvement programmeXDevelop the culture of the organisation to ensure mature decision making and clinical leadershipImprove number our financial health through our robust improvement programmeDevelop the clinical service strategy focused on service integration in Walsall & in collaboration with other TrustsImprove number our financial health through our multiple					X	

## Charitable Funds Committee Highlight Report

Care at home

Safe, high quality care 00

Value colleagues

Partners

Becoming your partners for first class integrated care



#### 1. Introduction

The Committee reports to the Corporate Trustee meeting following its meeting. The Trustees receive the approved minutes from the previous Committee meeting and a highlight report on the key issues raised at the most recent meeting. The report covers the key issues from the meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> June 2018 together with the approved minutes of the meeting held on the 19<sup>th</sup> April 2018.

#### 2. Key Issues from Meeting Held on 21<sup>st</sup> June 2018

- 2.1 The meeting was quorate and Chaired by Mrs Vicky Harris, Non-Executive Director and Committee Chair
- 2.2 The Committee noted a number of substantial donations (£20,000 and £5,000) and wished to record its thanks. These donations provided sufficient funding for a Unique & CFM Starter Kit and a Sertain Chair.
- 2.3 The Committee discussed the fundraising events which were due including the A & E Fundraiser the coming weekend, NHS 70<sup>th</sup> Birthday June and July, and 'It's a Knockout' 14<sup>th</sup> July. Members are recommending attendance at the 70<sup>th</sup> Birthday and 'It's a Knockout'.

#### 3. Recommendations

The Trustees are recommended to discuss the content of the report and raise any questions in relation to the assurance provided.

**Report Author:** Jackie White – Trust Secretary **Date of report:** 29<sup>th</sup> June 2018

#### APPENDICES

Approved minutes of the Charitable Funds Committee held on 19<sup>th</sup> April 2018





**NHS Trust** 

#### MINUTES OF CHARITABLE FUNDS COMMITTEE HELD ON THURSDAY 19<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2018 SEMINAR ROOM ROUTE 126

### Present:

Mrs V Harris

#### In Attendance:

Mr R Caldicott Mrs S Jones Mr T Kettle Mrs G Westley Mrs J White Non-Executive Director

Director of Finance Charitable Funds Accountant Deputy Director of Finance Fundraising Manager Trust Secretary

#### Apologies for Absence:

Mr T Baker Mrs B Beal Mr R Beale Chief Financial Accountant Director of Nursing Non-Executive Director

Action

Mrs Harris welcomed everyone to the meeting.

#### 01/18 **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest raised in relation to the agenda items.

#### 02/18 Minutes of Meeting Held on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2018

The minutes of the meeting held on  $17^{\text{th}}$  January 2018 were agreed as a true record.

#### Resolution:

The Committee received and approved the minutes of the meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> January 2018.

#### 03/18 Action Tracker

The items on the action tracker were discussed and updated.

62/17 Retirement Lunches: Mrs Harris confirmed that a debate had previously been held regarding the funding of lunches, however, the committee were not aware that any communication had been circulated to the wider organisation. Mr Caldicott confirmed that in accordance with the amended Charitable Funds Policy the Committee would not agree any further funds for retirement lunches. Mrs Ilic to take forward.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee received and noted the progress and update on the action tracker.

A communication to be made to the wider organisation regarding JI funding of retirement lunches

#### 04/18 Matters Arising



**NHS Trust** 

a) Pooling of Funds: Mr Caldicott advised that the committee should agree the priorities for investment from the General Fund and if the resource was exhausted then a priority list would be in place until the resource was available. Mr Caldicott expressed the view that the paper presented was radically different. Mr Kettle advised that the shortfall in funding was due to the £200,000 for the support of the Gamma Camera. Mr Caldicott confirmed that the support of the Gamma Camera was a separate conversation and a paper would be provided for the Corporate Trustees Meeting to agree a method of funding.

Mrs Jones queried the Robotic Software and ED post, a commitment of £298,000 with £24,000 remaining in the General Fund. Mr Caldicott expressed the view that the committee needed to be clear about the commitments made from the General Fund which could not be afforded. Mrs Jones confirmed that some of the items had already been purchased and Mr Kettle reminded the committee that the items were agreed by a Chair's action from several months ago. Mrs Harris requested that the governance around charitable requests be tightened with a move back to a committee decision. Mrs White advised that the committee needed to agree how the process would be managed with the resources in the funds matched to the requests and the paperwork policed. It was agreed that Mrs Jones and Mrs Ward would liaise to clarify the process. Following discussion around taking Chair's action it was agreed that all decisions would be made within the committee process. The following requests were identified as agreed without the availability of funds:

- Enhanced therapy
- Robotic software
- ED post
- b) IPADS for Surgery and MLTC: Mr Kettle confirmed that no further information had been received regarding the request to purchase. It was agreed that the item would be removed from the agenda.
- c) Lifestyle Services: Mrs Westley advised that this was a pilot project to enable some members of staff within the organisation following the loss of the Lifestyle Services contract, Mrs Westley further confirmed that the members of staff had now left the Trust. Following discussion, it was agreed that feedback on the project should be provided and Mrs Davis, Head of Learning and Development would be invited to the next meeting to provide an appropriate report.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee received and noted the progress with matters outstanding Mr Caldicott to update the Corporate Trustees in relation to funding RC of the Gamma Camera Funding requests for the Committee to be clarified SJ/AW IPADS to be removed from the agenda AW Report to be received on the Lifestyle Services Project AW

#### 05/18 Quarterly Review of Expenditure Below £5k

The content of the report was noted by the Committee.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee received and noted the contents of the report

#### 06/18 Review of Expenditure Requests £5k to £99,999 for Authorisation

**IPADS for Friends & Family Test** – Mrs Harris advised that clarity would be needed around the request and the committee could not agree until the paperwork was presented.

**Long Service Awards** – Mrs Jones advised that the paperwork had been received today. Mr Caldicott expressed the view that there needed to be a discussion with the Corporate Trustees about the funding of Long Service Awards and the Trust Ball. Mr Caldicott agreed to present a paper to Corporate Trustees Meeting regarding the over commitment of the General Fund for a decision on whether to top slice or not from other funds, together with a resolution regarding the funding of staff events.

**Birthing Pool Hire –** Mrs Jones advised that the birthing pool hire request was for a decision in principle. A discussion took place regarding the offering of a birthing pool facility at home and Mr Caldicott suggested that the pools should be trialled through the Divisional budget. A further discussion took place regarding any liability insurance that would need to be in place prior to offering the facility in patients own homes in relation to damage or failure, Mrs Ilic confirmed that other hospitals offered the service and felt that a disclaimer would be in place. Mr Caldicott advised that he felt the request had come to the wrong committee as the request was a clinical change of practice and should be discussed at Trust Quality Executive. The Committee agreed that feedback should be provided to the Division and Mr Caldicott agreed to provide the detail to confirm that the committee did not endorse the request as it was felt it was a service change for enhancement.

**Digitrapper** – Mr Caldicott queried whether the VAT was recoverable and advised that if the VAT was recoverable then the requester had sufficient funds in their own Trust Fund. It was agreed that should the VAT not be recoverable then the committee could not approve.

**HDU Monitors** – Mrs Harris confirmed that she had approved from the maternity fund prior to the meeting. Mrs Harris signed the paperwork as agreed.

Mrs Jones queried the process for requests that were £4900 and whether there was any particular action the committee wished her to take, Mrs White suggested that a review could be taken afterwards, if the cost was not noted at the time.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee agreed that approval would not be granted until appropriate paperwork received for requests

Mr Caldicott to discuss funding for Long Service Awards and the



**NHS Trust** 

position of the General Fund at Corporate Trustees Meeting **Birthing Pool Hire – rejected** Mrs Jones to advise regarding the VAT on Digitrapper The Committee approved the purchase of HDU Monitors

SJ

RC

#### Review of Expenditure Requests £100+ for Recommendation to 07/18 Trustees

There were no requests for consideration.

#### **Quarterly Review of Charitable Income & Expenditure** 08/18

Mr Kettle summarised the income and expenditure and advised that expenditure was higher than income. The review also contained the list of individual funds. The balance of funds was noted at £1.2m with a commitment of £181,000 of which £200,000 was restricted funds. Mrs White gueried whether the committee could do anything to encourage fund raising, Mrs White agreed to discuss further with Mr Caldicott outside the meeting.

Mrs White queried how people would feel if the committee decided to pool the funds, Mrs Westley responded that she felt staff would be unhappy. Mr Kettle confirmed that pooling had occurred previously but by specialty. Mrs White suggested a short-term movement of funds, Mr Caldicott responded that a proposal would be needed and the committee could agree to top slice, however, that could have a departmental effect on other funds and gueried whether the Trustees would be happy for that to occur at the discretion of the Charitable Funds Committee. The comments were noted for information.

#### **Resolution:**

The Committee received and noted the contents of the Income & Expenditure report.

#### 09/18 Estimate of Income & Expenditure for Year/Budget Planning

Mr Caldicott advised that the paper was a Trust summary highlighting the issue of going below the agreed level of available cash, Mrs White confirmed that a report would be needed to the Corporate Trustees if the fund decreased to below the threshold.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee received and noted the contents of the Budget Planning report.

#### **Report on Investment Portfolio Year to Date** 10/18

Mr Kettle provided an overview of the Investment portfolio and advised that the fund had decreased between September 2017 – December 2017 from £1.5m - £1.3m due to selling of some investments to fund expenditure previously approved. Mr Kettle further confirmed that the transfer of the investment funds to the new fund manager had not yet occurred. Mr Caldicott requested a confirmed date when the transfer would be made and also requested that W H Ireland be asked why they had put all the funds into UK equities. Mr Caldicott requested that the committee be



#### **Resolution**:

The Committee received and noted the content of the investment report

Mr Kettle to query the funds all being in UK equities Mr Kettle to advise the transfer date to the new investment provider TK TK

NHS Trust

#### 11/18 Fundraising Update

Mrs Westley provided an update on fundraising activities planned.

- Boxing evening including an auction
- Yam Yam Elvis date to be confirmed
- Make A Will Fortnight

Mrs Westley advised that work would be undertaken on legacy funding, a marketing package would also be put together for use on televisions that would help to fund the Trust Ball and Long Service Awards. Mrs Harris expressed the thanks of the committee to Mrs Westley.

Mrs Westley further advised that the organisation had been approached by Aston Villa Academy regarding fund raising, this would be in relation to Ward 21. Mrs Harris suggested that Skanska could be approached to undertake some refurbishment under their corporate charitable fund, Mrs Westley described a scheme that Skanska had previously discussed whereby they would pay in the Charitable Fund if they found items that needed attention in the retained estate, Mr Caldicott urged caution with the scheme, Mrs Westley agreed to obtain further detail.

Mrs Westly queried whether charitable money was being spent, Mrs White confirmed that fund managers could approve up to £5k and the trigger would be the list of requests which could be followed up with a communication.

Mrs Westley advised that the staff located in the Purple Hub would be relocated and fund raising would have a shop in the present ambulance bay. Mr Caldicott confirmed the plans. A discussion took place with regard to raising the profile of the organisation in relation to fund raising Mrs Westley confirmed that she had discussed with Enoch Evans and they had confirmed that legacy income had reduced in general terms, however, Mrs Westley would continue to work with people who wished to leave a sum of money to the organisation.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee received and noted the Fundraising update Mrs Westley to obtain further details of the Skanska Charitable GW Scheme

#### 12/18 Receipt of Fundraising Committee Highlight Report/Minutes

Mrs Westley confirmed that the last meeting was an update discussion





between three people. Mr Caldicott advised that going forward he would be part of the Fundraising Committee. Mrs Westley advised that a workshop would be held in conjunction with the Finance Department and Communications during May to which fund managers would be invited.

## Resolution:

#### The Committee received and noted the verbal report.

#### 13/18 Fundraising Strategy

Mrs Harris confirmed that the Fundraising Strategy was to be presented at the Corporate Trustee meeting for ratification, following discussion it was agreed that the strategy should be updated prior to the meeting on 3<sup>rd</sup> May, Mrs Ilic to re-circulate to members once refreshed.

#### **Resolution**:

The Committee agreed to receive an updated Fundraising Strategy JI for presentation to Corporate Trustees Meeting.

#### 14/18 Any Other Business

There were no further items for discussion

#### 15/18 Date & Time of Next Meeting

Thursday 21<sup>st</sup> June 2018 at 10.00 a.m., Seminar Room 5